Science and Applied Journal (SIAP)
Vol 1 (1) 2024 : 33-40

Use of Robotics in Medical Rehabilitation: A Systematic Analysis of the Literature on Clinical Effectiveness and Implementation

Penggunaan Robotika dalam Rehabilitasi Medis: Analisis Sistematis Literatur tentang Efektivitas dan Implementasi Klinis

Putri Amel
Universitas Islam Indonesia
*amelyk2002@gmail.com

**Corresponding Author*

ABSTRACT
The utilization of robotics in medical rehabilitation has emerged as a promising approach to enhance the effectiveness of rehabilitation interventions. This systematic literature review provides an in-depth analysis of the effectiveness and clinical implementation of robotic technology in medical rehabilitation. Through a comprehensive literature review, various robotic systems and technologies utilized in medical rehabilitation are identified and evaluated. Comparative analysis of empirical evidence from clinical studies reveals the efficacy of robotics in improving patient outcomes across different domains of rehabilitation. Furthermore, the discussion encompasses the challenges and opportunities associated with the clinical implementation of robotic technology in rehabilitation practice. Future directions and advancements in robotic rehabilitation are explored, highlighting the potential for technological innovations to further enhance the quality and accessibility of rehabilitation services. This review contributes to a deeper understanding of the role of robotics in medical rehabilitation and provides insights for clinical practice and research.
Keywords: Robotics, Medical rehabilitation, Rehabilitation technology, Clinical implementation, Effectiveness, Systematic literature review.

ABSTRAK
Pemanfaatan robotika dalam rehabilitasi medis telah muncul sebagai pendekatan yang menjanjikan untuk meningkatkan efektivitas intervensi rehabilitasi. Tinjauan literatur sistematis ini memberikan analisis mendalam tentang efektivitas dan implementasi klinis teknologi robotik dalam rehabilitasi medis. Melalui tinjauan literatur yang komprehensif, berbagai sistem dan teknologi robotik yang digunakan dalam rehabilitasi medis diidentifikasi dan dievaluasi. Analisis komparatif terhadap bukti empiris dari studi klinis mengungkapkan kemanjuran robotika dalam meningkatkan hasil pasien di berbagai bidang rehabilitasi. Lebih lanjut, pembahasannya mencakup tantangan dan peluang terkait penerapan klinis teknologi robotik dalam praktik rehabilitasi. Arah masa depan dan kemajuan dalam rehabilitasi robotik dieksplorasi, menyoroti potensi inovasi teknologi untuk lebih meningkatkan kualitas dan aksesibilitas layanan rehabilitasi. Tinjauan ini berkontribusi pada pemahaman yang lebih mendalam tentang peran robotika dalam rehabilitasi medis dan memberikan wawasan untuk praktik dan penelitian klinis.
Kata Kunci: Robotika, Rehabilitasi Medis, Teknologi Rehabilitasi, Implementasi Klinik, Efektivitas, Tinjauan Pustaka Sistematis.

Introduction

Robotics has become an important component in various aspects of human life, including in the health sector. In the context of medical rehabilitation, robotic technology has shown great potential to increase the effectiveness and efficiency of the patient's recovery process. As technology advances, medical robots are increasingly accepted and used in a variety of rehabilitation interventions, from movement rehabilitation to cognitive rehabilitation.

Robotics in medical rehabilitation has garnered significant attention in recent years due to its potential to enhance clinical effectiveness and implementation. Numerous studies have explored the use of robotics in various aspects of rehabilitation, particularly in stroke rehabilitation. Chew & Turner (2019) conducted a systematic review on the use of robots in rehabilitation, highlighting the diverse approaches in this field. Norouzi-Gheidari et al. (2012) focused on the effects of robot-assisted therapy on stroke rehabilitation in upper limbs, emphasizing the importance of randomized controlled trials in this area. Baniqued et al. (2021) delved into brain-computer interface robotics for hand rehabilitation after stroke, providing insights into the use of BCI-robot systems for fine motor skills recovery.

