

***The Future of Public Administration: Adapting to New Challenges and Opportunities in the 21st Century***

**Masa Depan Administrasi Publik: Beradaptasi dengan Tantangan dan Peluang Baru di Abad 21**

**Irwan Suryadi**

IAI Insan Prima Misbahul Ulum (IPMU) Gumawang

\*irwansuryadi@stitmu.ac.id

*\*Corresponding Author*

---

**ABSTRACT**

The future of public administration in the 21st century is closely linked to the changes and opportunities brought by technological advances, changing societal needs, and global trends. This research highlights the important role of technological innovation in improving the quality of public services, with a focus on efficiency and effectiveness. Through a systematic approach of literature review, this study aims to identify effective technological innovations in the context of public administration and evaluate their impact on service performance. Research methods involve searching and analyzing data from major academic databases, scientific journals, and government reports. The findings show various types of technological innovations being used, including e-government, big data analysis, artificial intelligence, Internet of Things, blockchain, and virtual reality. The implications of this research emphasize the importance of integrating technology with policy and organizational structure to increase the effectiveness of public services.

**Keywords:** public administration, technological innovation, service efficiency, service effectiveness, systematic literature review

**ABSTRAK**

Masa depan administrasi publik di abad ke-21 sangat terkait dengan perubahan dan peluang yang dibawa oleh kemajuan teknologi, perubahan kebutuhan masyarakat, dan tren global. Penelitian ini menyoroti peran penting inovasi teknologi dalam meningkatkan kualitas layanan publik, dengan fokus pada efisiensi dan efektivitas. Melalui pendekatan sistematis tinjauan literatur, studi ini bertujuan untuk mengidentifikasi inovasi teknologi yang efektif dalam konteks administrasi publik dan mengevaluasi dampaknya terhadap kinerja layanan. Metode penelitian melibatkan pencarian dan analisis data dari database akademik utama, jurnal ilmiah, dan laporan pemerintah. Temuan menunjukkan berbagai jenis inovasi teknologi yang digunakan, termasuk e-government, analisis big data, kecerdasan buatan, Internet of Things, blockchain, dan realitas virtual. Implikasi dari penelitian ini menekankan pentingnya integrasi teknologi dengan kebijakan dan struktur organisasi untuk meningkatkan efektivitas layanan publik.

**Kata kunci:** administrasi publik, inovasi teknologi, efisiensi layanan, efektivitas layanan, tinjauan literatur sistematis

**1. Introduction**

The future of public administration in the 21st century is intricately tied to the evolving landscape of challenges and opportunities brought about by technological advancements, changing societal needs, and global trends. As highlighted by (Nainggolan, 2022), the education sector is undergoing significant transformations to align with the demands of the Industry 4.0 era. This necessitates a reevaluation of curricula to incorporate competencies that prepare students for the complexities of the modern world. Similarly, Azliza et al. (2019) emphasize the importance of digital storytelling in 21st-century learning, indicating a shift towards innovative teaching methods to engage students effectively.

In the realm of leadership and education, Awang et al. (2020) shed light on the challenges faced by educational leaders in sustaining programs like the International Baccalaureate Diploma Programme (IBDP) in a changing educational landscape. This underscores the need for adaptive strategies and effective leadership to navigate the complexities of modern education systems. Furthermore, Loureiro et al. (2022) emphasize the significance of cognitive skills, problem-solving abilities, and social competencies in higher education to foster sustainable transformation and leadership.

The digital transformation and innovation discussed by Väyrynen et al. (2022) play a pivotal role in reshaping public administration practices. Embracing digitalization, knowledge management, and value creation are essential components for public institutions to thrive in the 21st century. Additionally, the pedagogy of uncertainty highlighted by Videla & Aguayo (2022) underscores the need for educators to adapt to uncertainties and leverage emerging technologies to enhance the learning experience.

As public administration transcends traditional boundaries, the integration of diverse perspectives and global competencies becomes paramount. Baracskey (2020) emphasizes the importance of teaching cultural competency and globalization in public affairs education to prepare students for diverse environments. Moreover, the focus on sustainability and community well-being, as discussed by (Kubisch et al., 2020), underscores the pivotal role of education in driving sustainable development goals. In conclusion, the future of public administration in the 21st century necessitates a multifaceted approach that integrates technological advancements, adaptive leadership strategies, innovative pedagogies, and a global perspective to address the emerging challenges and opportunities of our time. In the current digital era, the adaptation of public services to leverage technological innovations is crucial for enhancing efficiency and effectiveness. The integration of technology in public administration presents both challenges and opportunities for delivering quality services to the public. Several studies have highlighted the significance of digitalization in transforming public services.

