Social Studies & Humanities Journal (SOSHUM)
Vol 2 (3) 2025 : 375-387

TRANSFORMATION OF SOCIAL MOVEMENTS IN THE DIGITAL ERA: ANALYSIS OF THE ROLE OF SOCIAL MEDIA IN COLLECTIVE MOBILIZATION

TRANSFORMASI GERAKAN SOSIAL DI ERA DIGITAL: ANALISIS PERAN MEDIA SOSIAL DALAM MOBILISASI KOLEKTIF

Syahrir Ibnu
syahrir.ibnu@unkhair.ac.id
*Universitas Khairun

**Corresponding Author*

ABSTRACT
This study analyzes how social media transforms the structure, strategies, and dynamics of social movements in the digital era. Using a multidisciplinary approach,narrative reviewThis study synthesizes various academic literature and official agency reports from 2010–2025 to understand the evolution of social movements in the context of digital communication. The study's findings indicate that social media has transformed the structure of social movements from a hierarchical model to a flexible horizontal network, enabling collaboration without a single leader. Mobilization strategies have also shifted toward hashtag activism and digital storytelling which utilizes algorithms and public emotions to strengthen solidarity. The dynamics of participation are shifting from ideological solidarity to affective solidarity based on digital emotions. This study proposes a conceptual frameworkDigital Mobilization Framework (DMF)which integrates theoryResource Mobilization, Connective Action, And Affective Publicsto explain the new logic of social mobilization in the digital era.
Keywords: digital social movements, social media, collective mobilization,connective action, affective solidarity,digital activism.

ABSTRAK
Penelitian ini menganalisis bagaimana media sosial mentransformasi struktur, strategi, dan dinamika gerakan sosial di era digital. Dengan menggunakan pendekatan narrative review, studi ini mensintesis berbagai literatur akademik dan laporan lembaga resmi periode 2010–2025 untuk memahami evolusi gerakan sosial dalam konteks digitalisasi komunikasi. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahwa media sosial telah mengubah struktur gerakan sosial dari model hirarkis menjadi jaringan horizontal yang fleksibel, memungkinkan kolaborasi tanpa kepemimpinan tunggal. Strategi mobilisasi juga bergeser menuju hashtag activism dan digital storytelling yang memanfaatkan algoritma dan emosi publik untuk memperkuat solidaritas. Dinamika partisipasi mengalami perubahan dari solidaritas ideologis menuju solidaritas afektif berbasis emosi digital. Penelitian ini mengusulkan kerangka konseptual Digital Mobilization Framework (DMF) yang mengintegrasikan teori Resource Mobilization, Connective Action, dan Affective Publics guna menjelaskan logika baru mobilisasi sosial di era digital.
Kata Kunci: gerakan sosial digital, media sosial, mobilisasi kolektif, connective action, solidaritas afektif, digital activism.

1. INTRODUCTION

The development of digital communication technology has fundamentally changed the way societies build, spread, and maintain social movements worldwide. This shift reflects a transformation from conventional mobilization patterns based on organizations and physical spaces to social network-based digital mobilization (digital activism)Social media now not only functions as a communication tool, but has also evolved intosocio-political infrastructurewhich forms patterns of public participation and solidarity (Castells, 2012).

Globally, social media and internet penetration is on a massive scale. By 2025, there will be more than 5.66 billion social media user identitiesand around5.5 billion internet users, which represents68% of the world's population(DataReportal, 2025; International

Copyright © 2024 THE AUTHOR(S). This article is distributed under a a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license.

Telecommunication Union [ITU], 2024). In Indonesia, the number of internet users reached 185.3 million with 139 million active social media users in early 2024 (DataReportal, 2024). This data shows that social media has become a primary space for social interaction, public advocacy, and collective mobilization in the digital era.

Table 1
Internet and Social Media Users Globally and in Indonesia

Region / Country	Internet users	Percentage of Online Population	Social Media Users	Percentage of Active Population on Social Media	Source
World (Global)	5.5 billion	68%	5.66 billion	70% (user identity)	(ITU, 2024; DataReportal, 2025)
Indonesia	185.3 million	66% of the population	139 million	49.9% of the population	(DataReportal, 2024)

Source: DataReportal, 2024; DataReportal, 2025; ITU, 2024

This increased penetration also strengthens the community's capacity for collective mobilization based on social media. A survey by the Pew Research Center (2023) shows that approximately34% of social media users admitted to having joined online groups discussing social or political issues, and the majority of respondents considered social media effective in raising public awareness of social issues. This indicates a shift in societal behavior from simply personal communication to online communication.digital participation in public spaces.