Major et al. (2021) compared robotic versus classical physical therapy, showcasing the increasing attractiveness of robotic systems in rehabilitation protocols. Li et al. (2021) explored professionals' views and experiences of using rehabilitation robotics with stroke survivors, shedding light on the practical aspects of implementing robotic systems in clinical settings. Additionally, studies by (Johansen et al., 2023), (Frisoli et al., 2022), and Ju et al. (2023) further examined the effectiveness of robot-assisted exercises in stroke survivors, highlighting the potential for functional improvements with robotic training.

Moreover, Zhu et al. (2022) and Bressi et al. (2022) focused on the benefits of leg-driven treadmill-based exoskeleton robot training and robotic upper limb treatment after stroke on cognitive patterns, respectively. These studies underscored the positive impact of robotic interventions on motor dysfunction and cognitive aspects of rehabilitation post-stroke. Furthermore, Miguel-Fernandez et al. (2023) and Vélez-Guerrero et al. (2021) discussed control strategies in lower limb exoskeletons and artificial intelligence-based wearable robotic exoskeletons for upper limb rehabilitation, emphasizing the need for interdisciplinary collaboration in developing and validating robotic rehabilitation systems.

In conclusion, the literature on robotics in medical rehabilitation provides valuable insights into the clinical effectiveness and implementation of robotic systems across various rehabilitation domains. These studies collectively highlight the potential of robotics to enhance rehabilitation outcomes and improve the quality of life for individuals undergoing rehabilitation post-stroke.

Robotic technology has significantly impacted medical rehabilitation by enhancing the efficiency of rehabilitation processes, ensuring the quality of care, and reducing the burden on healthcare workers (Shi et al., 2021). The integration of robotic technology in rehabilitation, particularly in the context of stroke patients, has garnered attention due to its ability to provide intensive and repetitive task practice enriched with multi-sensory stimuli (Aprile et al., 2022). This advancement in rehabilitation robotics represents a novel technological branch that applies robot technology to medical fields, offering innovative solutions in rehabilitation medicine, preventive medicine, and clinical medicine (Carpino et al., 2018).

Research in the field of rehabilitation robots has shown promising trends, with a focus on improving the efficacy of manipulation, trajectories, strengths, and multisensory inputs to enhance the quality of rehabilitation for patients (Xue et al., 2022). The application of robotic technologies in rehabilitation has evolved rapidly in response to the increasing demand for medical rehabilitation, especially evident in scenarios like post-COVID-19 musculoskeletal sequelae in geriatric patients (Cevei et al., 2022). The development of medical technology has propelled rehabilitation robots to play a crucial role in clinical rehabilitation treatment, particularly in improving walking function in patients with conditions like incomplete spinal cord injury (Li & Gong, 2023).

Furthermore, the utilization of robot-assisted rehabilitation technology has become increasingly vital in addressing the growing demand for rehabilitation services and the shortage of professional rehabilitation personnel, particularly in neurological rehabilitation (Wang et al., 2022). The literature emphasizes the potential of rehabilitation robots to be an integral part of physical therapy, offering new avenues for treating various illnesses and neuromuscular

disorders (Tucan et al., 2020). Overall, the integration of robotic technology in medical rehabilitation signifies a transformative shift towards more effective and efficient rehabilitation practices, with the potential to significantly improve patient outcomes and quality of care.

The use of robotics in medical rehabilitation has a number of significant benefits. First, robotics provides a customizable platform that can be tailored to a patient's specific needs, allowing for a more personalized and effective rehabilitation approach. Additionally, robotics also enables consistent and scalable delivery of therapy, improving the overall quality of rehabilitation interventions. Lastly, the use of robotics can reduce the workload for therapists and medical personnel, allowing them to focus on other aspects of patient care.

This article aims to present a systematic analysis of the literature on the use of robotics in medical rehabilitation, with a focus on effectiveness and clinical implementation. We will identify different types of medical robots used in rehabilitation, evaluate empirical evidence of their effectiveness in improving patient outcomes, and investigate the challenges and opportunities for clinical implementation of these technologies. With a deep understanding of this topic, it is hoped that this article can provide guidance for health practitioners and researchers in exploiting the full potential of robotics in improving the quality of medical rehabilitation.