Wang et al. (2022) emphasize the importance of utilizing the Internet to enhance the efficiency of public service delivery by local governments. This underscores the role of information technology in improving service provision. Similarly, Lynn et al. (2022) discuss how the adoption of digital technologies is essential for governments to respond to evolving demands and generate economic benefits at various levels. Moreover, Yustiari (2019) and Widodo (2019) focus on the use of information and communication technology, particularly e-government applications, to improve public service quality, accountability, and transparency. These studies highlight the potential of electronic government in enhancing administrative processes and service delivery.

Efficiency in public service delivery is a critical aspect, as highlighted by (Gumah & Aziabah, 2020), who stress that improving efficiency is vital for enhancing citizens' quality of life. Furthermore, Rana et al. (2019) emphasize the role of accountability in ensuring efficient public service delivery, which ultimately strengthens democratic processes. In conclusion, the research on technological innovations in public services underscores the importance of leveraging digital tools to enhance efficiency, accountability, and transparency in service delivery. By embracing digitalization and e-government initiatives, governments can address challenges and capitalize on opportunities to provide better services to the public in this digital age.

The formulation of the problem in the context of this research leads to the critical question: "What technological innovations are used to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of public services?" This question not only reflects an effort to explore the role of technology in the transformation of public administration, but also highlights the need for an in-depth understanding of the potential of technology in improving the performance of government organizations in providing better services to the public. In an increasingly digitally connected

society, it is important to understand how technology can be a catalyst for positive change in the provision of public services. Therefore, this research aims to answer this fundamental question by investigating various technological innovations that have been implemented in the context of public administration, and evaluating their impact on service efficiency and effectiveness.

Through detailed disclosure of technological innovations used in public administration, this research aims to provide deeper insight into the various technological solutions that have been implemented in an effort to improve the quality of public services. By critically analyzing the impact of these innovations, it is hoped that emerging trends and patterns can be identified, as well as factors that influence the success or failure of technology implementation in public administration. As such, this research has the potential to make a significant contribution to our understanding of the role of technology in shaping the future of more efficient, responsive, and trustworthy public administration.

This study shows uniqueness in emphasizing key factors that differentiate it from previous research. One of them is a strong focus on the direct link between technological innovation and increasing the efficiency and effectiveness of public services. By highlighting the close relationship between technology and public administration performance, this research aims to bridge the gap between theory and practice in the use of technology to improve public services.

The new contribution expected from this research is increasing understanding of how technology can be applied optimally in improving the performance of public administration. By delving deeper, we can identify successful patterns and obstacles that may arise in implementing this technology. This can provide valuable guidance for practitioners and decision makers in dealing with the complex challenges faced in adopting technological innovations.

In addition, it is hoped that this research can contribute to identifying opportunities for further innovation in the future. By critically analyzing the challenges and opportunities associated with technology implementation, this research can provide valuable insights for the development of policies and strategies at local, national and international levels in the context of public administration. Thus, it is hoped that this research can be a source of inspiration for practitioners, academics and decision makers in their efforts to increase the effectiveness and efficiency of public services through the application of appropriate technology.

## **2. Research Methods**

The research method used in this research framework is systematic literature review (SLR), a structured approach to collecting, evaluating, and synthesizing scientific evidence relevant to the research topic. SLR was selected for its methodologically proven ability to present a comprehensive and detailed understanding of the current status of knowledge in the field of public administration relating to technological innovation. The main objective of this SLR is to systematically identify technological innovations that are effective in increasing the efficiency and effectiveness of public services, measure their impact holistically, and identify key factors that influence their implementation.

The data collection process in this research involved the use of various relevant data sources, including leading academic databases such as Scopus and Web of Science, scientific journals related to public administration and technology, as well as official government reports containing information about the implementation of technology in public services. To ensure the completeness and accuracy of relevant study findings, careful search keywords and search strategies were designed and adapted to the stated research focus. In addition, clear inclusion and exclusion criteria have also been established to select the most relevant, high-quality, and methodologically valid studies to be included in this SLR analysis. Thus, through a systematic and measurable methodological approach, this research is expected to make a significant

contribution to the understanding of the role and impact of technological innovation in improving public administration performance.

Data analysis within the framework of this research was carried out using methods appropriate to the research objectives, which included data extraction and data synthesis techniques. The aim of this analysis process is to carefully evaluate the quality and relevance of selected studies, as well as to systematically organize the findings from these studies in order to gain a comprehensive understanding of technological innovation in improving the efficiency and effectiveness of public services.