Empirical examples of social movement transformation can be found in various global and local campaigns.#BlackLivesMatterin the United States, for example, has produced more than 44 million posts on Twitter during the period 2013–2023 (Pew Research Center, 2023). In Indonesia, movements such as#ReformationDeCorruption And #SaveKPKshows how social media is being used massively to organize demonstrations, disseminate information, and build public solidarity across regions. This phenomenon shows that social media is not just a communication medium, but alsonew socio-political mobilization spacewhich forms a pattern of relations between citizens, the state, and public institutions.

Thus, understanding how social media transforms the structure, strategies, and dynamics of social movements is crucial for contemporary social research. This study is relevant not only for explaining changes in collective behavior but also for remapping classical theories of social movements to fit a more fluid, decentralized, and connectivity-based digital context.

The main questions in this research are:How does social media transform the structure, strategy, and dynamics of social movements in the digital age?This question stems from the need to understand the mechanisms of change occurring in contemporary social movements due to the penetration of social media into public life. This transformation encompasses three main dimensions: a shift in the organizational structure of social movements from a hierarchical structure to a more fluid and decentralized network; a shift in mobilization strategies, which are now shifting from conventional approaches to digital campaigns that utilize algorithms, hashtags, and viral narratives; and a shift in the dynamics of participation and leadership, in which the role of individuals, collective emotions, and personal networks plays an increasingly significant role.

This research question is exploratory and analytical in nature, leading to an understanding of how and whySocial media plays a role as a catalyst for the transformation of social movements. To deepen the focus of the research, this problem formulation is broken

down into several operational sub-questions: (1) how does the structure of social movement networks change after the adoption of social media; (2) what communication and mobilization strategies are most effective in a digital context; (3) how does social media influence the dynamics of participation, solidarity, and leadership; and (4) how external factors such as algorithms, platform policies, and political contexts moderate the digital mobilization process. Through this series of questions, the research is expected to be able to identify the mechanisms of social movement transformation in a comprehensive and contextual manner.

This research aims to investigate and map how social media has changed the way social movements form, operate, and transform in an increasingly digitalized socio-political context. Specifically, this research has three main objectives. First, it identifies how social media modifies the organizational structures and networks of social movements by examining the relationships between actors, leadership patterns, and the degree of decentralization in collective action coordination. Second, it explains the mobilization strategies that emerge as a consequence of social media use, including digital campaign practices such as hashtag activism, storytelling, and micro targeting which utilizes platform algorithms to expand the reach of messages and increase participation. Third, analyze the dynamics of participation, solidarity, and leadership in digital social movements, highlighting how collective emotions (public emotional) and narrative construction (framing) influences the recruitment process, sustainability, and effectiveness of collective action.

Furthermore, this research aims to integrate empirical findings with new theory development through a conceptual framework that combines classical and contemporary theories. Thus, this research is expected to provide theoretical contributions to the study of social movements and provide practical guidance for civil society organizations and digital activists in designing effective and sustainable mobilization strategies.

Academically, this research is important because it attempts to bridge the gap between classical social movement theory and the rapidly evolving phenomenon of digital mobilization. For decades, classical theories such asResource Mobilization Theory (RMT) And Political Process Theoryfocuses on the importance of resources, formal organizations, and political opportunities in determining the success of social movements. However, these theories are not yet fully able to explain the emergence of the phenomenon, connective action—a form of mobilization based on personal networks, digital content, and emotional affiliations facilitated by social media (Bennett & Segerberg, 2012). This research aims to fill this theoretical gap by integrating classical and contemporary perspectives into a single analytical framework that is more adaptable to the digital context.

Furthermore, most previous studies have focused on describing phenomena without systematically comparing offline and online mobilization. Existing studies tend to be single-case studies and fail to structurally explain how strategies and network patterns change under the influence of social media. Furthermore, the limited research highlighting the changing roles of individual actors and formal organizations in the digital ecosystem makes this aspect an important area of exploration. In the digital context, new actors have emerged, such asmicro-leaders And influencers, which plays a significant role in shaping public opinion and the direction of movements, but has not been widely discussed in classical literature.

Another gap that needs to be addressed is the lack of attention to moderating and mediating factors in digital mobilization. Factors such as algorithms, platform policies, and societal digital literacy can either strengthen or weaken the effectiveness of digital social movements. Finally, much of the existing literature focuses on the Global North, while Global South contexts such as Southeast Asia, including Indonesia, have been relatively neglected. With its unique socio-political characteristics, participatory culture, and social media policies, Indonesia can serve as an important case study in broadening the theoretical relevance of social movement studies in the digital era.