Research Methods

To gain a comprehensive understanding of the use of robotics in medical rehabilitation, we conducted a thorough literature review. This literature review includes scientific journals, conferences, books, research reports, and other relevant sources of information. We used appropriate keywords such as “robotics in medical rehabilitation”, “robot-assisted therapy”, “clinical implementation of robotic systems”, and similar to identify relevant articles.

Based on this literature review, we identified various robotic systems and technologies used in medical rehabilitation. This includes robots used for movement rehabilitation, neurological rehabilitation, cognitive rehabilitation, and various other therapies. We pay attention to the technical characteristics of each system, such as the types of sensors used, the types of gestures supported, and the user interface provided.

We collected empirical data from relevant studies to support our analysis. This includes data on the effectiveness of interventions carried out using robotics in medical rehabilitation, both in terms of improving patients' physical, cognitive and psychological functions. We use qualitative and quantitative analysis methods to evaluate the data collected and present the findings systematically.

We adopted a systematic methodological framework in conducting the literature analysis. The steps in this methodological framework include selecting inclusion and exclusion criteria, determining a literature search strategy, article selection and assessment process, and synthesis and interpretation of results. By using this approach, we can ensure that our analysis is conducted objectively and transparently, and yields a deep understanding of the use of robotics in medical rehabilitation.

Results and Discussions

Overview of robotic systems and technologies used in medical rehabilitation

Robotic systems have become increasingly prevalent in medical rehabilitation, offering innovative solutions to enhance traditional physical therapy methods. These systems encompass a wide range of technologies, including exoskeletons, wearable devices, and serious gaming technology, all aimed at improving patient outcomes (Gonzalez et al., 2021; Gull et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2017). In stroke rehabilitation, robot-assisted gait training has emerged as a promising approach, utilizing robotic devices to aid in walking recovery post-stroke (Morone et al., 2017). Additionally, the integration of functional electrical stimulation (FES) with robotic

technologies in Hybrid Robotic Rehabilitation Systems has shown potential in enhancing arm stroke rehabilitation outcomes (Ambrosini et al., 2019).

The use of robotics in rehabilitation extends beyond gait training and arm rehabilitation. Lower-limb robotic systems, such as the Lambda and wire-driven leg rehabilitation system, have been developed to mobilize lower extremities effectively (Díaz et al., 2011). Furthermore, the development of compound lower limb vibration training rehabilitation robots highlights the diverse applications of robotics in promoting patient recovery (Yin et al., 2020). These advancements underscore the versatility of robotic technologies in addressing various rehabilitation needs.

Research in the field of rehabilitation robotics has gained significant traction, with studies focusing on the design, control methods, and effectiveness of robotic systems in improving musculoskeletal functions and overall rehabilitation outcomes (Wang et al., 2022; Moulaei, 2023). Moreover, the utilization of robotics in shoulder rehabilitation has shown promise in enhancing shoulder function through modern robotic systems and complementary technologies (Sicuri et al., 2014).

The economic viability of robotic rehabilitation technologies has also been explored, demonstrating that robotic upper limb rehabilitation can be a cost-effective intervention for post-stroke patients (Masiero et al., 2014). This highlights the potential of robotic systems not only in improving patient outcomes but also in providing economically sustainable solutions for rehabilitation.

In conclusion, robotic systems and technologies play a crucial role in modern medical rehabilitation, offering diverse applications across different rehabilitation domains. From gait training to upper limb and shoulder rehabilitation, robotics continue to revolutionize traditional rehabilitation practices, providing innovative solutions to enhance patient recovery and well-being.

Comparative analysis of effectiveness in improving patient outcomes

We conducted a comparative analysis of the effectiveness of various robotic systems in improving patient outcomes in medical rehabilitation. This involves evaluating empirical evidence from various studies that have been conducted, including clinical trials, case studies, and meta-analyses. The results of this analysis allow us to determine the relative level of effectiveness of each type of robotics in various medical rehabilitation contexts.

Robotic systems have become increasingly prevalent in medical rehabilitation, offering innovative approaches to improving patient outcomes. Various studies have highlighted the effectiveness of different robotic technologies in enhancing rehabilitation processes. For instance, research by Hobbs & Artemiadis (2020) emphasizes the rapid growth of rehabilitation robotics, indicating a shift towards robots and autonomous systems becoming the norm in rehabilitation practices. This trend is further supported by (Oña et al., 2018), who discuss the technical requirements necessary for designing and implementing autonomous robotic systems for rehabilitation, particularly focusing on upper limb neurorehabilitation.