The data extraction process was carried out carefully to gather important information from each selected study, including the type of technological innovation used, the public service context in which the innovation was implemented, the research methodology used, the main findings, and the conclusions drawn. This information is then systematically synthesized to develop a comprehensive picture of the various technological innovations that have been evaluated in the context of public administration.

During the data analysis process, researchers also pay attention to the methodological quality and validity of the results of selected studies, and filter the most relevant and meaningful information to include in the final data synthesis. By following a structured and methodological approach, this analysis process is expected to provide an in-depth and detailed understanding of the impact of technological innovation in improving the efficiency and effectiveness of public services.

During the research process, the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) protocol will be adopted to ensure transparency and credibility of the research process. The use of PRISMA is considered important because it provides clear and structured guidance for documenting the steps taken during research. The main goal is to ensure that the research process can be clearly understood by readers and can be replicated well by other researchers. Thus, the use of PRISMA is expected to strengthen the validity and reliability of the findings of this research.

The PRISMA protocol will be the guide used in research reporting, from the study selection stage to data analysis. This will include detailed documentation of inclusion and exclusion criteria, search strategies used, study selection processes, as well as data analysis methods. This approach will not only increase the credibility of the research, but will also provide a clear framework for readers to understand the methodology used. By implementing PRISMA, this research will produce a comprehensive, transparent and trustworthy report. This will ensure that the research process is conducted to the highest standards of systematic research methodology, which in turn will strengthen the trustworthiness of the research findings.

### **3. Results and Discussions**

#### **3.1. Classification of Technological Innovations**

Technological innovation plays a crucial role in shaping the future of public administration by adapting to new challenges and opportunities in the 21st century. Various types of technological innovations have been identified in the literature. Valdivieso et al. (2021) categorize public innovation into eccentric, discrete, flat, and transformative types. Potnis et al. (2019) highlight four types of innovations in public libraries: Program, Process, Partnership, and Technology. Bondarenko et al. (2020) discuss the stages of digitization in public administration, including e-government and digital government. Meijer & Thaens (2020) emphasize the importance of social innovations alongside technological innovations in the public sector.

Lee et al. (2023) differentiate between administrative and technological innovations, focusing on changes in communication methods and the implementation of programs and services. Dovhan et al. (2021) analyze the transformation of public administration systems through innovative technologies to meet modern societal needs. Lu & Gao (2022) explore the

impact of artificial intelligence on market public administration, emphasizing improved efficiency. Rahman & Hossain (2021) discuss the institutionalization of technological innovations in the public sector to enhance service delivery.

Innovations such as blockchain and e-government are highlighted in the study by (Deni et al., 2022), showcasing how automation accelerates government-led innovation. Ridei et al. (2022) stress the necessity of digital transformation in public administration to keep pace with societal computerization. Kiekow et al. (2021) provide examples of process improvements in public services through technology for efficiency and transparency. Ngwa (2023) underscores the importance of ICTs in modernizing service delivery and administrative activities.

Overall, these studies collectively demonstrate the diverse range of technological innovations in public administration, from e-government initiatives to the integration of artificial intelligence, highlighting the need for continuous adaptation to new technologies to address the challenges and opportunities of the 21st century.

In the realm of public services, the integration of various technological innovations has significantly enhanced operational efficiency and service effectiveness. E-government initiatives, as highlighted by (Bakunzibake et al., 2019), are pivotal in achieving benefits such as streamlined operations and service delivery. These initiatives encompass the establishment of government portals and mobile applications, facilitating electronic access to information and transactions for citizens. Moreover, the utilization of big data and analytics, as emphasized by (Mwilongo & Kachota, 2023), enables governments to analyze patterns and trends within public services, empowering them to make informed decisions and cater to societal needs more effectively.

Artificial intelligence (AI) technology plays a crucial role in enhancing customer service and administrative decision-making processes. Rahmadany & Ahmad (2021) underscore the application of AI through chatbots and data analysis systems, which not only improve customer interactions but also expedite administrative procedures. Furthermore, the Internet of Things (IoT) has revolutionized public infrastructure management by deploying smart sensors to optimize resource allocation and enhance transportation services, as noted by (Zeebaree et al., 2021).

Blockchain technology, as highlighted by (Farida & Lestari, 2021), bolsters security and transparency in managing government data and finances. This ensures the integrity of transactions and fosters trust among stakeholders. Additionally, virtual reality and augmented reality technologies, as discussed by (Krajcovic et al., 2021), are leveraged for employee training and citizen engagement through virtual tours, enhancing the overall experience and understanding of public services.

By amalgamating these technological advancements, governments aim to cultivate more efficient, responsive, and cost-effective public services, aligning with the evolving needs of the community. The synergy of e-government, big data analytics, AI, IoT, blockchain, and virtual/augmented reality technologies signifies a paradigm shift towards modernizing public service delivery and governance.