This research uses an integrative approach that combines three main theories, namelyResource Mobilization Theory (RMT), Connective Action Theory, and theoryFraming as well as Affective PublicsThis approach produces a conceptual model calledDigital Mobilization Framework (DMF), which explains the transformation of social movements through the interaction between organizational structures, mobilization strategies, and the dynamics of digital participation.

First, Resource Mobilization Theory(McCarthy & Zald, 1977) emphasized that the success of social movements depends on the ability to access and allocate resources such as funds, networks, and legitimacy. In the digital context, these resources are transformed into attention economy, online support (online support), as well as digital social capital. This theory remains relevant to explain how formal organizations utilize social media to expand their coordination and public funding capacity.

Second, Connective Action TheoryBennett & Segerberg (2012) introduced a new paradigm for understanding digital mobilization. This theory highlights that modern social movements do not necessarily rely on large organizations, but rather on individuals connected through social media and motivated by widely shared personal narratives. This logic of action enables massive mobilization with minimal coordination, explaining why digital movements like#BlackLivesMatter or #ReformationDeCorruptioncan grow rapidly without a hierarchical structure.

Third, theoryFraming(Snow & Benford, 1988) and the conceptAffective Publics(Papacharissi, 2015) is used to explain the role of emotions and narrative construction in shaping collective solidarity. In the context of social media, framing is not only shaped by ideology, but also by affective resonances such as anger, empathy, and a sense of justice that are spread through visuals, hashtags, and viral content.

FrameworkDigital Mobilization Frameworkproposed in this study assumes that the transformation of social movements in the digital era is the result of the interaction between three main elements: (1)platform affordances which facilitates network visibility and interaction; (2)mobilization strategy driven by framing and collective emotions; and (3)digital organizational structure adaptive to decentralization and personalization. Through this theoretical integration, research is expected to provide a more comprehensive understanding of how social media is not only a means of communication but also a socio-political infrastructure that reshapes the way people move, organize, and participate in the digital public sphere.

2. METHODS

2.1. Type of Research

This research usesapproach Narrative Reviewwhich focuses on conceptual analysis and thematic synthesis of the development of digital social movements. This approach was chosen because it allows researchers to trace the evolution of theories, concepts, and practices.digital activismwithout rigid methodological limitations as in systematic review. Through narrative review, this research integrates various academic views to understand how social media changes the character, strategies, and dynamics of social movements in the digital era.

2.2. Literature Search Procedure

The literature search was carried out comprehensively through several internationally reputable academic databases, namelyScopus, Web of Science. The keywords used include“social movement”, “digital activism”, “social media mobilization”, “networked social movements”, And “connective action”. The search process is carried out for the period2010–2025, which reflects a crucial phase of growth and transformation of digital social movements worldwide.To increase the accuracy of the results, a combination of Boolean logic such asAND, OR, And NOT used, for example, “digital activism” AND “social movement” OR

“online mobilization.” Search results are then selected based on relevance, publication quality, and conceptual contribution to the research topic.

2.3. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria include: (1) academic articles that have been peer reviewed, (2) major theoretical books relevant to the concept of social movements and digital media, and (3) reports from official institutions such asPew Research Center, UNESCO, And OECDwhich provides supporting empirical data. In contrast, articles that are opinion-based,non-peer-reviewedArticles, personal blogs, and journalistic reports that did not meet academic standards were excluded from the analysis. Selection was made by reading the abstracts and conclusions to ensure appropriate context and depth of discussion.

2.4. Analysis Approach

The analysis was carried out using the method/thematic analysis (thematic synthesis), which groups the literature results into three main dimensions:

1. Transformation of Social Movement Structure, namely how digital technology changes the forms of organizations, leadership patterns, and networks of social movement participants.

2. Digital Mobilization Strategy Innovation, including campaign strategies, the use of social media algorithms, and digital message dissemination mechanisms to build public solidarity.

3. Dynamic Change and Collective Participation, which examines changes in participation patterns, individual involvement, and the emergence of non-traditional forms of participation such as clicktivism or hashtag activism.

The results of the thematic synthesis of these three dimensions are used to compile a comprehensive narrative about the paradigm shift of social movements in the digital era, as well as to identify research gaps and new directions of study.digital activism.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Transformation of Social Movement Structure

The emergence of digital communication technology has catalyzed a significant transformation in the structure of social movements, transitioning from hierarchical, centralized organizations to horizontal, decentralized networks. Manuel Castells emphasizes that social media platforms like Twitter and Facebook have enabled the development of fluid social networks that promote collaboration beyond traditional geographic and social boundaries, essentially allowing movements to form without needing formal organizational structures (Fuchs, 2012; (Sorce, 2022; .