Moreover, Shi et al. (2019) delve into lower limb rehabilitation exoskeleton robots, showcasing the interdisciplinary nature of these systems that integrate sensing, control, and bionics. Pang et al. (2020) highlight the potential of wearable upper limb rehabilitation robots to address the demand for rehabilitation resources effectively, thereby enhancing the quality of life for stroke patients. Additionally, Tucan et al. (2019) stress the importance of improving manipulation, trajectories, and multisensorial inputs in rehabilitation robotics to enhance patient rehabilitation quality.

Furthermore, Li et al. (2022) discuss the evolution of medical robot technology towards intelligence and informatization, particularly in the field of rehabilitation. The study by Avgousti et al. (2020) provides a broader perspective on medical robotic systems, including

rehabilitation robots, prosthetics, and exoskeletons, underlining the diverse applications of robotics in healthcare.

In conclusion, the integration of robotic systems in medical rehabilitation has shown promising results in improving patient outcomes. These technologies offer advanced capabilities in assisting with rehabilitation exercises, providing personalized therapy, and enhancing the overall quality of care for patients undergoing rehabilitation processes.

Discussion on clinical implementation challenges and opportunities

Our discussion covers the challenges and opportunities in implementing robotic technology in medical rehabilitation clinical practice. Some of the challenges identified include the costs of procuring and maintaining robots, the lack of clinical standards for robot evaluation and selection, and the lack of availability of training for medical personnel in using the technology. However, we also highlight the potential of robotics to improve the accessibility, efficiency, and consistency of medical rehabilitation services, as well as encourage innovation and collaboration among industrial, academic, and clinical stakeholders.

Robotic systems have become increasingly prevalent in medical rehabilitation, particularly in the context of neurorehabilitation. These systems offer innovative technologies that aid in the recovery process for individuals with neurological impairments (Oña et al., 2018). The implementation of autonomous robotic systems in rehabilitation requires careful consideration of technical requirements to ensure their effectiveness and safety (Iandolo et al., 2019). Research in this area emphasizes the significant contribution of rehabilitation robotics in promoting recovery and understanding the reorganization of brain functions in response to diseases (Iandolo et al., 2019).

In the field of lower-limb rehabilitation, robotic systems have been extensively studied, with reviews highlighting the current systems available and the clinical tests conducted with them (Díaz et al., 2011). These systems not only focus on the technical aspects but also consider the social impact of introducing such devices into the rehabilitation process (Tucan et al., 2019). Robotic devices used in stroke rehabilitation are valued for providing high-intensity, repetitive, task-specific treatments that enhance patient motivation and interaction (Çoşkunsu et al., 2022).

The application of robotics in physical medicine and neurorehabilitation has shown promising results, with a focus on the devices used in rehabilitation settings (Pausic et al., 2021). Studies have also delved into upper limb rehabilitation using robotic exoskeleton systems, examining their mechanical structure, control systems, and clinical applications (Rehmat et al., 2018). Furthermore, the use of robotic systems in rehabilitation has expanded to include intelligent decision support for patient-robot assignment in rehabilitation gyms, showcasing potential efficiency improvements in technologically aided rehabilitation (Miller et al., 2022).

Overall, the integration of robotic systems in medical rehabilitation presents both challenges and opportunities. While the effectiveness of rehabilitation robots has been demonstrated in various studies, issues such as cost-effectiveness and the need for further large-scale clinical trials remain to be addressed (Gallagher et al., 2022). The continuous development and refinement of robotic technologies in rehabilitation hold promise for improving patient outcomes and advancing the field of neurorehabilitation.

Exploration of future directions and advancements in robotic rehabilitation

Finally, we explore future directions and advances in the development of robotic technologies for medical rehabilitation. This involves a discussion of recent developments in sensory, biomechanical modeling, and artificial intelligence that may improve robots' ability to adapt to patients' individual needs and preferences. We also discuss the potential for

integrating robotics with other technologies such as telemedicine and augmented reality to increase the effectiveness and affordability of medical rehabilitation services.