Contemporary social movements increasingly exhibit characteristics of leaderlessness and decentralized coordination. Bennett and Segerberg highlight this shift, noting that mobilization is facilitated through crowdsourced decision-making and real-time communication rather than through centralized leadership (Cortellazzo et al., 2019; Bal & Baruh, 2020). The observations during movements such as Occupy Wall Street (2011) and the Hong Kong Umbrella Movement (2014-2019) further underscore this trend, wherein participants engage as "enthusiastic networked individuals," fostering a shared identity without direct influence from a central leader (Sorce, 2022; Boler et al., 2014; . This leaderless approach is further examined through specific cases, illustrating the balance of digital mobilization with challenges surrounding sustainable organizational capacities (Cortellazzo et al., 2019; Boler et al., 2014; Mercea, 2011).

While the horizontal structure inherent in these networked movements offers significant advantages—such as increased flexibility, rapid mobilization, and inclusivity—certain disadvantages become apparent. Tufekci discusses how the fragmentation of goals and challenges in strategic coordination can undermine the effectiveness of these movements. Issues such as vulnerability to disinformation, digital infiltration, and distractions from core objectives often plague these leaderless formats (Khalil & Storie, 2020; Bal & Baruh, 2020). The inherent uncertainty in balancing participation and direction raises questions about the strategic efficacy of decentralized organizing, as seen in both the Arab Spring and subsequent social movements (Eslen-Ziya & Erhart, 2015).

Moreover, the dynamics observed in movements like #ReformasiDikorupsi in Indonesia reveal how the integration of digital platforms can augment grassroots activism yet also pose risks of diminished collective identity due to individualistic engagement strategies (Bräuchler, 2018; Udhany & Irwansyah, 2021). By analyzing the role of digital mobilization in social movements, scholars are increasingly recognizing the dual-edged nature of such technologies: while they enhance participation and democratization of information dissemination, they equally challenge unity and coherence in movement objectives (Lember et al., 2022). In summary, the transformational impact of digital communication technologies on the structure of social movements is profound and multifaceted, necessitating a critical understanding of both its potentials and pitfalls.

3.2. Mobilization Strategy Innovation

Digital transformation has profoundly altered social movement mobilization strategies, particularly through innovative applications of social media. This transformation is epitomized by the rise of hashtag activism, which serves as a powerful tool for collective identity and narrative building. Campaigns such as #MeToo and #BlackLivesMatter exemplifies how hashtags can consolidate community efforts, disseminate moral messages, and foster public engagement around social justice issues Oden & Porter, 2023; Chang et al., 2024). The utilization of personal narratives, particularly through digital storytelling—comprising personal accounts, infographics, and video clips—has been effective in cultivating empathy and emotional investment among audiences, thereby enhancing the impact of advocacy efforts (Kaskazi & Kitzie, 2021).

Social media platforms have transitioned into significant venues for political education and mobilization. Research indicates that platforms like Twitter, TikTok, and Instagram are not just communication tools but act as vital arenas for activism and civic engagement (Vicari & Ditchfield, 2024; Oden & Porter, 2023; Chang et al., 2024). They allow movements to leverage user engagement and insights derived from data analytics, including artificial intelligence (AI), to monitor public sentiment and tailor campaigns accordingly. For instance, AI algorithms assist platforms in analyzing trends and modifying content to maximize visibility and reach (Patil et al., 2024; . However, these innovations are not without challenges; issues such as algorithmic bias and digital surveillance raise ethical concerns that could hinder activists' capabilities and endanger their safety online (Wählisch & Kufus, 2025)Aladeen, 2023).

Moreover, the integration of AI technologies into social media platforms complicates the landscape of digital activism. While AI can amplify certain political messages and combat misinformation, it also embodies the potential for suppression through surveillance and content moderation practices (Patil et al., 2024; Chu et al., 2023). Critics note that such features may engender an environment where only certain voices are amplified, thus complicating the dynamics of digital engagement (Wählisch & Kufus, 2025). The continued evolution of social media provides both opportunities for enhanced mobilization strategies and challenges that must be addressed to ensure equitable participation in the digital public sphere.

In summary, digital transformation has redefined social movement mobilization by fostering arenas for political engagement, generating empathetic narratives, and utilizing advanced technologies for data-driven strategies. Nevertheless, ethical and technological challenges necessitate ongoing discourse to safeguard the integrity and inclusiveness of these digital transformations.

3.3. Dynamic Change and Collective Participation

The evolution of social movements in the digital era has significantly altered the dynamics of collective participation, marking a shift from traditional collective identities toward more fluid, connective identities. These transformations are shaped by the capabilities of digital networks to foster individual connections, thus enabling participation to be driven by personal interests rather than organizational affiliations or ideological consistency. As articulated by Bennett and Segerberg, the concept of connective action emphasizes this transition, highlighting the emergence of movements supported by individuals mobilizing around shared causes without the necessity for a unifying organizational framework (Foyet & Child, 2024).

This shift to connective identity engenders the formation of affective publics, described by Papacharissi, wherein collective emotions such as empathy and anger are pivotal in rallying support for various issues (Mundt et al., 2018). The capacity of digital platforms to quickly disseminate and amplify these emotions is essential for the rapid mobilization of support, as seen in movements like Black Lives Matter, where social media has been instrumental in shaping movement identity and solidifying coalitions of activists (Zahra et al., 2020). However, this reliance on emotion also poses risks, leading to participatory fatigue and susceptibility to rapid shifts in public sentiment, making it challenging for movements to maintain momentum and evolve into sustainable efforts that can effect real policy change (Νικολοπούλου, 2022).

Digital activism underscores the dual potential of online platforms: while they can invigorate and sustain mobilizations, they may also fragment collective efforts and result in transient engagements. Musnt et al. note that digital spaces have redefined collective organization, prompting movements to explore new pathways for collaboration and resource mobilization (Tarlau, 2023). This multiplicity of interaction styles leads to increased opportunities for participation but also raises concerns regarding the coherence and longevity of movements. In the case of the #EndSARS movement in Nigeria, social media served as a critical tool for mobilizing support and documenting abuses, illustrating how digital platforms can empower grassroots movements to challenge systemic injustices (West et al., 2012).

Nevertheless, the context in which these movements operate, especially during crises like the COVID-19 pandemic, adds layers of complexity. Foyet and Child discuss how activism adapted during the pandemic, demonstrating resilience in forming new coalitions while navigating the constraints imposed by physical distancing (Dahlke & Pan, 2024). Such adaptability shows that while digital platforms facilitate connections, the nature of these connections is critical in determining the effectiveness and sustainability of collective actions.

In conclusion, the dynamics of collective participation in the digital age are emblematic of a broader transformation, characterized by the interplay of emotion, technology, and social change. These developments highlight the need for ongoing research into the mechanisms that foster solidarity, the challenges posed by the ephemeral nature of digital engagements, and the pathways for turning momentary mobilizations into sustained social movements capable of achieving significant policy impacts.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Theoretical Synthesis

In examining the transformation of social movements in the digital era, it becomes clear that a single theoretical framework is insufficient to fully capture the complexities

involved. Integrating Resource Mobilization Theory (RMT) and Connective Action Theory (CAT) provides a robust lens through which to analyze contemporary movements. RMT posits that the mobilization of social movements fundamentally relies on resources, including organizational capabilities, networks, and financial capital (Mercea & Funk, 2014; . In the digital context, the scope of resources expands to encompass digital assets such as data, algorithms, and public attention, enabling activists to leverage social media for greater mobilization and impact (Mercea, 2014; . For instance, recent studies illustrate how social media's capacity to enhance participation through networked interactions aligns with RMT by facilitating access to diversified resources and reaching broader audiences (Mirbabaie et al., 2021)Khalil & Storie, 2020). The Stop-ACTA protest is a significant case highlighting how these resources were utilized for effective mobilization via social media platforms, illustrating the changing landscape of activism (Mercea & Funk, 2014; (Mercea, 2014; .

In parallel, the principles of CAT underscore a shift from traditional, hierarchy-based collective mobilization to one that emphasizes personal connections facilitated through digital channels (Mercea, 2014; (Bennett & Segerberg, 2013; . This theory, developed by Bennett and Segerberg, illustrates how social media enables movements to operate on a more decentralized level, where actions are coordinated through individual narratives and shared experiences, thus changing the organizational dynamics of activism (Bennett & Segerberg, 2013; Gerbaudo, 2022). The ability of activists to cultivate personal identities and engage in fluid communication through platforms like Twitter and Facebook epitomizes this transformation, with a notable emphasis on symbolic narratives and emotional resonance that drive participation (Boler et al., 2014; Webb, 2019).

Moreover, the relevance of Framing Theory and the concept of Affective Publics becomes increasingly evident, as digital platforms facilitate not just participation but also the emotional engagement of individuals (Santos et al., 2025; Gerbaudo, 2022). As Papacharissi highlights, the emotional and narrative dimensions of online mobilization are crucial for understanding the collective dynamics of current movements (Carson, 2020). Digital mobilization thus emerges as a multifaceted phenomenon, shaped by a continuum of structural affordances, narrative framing, and affective engagement, which collectively create a new form of contentious politics that can respond to societal issues more dynamically than previous models of activism allowed (Mirbabaie et al., 2021). This underscores not only the evolving strategies of social movements but also their potential impact on democratic engagement and public discourse in the digital age (Gustafsson & Weinryb, 2019).