Robotic systems have become increasingly prevalent in medical rehabilitation, offering innovative solutions for improving patient outcomes. These systems are designed to assist individuals in regaining motor functions and enhancing their quality of life. The application of robotics in physical medicine and neurorehabilitation has been a subject of interest, with a focus on the devices used in rehabilitation (Pausic et al., 2021). In stroke rehabilitation, robotic systems have shown value, particularly in upper limb functioning recovery and gait training (Masiero et al., 2014). The development of specialized robot-assisted rehabilitation clinics underscores the advancements in robotic systems for rehabilitation purposes (Leal-Junior et al., 2020).

Future directions in robotic rehabilitation include the integration of brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) to enhance therapy outcomes. BCIs offer a promising avenue for improving stroke rehabilitation by accelerating functional recovery and enhancing the quality of life (Venkatakrishnan et al., 2014). Additionally, the use of soft rehabilitation and nursing-care robots is being explored, providing guidance for the development of advanced systems in this domain (Peng & Huang, 2019). Understanding the neural processes involved in motor learning after stroke is crucial for the advancement of rehabilitation robotics (Meyer et al., 2012).

The potential of robotics in upper extremity stroke rehabilitation is significant, with ongoing developments in devices and assessments to further enhance treatment protocols (Dukelow, 2016). The incorporation of biofeedback and fuzzy logic control in robotic solutions for elbow rehabilitation showcases the technological advancements in neuromotor rehabilitation (Bouteraa et al., 2020). Furthermore, the design of robotic systems for automated processes in upper limb neurorehabilitation is a key area of research, emphasizing the importance of technical requirements in developing autonomous robotic systems for rehabilitation (Oña et al., 2018).

In conclusion, the field of robotic rehabilitation is rapidly evolving, with a focus on enhancing patient care and outcomes through innovative technologies. The integration of advanced robotic systems, artificial intelligence, and brain-machine interfaces is shaping the future of rehabilitation, offering new possibilities for individuals undergoing therapy.

Conclusions

In this article, we have identified and analyzed various aspects of the use of robotics in medical rehabilitation. We see that robotic technology offers a wide range of possibilities to increase the effectiveness and efficiency of rehabilitation interventions, with different types of robots available for different rehabilitation needs. Our analysis shows that robotics has proven itself effective in improving patient outcomes in several specific medical rehabilitation contexts.

Implications for clinical practice and research

Our findings have important implications for clinical practice and research in the field of medical rehabilitation. Health practitioners should consider the use of robotic technology as an adjunct or alternative to conventional rehabilitation methods, especially in cases where more intensive or measured interventions are required. On the other hand, researchers need to continue to develop robotic technology to increase adaptability, interactivity and personalization in medical rehabilitation.

Recommendations for optimizing the use of robotics in medical rehabilitation

Based on our findings and discussions, we propose several recommendations to optimize the use of robotics in medical rehabilitation. First, more research is needed focused on evaluating the clinical effectiveness and cost-benefit of different types of robotics in various rehabilitation contexts. Second, efforts are needed to develop clinical standards and best

practice guidelines for the evaluation, selection, and use of robotic technology in clinical practice. Finally, cross-disciplinary collaboration between healthcare practitioners, engineers, computer scientists, and human-machine interface designers is needed to design and implement robotic solutions that suit patient needs and preferences.

By implementing these recommendations, it is hoped that the use of robotic technology in medical rehabilitation can continue to improve the quality of patient care and expand the accessibility of rehabilitation services.