In conclusion, by synthesizing RMT with CAT and recognizing the impact of Framing Theory and Affective Publics, we embrace a comprehensive understanding of digital mobilization that reflects its complexity and adaptability in the face of modern challenges.

4.2. Academic Implications

Academically, this finding opens up opportunities to develop new theoretical framework which are called Digital Mobilization Framework (DMF)This framework seeks to bridge three main pillars: (1)network structure(networked structures) that determine the form of coordination and distribution of power in digital movements; (2)digital narrative(digital narratives) that shape perceptions, legitimacy, and collective meaning through online framing; and (3)collective affection(collective affect) which triggers emotions, solidarity, and digital loyalty among participants.

DMF offers a synthesis between classical theories and contemporary phenomena, while emphasizing the importance of viewing social media as socio political ecosystemliving, not just a medium of communication. Geographically and culturally, this research also highlights gaps in Global South literature, where many studies still focus on Western contexts such as Europe and North America. In fact, regions such asSoutheast Asia and Indonesia demonstrate the unique dynamics between digital activism, state control, and public

participation rooted in local culture and hybrid political systems (Lim, 2013; Nugroho et al., 2019). Therefore, this research contributes to decolonization of social movement theory, by enriching non-Western perspectives and providing a conceptual basis for comparative studies across contexts.

4.3. Practical Implications

From a practical perspective, the results of this study emphasize the urgency of increasingdigital strategy literacyfor activists, civil society organizations, and advocacy institutions. Understanding social media algorithms, dynamic trending topics, as well as strategies/content amplification is key to ensuring the effectiveness of digital mobilization. Activists need to develop skills in analyzing digital social data (social media analytics)to understand public interaction patterns and sentiment.

In addition, awareness of algorithmic risks and digital manipulation become a very important thing. Phenomena such as disinformation, astroturfing, and shadow banning can hinder mobilization or even artificially direct public opinion. Therefore, it is necessary cross sector collaboration between activists, academics, policymakers, and digital platforms to strengthen a more transparent, ethical, and participatory online public space. Governments and educational institutions also need to promote critical digital literacy so that the public is able to recognize information bias and participate responsibly in virtual public spaces.

4.4. Limitations of the Study

As a research based narrative review, this study has several methodological limitations. First, the focus of the analysis is only on secondary literature, without including primary empirical data from interviews, digital observations, or social network analysisThis limits the research's ability to capture the micro-dynamics occurring in the field, such as internal coordination strategies or informal leadership patterns in digital movements. Second, there are limitations of cross-cultural and platform generalization, because the context of social media is heavily influenced by technological infrastructure, state regulations, and local political culture.

For further research, a multidisciplinary approach is recommended.mixed methods or computational social science, such as social network analysis (network analysis) And sentiment mining to quantitatively map public interaction and emotion patterns. By combining qualitative and quantitative approaches, future research can provide a more comprehensive understanding of the ecology of digital social movements and its potential for transformation of the global democratic order.

5. CONCLUSION

5.1. General Conclusion

This study concludes that social media has fundamentally transformed social movements, both in terms of structure, strategy, and the dynamics of collective participation. From the perspective of structure, social media facilitates a shift from hierarchical and centralized organizational forms towards flexible and participatory horizontal networksIn this new configuration, leadership authority is no longer centralized in a single figure or institution, but rather distributed through a network of digital connections that enable spontaneous collaboration between social actors.

From the aspect strategy, social mobilization now depends more on digital connections and online communication mechanisms compared to formal organizational structures. The phenomenon of hashtag activism And digital storytelling marks the emergence of new forms of mobilization centered on narrative, emotion, and algorithms. Social media platforms are not only a means of disseminating information, but also a digital political arena that connects millions of individuals through affective resonance and shared values.

Meanwhile from the dimensions/dynamics of participation, digital social movements show a shift from ideological solidarity towards emotional and affective solidarity, where public engagement is driven more by empathy, anger, and a sense of community in the face of social injustice. While this dynamic increases widespread public participation, it also creates new challenges in the form of the temporary and easily fragmented nature of mobilization. Thus, the digital era presents a paradox between the democratization of participation and the fragility of movement coordination.

5.2. Academic Contribution

This research contributes to the development of contemporary social movement theory through a conceptual synthesis that producesDigital Mobilization Framework (DMF)This framework offers an integrative approach that combines structural, narrative, and affective dimensions to understand how social media changes the logic of social mobilization.

Theoretically, DMF expands the scopeResource Mobilization Theoryby adding a digital dimension as a new resource, as well as enrichingConnective Action Theory with an emphasis on the role of algorithms, public affection, and digital framing. Through this approach, this research also strengthens the understanding of socio-political transformation in the digital era, especially in the context of the Global South such as Indonesia, where digital public spaces play an increasingly strategic role in the process of democratization, policy advocacy, and the formation of collective consciousness.

In addition, another academic contribution lies in this research's efforts to bridging the gap between classical theory and contemporary phenomena, while offering new directions for the study of political communication, media studies, and digital sociology.

5.3. Further Research Agenda

To deepen understanding of the phenomenon of digital social movement transformation, it is necessaryfurther research agendamore comprehensive and empirical. First, the studycross-country and cross-platformcan be used to compare how differences in political systems, digital regulations, and communication cultures influence the form and effectiveness of social movements in cyberspace. Second,longitudinal study is important to trace sustainability (sustainability) digital social movements over time, in order to understand whether emotion-based mobilization is capable of transforming into movements that produce concrete policy change.

In addition, the next research agenda could explore in more depth the relationship between algorithms, public affection, and mobilization effectiveness. This includes an analysis of how recommendation systems,echo chamber, and algorithmic bias shape the dynamics of participation and information distribution in digital public spaces. By combining approaches, social network analysis, sentiment analysis, and computational ethnography, future research can provide a richer understanding of how affective and technological forces interact to shape new patterns of activism and social transformation in the digital age.

6. REFERENCES

Aladeen, H. (2023). Investigating the impact of bias in web search algorithms: implications for digital inequality.. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/dmkar

Bal, H. and Baruh, L. (2020). Sustainability and communication practices in grassroots movements in turkey following gezi park protests: cases of dogancilar park forum, macka park forum and validebag volunteers. Journal of Alternative & Community Media, 5(1), 45-68. https://doi.org/10.1386/joacm_00074_1

Bennett, W. and Segerberg, A. (2013). The logic of connective action.. https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781139198752

Boler, M., Macdonald, A., Nitsou, C., & Harris, A. (2014). Connective labor and social media. Convergence the International Journal of Research Into New Media Technologies, 20(4), 438-460. https://doi.org/10.1177/1354856514541353

Bräuchler, B. (2018). Bali tolak reklamasi: the local adoption of global protest. Convergence the International Journal of Research Into New Media Technologies, 26(3), 620-638. https://doi.org/10.1177/1354856518806695

Carson, A. (2020). The digital spotlight: applying a connective action framework of political protest to global watchdog reporting. The International Journal of Press/Politics, 26(2), 362-384. https://doi.org/10.1177/1940161220912679

Castells, M. (2012). Networks of outrage and hope: Social movements in the Internet age. Polity Press.

Chang, H., Zha, M., Noh, S., Chen, Y., Shaman, B., Wei, C., … & Weener, T. (2024). Visual politics: tiktok versus instagram in the 2024 u.s. presidential election.. https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/f6gub

Chu, C., Donato-Woodger, S., Khan, S., Nyrup, R., Leslie, K., Lyn, A., … & Grenier, A. (2023). Age-related bias and artificial intelligence: a scoping review. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 10(1). https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-01999-y

Cortellazzo, L., Bruni, E., & Zampieri, R. (2019). The role of leadership in a digitalized world: a review. Frontiers in Psychology, 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01938

Dahlke, R. and Pan, J. (2024). January 6 arrests and media coverage do not remobilize conservatives on social media. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 121(23). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2401239121

DataReportal. (2024). Digital 2024: Indonesia. Retrieved from https://datareportal.com/reports/digital-2024-indonesia

DataReportal. (2025). Digital 2025: Global overview report. Retrieved from https://datareportal.com/global-digital-overview

Eslen-Ziya, H. and Erhart, I. (2015). Toward postheroic leadership: a case study of gezi's collaborating multiple leaders. Leadership, 11(4), 471-488. https://doi.org/10.1177/1742715015591068

Foyet, M. and Child, B. (2024). Covid-19, social media, algorithms and the rise of indigenous movements in southern africa: perspectives from activists, audiences and policymakers. Frontiers in Sociology, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2024.1433998

Fuchs, C. (2012). Some reflections on manuel castells' book "networks of outrage and hope. social movements in the internet age".. Triplec Communication Capitalism & Critique Open Access Journal for a Global Sustainable Information Society, 10(2), 775-797. https://doi.org/10.31269/triplec.v10i2.459