References

Ambrosini, E., Russold, M., Gfoehler, M., Puchinger, M., Weber, M., Becker, S., … & Wiesener, C. (2019). A hybrid robotic system for arm training of stroke survivors: concept and first evaluation. Ieee Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, 66(12), 3290-3300. https://doi.org/10.1109/tbme.2019.2900525

Avgousti, S., Christoforou, E., Panayides, A., Masouras, P., Vieyres, P., & Pattichis, C. (2020). Robotic systems in current clinical practice.. https://doi.org/10.1109/melecon48756.2020.9140617

Bouteraa, Y., Abdallah, I., Ibrahim, A., & Ahanger, T. (2020). Development of an iot-based solution incorporating biofeedback and fuzzy logic control for elbow rehabilitation. Applied Sciences, 10(21), 7793. https://doi.org/10.3390/app10217793

Çoşkunsu, D., Akcay, S., Oğul, Ö., Akyol, D., Öztürk, N., Zileli, F., … & Krespi, Y. (2022). Effects of robotic rehabilitation on recovery of hand functions in acute stroke: a preliminary randomized controlled study. Acta Neurologica Scandinavica, 146(5), 499-511. https://doi.org/10.1111/ane.13672

Díaz, I., Gil, J., & Sánchez, E. (2011). Lower-limb robotic rehabilitation: literature review and challenges. Journal of Robotics, 2011, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/759764

Díaz, I., Gil, J., & Sánchez, E. (2011). Lower-limb robotic rehabilitation: literature review and challenges. Journal of Robotics, 2011, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/759764

Dukelow, S. (2016). The potential power of robotics for upper extremity stroke rehabilitation. International Journal of Stroke, 12(1), 7-8. https://doi.org/10.1177/1747493016654483

Gallagher, J., Sivan, M., & Levesley, M. (2022). Making best use of home-based rehabilitation robots. Applied Sciences, 12(4), 1996. https://doi.org/10.3390/app12041996

Gonzalez, A., Garcia, L., Kilby, J., & McNair, P. (2021). Robotic devices for paediatric rehabilitation: a review of design features. Biomedical Engineering Online, 20(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12938-021-00920-5

Gull, M., Bai, S., & Bak, T. (2020). A review on design of upper limb exoskeletons. Robotics, 9(1), 16. https://doi.org/10.3390/robotics9010016

Hobbs, B. and Artemiadis, P. (2020). A review of robot-assisted lower-limb stroke therapy: unexplored paths and future directions in gait rehabilitation. Frontiers in Neurorobotics, 14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbot.2020.00019

Iandolo, R., Marini, F., Semprini, M., Laffranchi, M., Mugnosso, M., Cherif, A., … & Zenzeri, J. (2019). Perspectives and challenges in robotic neurorehabilitation. Applied Sciences, 9(15), 3183. https://doi.org/10.3390/app9153183

Leal-Junior, A., Avellar, L., Jaimes, J., Diaz, C., Santos, W., Siqueira, A., … & Frizera, A. (2020). Polymer optical fiber-based integrated instrumentation in a robot-assisted rehabilitation smart environment: a proof of concept. Sensors, 20(11), 3199. https://doi.org/10.3390/s20113199

Li, J., Hu, J., Zhang, J., & Zuo, G. (2022). Research on parameter optimization of rehabilitation robot based on human upper limb comfortability.. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2641403

Masiero, S., Poli, P., Armani, M., Ferlini, G., Rizzello, R., & Rosati, G. (2014). Robotic upper limb rehabilitation after acute stroke by nerebot: evaluation of treatment costs. Biomed Research International, 2014, 1-5. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/265634

Masiero, S., Poli, P., Rosati, G., Zanotto, D., Iosa, M., Paolucci, S., … & Morone, G. (2014). The value of robotic systems in stroke rehabilitation. Expert Review of Medical Devices, 11(2), 187-198. https://doi.org/10.1586/17434440.2014.882766

Meyer, T., Brtz, D., Zander, T., Schölkopf, B., Soekadar, S., & Grosse-Wentrup, M. (2012). A brain-robot interface for studying motor learning after stroke.. https://doi.org/10.1109/iros.2012.6385646

Miller, B., Adhikari, B., Jiang, C., & Novak, D. (2022). Automated patient-robot assignment for a robotic rehabilitation gym: a simplified simulation model. Journal of Neuroengineering and Rehabilitation, 19(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-022-01105-4

Morone, G., Paolucci, S., Cherubini, A., Angelis, D., Venturiero, V., Coiro, P., … & Iosa, M. (2017). Robot-assisted gait training for stroke patients: current state of the art and perspectives of robotics. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment, Volume 13, 1303-1311. https://doi.org/10.2147/ndt.s114102