Gerbaudo, P. (2022). From individual affectedness to collective identity: personal testimony campaigns on social media and the logic of collection. New Media & Society, 26(8), 4904-4921. https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448221128523

Gustafsson, N. and Weinryb, N. (2019). The populist allure of social media activism: individualized charismatic authority. Organization, 27(3), 431-440. https://doi.org/10.1177/1350508419828565

International Telecommunication Union (ITU). (2024). Measuring digital development: Facts and figures 2024. Retrieved from https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Pages/facts/default.aspx

Kaskazi, A. and Kitzie, V. (2021). Engagement at the margins: investigating how marginalized teens use digital media for political participation. New Media & Society, 25(1), 72-94. https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448211009460

Khalil, A. and Storie, L. (2020). Social media and connective action: the case of the saudi women's movement for the right to drive. New Media & Society, 23(10), 3038-3061. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444820943849

Lember, V., Randma-Liiv, T., & Vooglaid, K. (2022). Engaging citizens in policy making: the potential and challenges of e-participation.. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800374362.00006

Mercea, D. (2011). Digital prefigurative participation: the entwinement of online communication and offline participation in protest events. New Media & Society, 14(1), 153-169. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444811429103

Mercea, D. (2014). The social media overture of the pan-european stop-acta protest: an empirical examination of participatory coordination in connective action. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2532221

Mercea, D. and Funk, A. (2014). The social media overture of the pan-european stop-acta protest. Convergence the International Journal of Research Into New Media Technologies, 22(3), 287-312. https://doi.org/10.1177/1354856514563663

Mirbabaie, M., Brünker, F., Wischnewski, M., & Meinert, J. (2021). The development of connective action during social movements on social media. Acm Transactions on Social Computing, 4(1), 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1145/3446981

Mundt, M., Ross, K., & Burnett, C. (2018). Scaling social movements through social media: the case of black lives matter. Social Media + Society, 4(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305118807911

Oden, A. and Porter, L. (2023). The kids are online: teen social media use, civic engagement, and affective polarization. Social Media + Society, 9(3). https://doi.org/10.1177/20563051231186364

Patil, S., Jani, A., & Konatam, S. (2024). Trend amplification or suppression: the dual role of ai in influencing viral content.. https://doi.org/10.21428/e90189c8.361bcc7f

Pew Research Center. (2023). 10 years of #BlackLivesMatter: Tracking the conversation on Twitter. Retrieved from https://www.pewresearch.org

Pew Research Center. (2023). How Americans use social media for activism and engagement. Retrieved from https://www.pewresearch.org/internet

Santos, M., Arambulo, M., & Ilustre, R. (2025). #hashtag movements and digital activism: exploring cultural and critical perspectives for social change: a scoping review. PIJHSS. https://doi.org/10.69651/pijhss040119

Sorce, G. (2022). The “greta effect”: networked mobilization and leader identification among fridays for future protesters. Media and Communication, 10(2). https://doi.org/10.17645/mac.v10i2.5060

Tarlau, R. (2023). Networked movements and bureaucratic unions: the structure of the 2018 #redfored teachers’ strikes. Ilr Review, 76(5), 833-863. https://doi.org/10.1177/00197939231189200

Udhany, D. and Irwansyah, I. (2021). The influence of the internet on civil liberties in Indonesia: An interpretation of Manuel Castells' concept of social movements. Populis Journal of Social and Humanities, 5(2), 172. https://doi.org/10.47313/pjsh.v5i2.949

Vicari, S. and Ditchfield, H. (2024). Platform visibility and the making of an issue: vernaculars of hereditary cancer on facebook, instagram, tiktok and twitter. New Media & Society, 27(6), 3658-3679. https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448241229048

Wählisch, M. and Kufus, F. (2025). Leveraging ai in peace processes: a framework for digital dialogues. Data & Policy, 7. https://doi.org/10.1017/dap.2025.10031

Webb, M. (2019). Digital politics in the diaspora: u.k. aam aadmi party supporters online and offline. Television & New Media, 21(4), 420-433. https://doi.org/10.1177/1527476419871672

West, J., Hall, C., Hanson, C., Barnes, M., Giraud-Carrier, C., & Barrett, J. (2012). There’s an app for that: content analysis of paid health and fitness apps. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 14(3), e72. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.1977

Zahra, A., Purnomo, E., & Kasiwi, A. (2020). New democracy in digital era through social media and news online. Humaniora, 11(1), 13. https://doi.org/10.21512/humaniora.v11i1.6182

Νικολοπούλου, Κ. (2022). Students' mobile phone practices for academic purposes: strengthening post-pandemic university digitalization. Sustainability, 14(22), 14958. https://doi.org/10.3390/su142214958