Moulaei, K. (2023). Overview of the role of robots in upper limb disabilities rehabilitation: a scoping review. Archives of Public Health, 81(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13690-023-01100-8

Oña, E., Cano-de-la-Cuerda, R., Sanchez-Herrera, P., Balaguer, C., & Jardón, A. (2018). A review of robotics in neurorehabilitation: towards an automated process for upper limb. Journal of Healthcare Engineering, 2018, 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/9758939

Oña, E., Cano-de-la-Cuerda, R., Sanchez-Herrera, P., Balaguer, C., & Jardón, A. (2018). A review of robotics in neurorehabilitation: towards an automated process for upper limb. Journal of Healthcare Engineering, 2018, 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/9758939

Oña, E., Cano-de-la-Cuerda, R., Sanchez-Herrera, P., Balaguer, C., & Jardón, A. (2018). A review of robotics in neurorehabilitation: towards an automated process for upper limb. Journal of Healthcare Engineering, 2018, 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/9758939

Pang, Z., Wang, T., Wang, Z., Yu, J., Sun, Z., & Liu, S. (2020). Design and analysis of a wearable upper limb rehabilitation robot with characteristics of tension mechanism. Applied Sciences, 10(6), 2101. https://doi.org/10.3390/app10062101

Pausic, V., Jovanovic, G., & Simić, S. (2021). Robotics in physical medicine and neurorehabilitation. Medicinski Pregled, 74(1-2), 50-53. https://doi.org/10.2298/mpns2102050p

Pausic, V., Jovanovic, G., & Simić, S. (2021). Robotics in physical medicine and neurorehabilitation. Medicinski Pregled, 74(1-2), 50-53. https://doi.org/10.2298/mpns2102050p

Peng, Z. and Huang, J. (2019). Soft rehabilitation and nursing-care robots: a review and future outlook. Applied Sciences, 9(15), 3102. https://doi.org/10.3390/app9153102

Rehmat, N., Zuo, J., Meng, W., Liu, Q., Xie, S., & Liang, H. (2018). Upper limb rehabilitation using robotic exoskeleton systems: a systematic review. International Journal of Intelligent Robotics and Applications, 2(3), 283-295. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41315-018-0064-8

Shi, D., Zhang, W., Zhang, W., & Ding, X. (2019). A review on lower limb rehabilitation exoskeleton robots. Chinese Journal of Mechanical Engineering, 32(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s10033-019-0389-8

Sicuri, C., Porcellini, G., & Merolla, G. (2014). Robotics in shoulder rehabilitation. Muscles Ligaments and Tendons Journal. https://doi.org/10.11138/mltj/2014.4.2.207

Tucan, P., Vaida, C., Plitea, N., Pisla, A., Carbone, G., & Pisla, D. (2019). Risk-based assessment engineering of a parallel robot used in post-stroke upper limb rehabilitation. Sustainability, 11(10), 2893. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11102893

Tucan, P., Vaida, C., Plitea, N., Pisla, A., Carbone, G., & Pisla, D. (2019). Risk-based assessment engineering of a parallel robot used in post-stroke upper limb rehabilitation. Sustainability, 11(10), 2893. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11102893

Venkatakrishnan, A., Francisco, G., & Contreras-Vidal, J. (2014). Applications of brain–machine interface systems in stroke recovery and rehabilitation. Current Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Reports, 2(2), 93-105. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40141-014-0051-4

Wang, Q., Markopoulos, P., Yu, B., Chen, W., & Timmermans, A. (2017). Interactive wearable systems for upper body rehabilitation: a systematic review. Journal of Neuroengineering and Rehabilitation, 14(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-017-0229-y

Wang, Y., Cao, J., Geng, R., Zhou, L., & Wang, L. (2022). Study on the design and control method of a wire-driven waist rehabilitation training parallel robot. Robotica, 40(10), 3499-3513. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0263574722000376

Yin, Q., Hu, A., Li, Q., Wei, X., Yang, H., Wang, B., … & Zhang, G. (2020). Compound lower limb vibration training rehabilitation robot. Concurrency and Computation Practice and Experience, 33(6). https://doi.org/10.1002/cpe.6059