### **3.2. The Impact of Technological Innovation on the Efficiency and Effectiveness of Public Services**

Technological innovation plays a crucial role in enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of public services. Studies such as Maroa & Namusonge (2019) emphasize that innovation, particularly through the use of technology, positively impacts service delivery. Aboal & Garda (2015) further support this notion by highlighting that both technological and non-technological innovations contribute to productivity gains in services, with non-technological innovations playing a significant role. Safitri & Setyowati (2021) discuss how innovation, integrated with information technology, can restructure public services, leading to improved outcomes.

Carroll (2016) delves into the socio-technical dynamics of public service innovation driven by IT innovations, which alter power dynamics and enhance accountability. Ahmad (2021) underscores the importance of digital-based public services in improving efficiency and effectiveness, contributing to community empowerment. Meričková & Muthová (2021) elaborate on how ICT can engage citizens in the innovation process of public services, enhancing their involvement and satisfaction.

Ubaldi et al. (2019) and Velsberg et al. (2020) highlight the role of emerging technologies like AI and IoT in delivering personalized services, fostering citizen engagement, and making public organizations more agile and resilient. Li (2024) introduces a technological advancement in disinfection services, showcasing how innovation contributes to public health measures. Andersen (2022) discusses how digital work practices can lead to further innovation in public welfare services.

In conclusion, the synthesis of these references underscores the significant impact of technological innovation on public services, ranging from restructuring service delivery frameworks to enhancing citizen engagement and improving operational efficiency. By leveraging emerging technologies and fostering a culture of innovation, public sector organizations can optimize their services, leading to better outcomes for both the government and the public.

Technological innovation has significantly transformed public services in various ways. Firstly, it has enhanced efficiency by streamlining administrative processes through e-government implementation, reducing time and costs (Hu et al., 2019). Secondly, technology has improved service quality by increasing accessibility and responsiveness to citizen needs, facilitated by mobile applications and AI systems (Hartanti et al., 2022). Thirdly, technology has promoted transparency and accountability in governance by utilizing tools like blockchain to ensure data integrity and security, thereby enhancing public trust (AlShehail et al., 2021).

However, challenges accompany technological innovation in public services. The digital divide can exacerbate inequalities by limiting access to technology-enhanced services (Hu et al., 2019). Additionally, concerns around data protection and privacy arise due to the potential risks of personal information leakage or misuse (Andersen, 2022).

To address these challenges, governments must ensure that the benefits of technological innovation are equitable and accessible to all segments of society. This involves considering the impact of innovation on vulnerable or excluded groups in public services (Muluk et al., 2021). Moreover, it is crucial for governments to adopt incremental innovation approaches and integrate digital technologies effectively to enhance service delivery (Ahmad, 2021). In conclusion, while technological innovation offers immense potential to enhance public services, it is essential for governments to navigate the associated challenges effectively. By prioritizing inclusivity, transparency, and data security, governments can harness the benefits of technology to improve service delivery and governance for all citizens.

### **3.3. Factors that influence successful implementation of technological innovation.**

Successful implementation of technological innovations in public administration is influenced by various factors. The adoption of innovation in public organizations is complex and diverse, depending on the type of innovation, such as technological, administrative, and process (Lee et al., 2023). Structural intellectual capital has a positive and direct influence on the capacity for innovation in public administration (Silva et al., 2021). Additionally, the digital transformation of the state, as seen in the case of Germany, showcases the significant progress made in digitizing public services (Mergel, 2021).

In the 21st century, the role of information and communication technology (ICT) is crucial in driving economic growth and societal development (Xidirova, 2020). Digital tools are increasingly being utilized to address structural and administrative challenges faced by Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) (Saykili, 2019). Moreover, the integration of 21st-century skills,

such as information literacy and technology literacy, is essential for effective leadership in public administration (Lues, 2020).

Furthermore, the importance of social equity and global awareness in public administration is emphasized, highlighting the need for administrators to address inequities and improve public service outcomes (McCandless, 2020). Public sector entrepreneurship and innovation play a vital role in driving technological advancements within public organizations (Gicheva & Link, 2021). The development of innovation configuration maps can enhance classroom management in 21st-century learning environments (Herfina, 2022). In conclusion, the successful implementation of technological innovations in public administration requires a combination of factors such as structural intellectual capital, digital transformation, and the integration of 21st-century skills. Administrators need to adapt to new challenges and opportunities by leveraging digital tools, fostering innovation, and promoting social equity to meet the evolving demands of the 21st century.

The successful implementation of technological innovation in public services is contingent upon several critical factors. Firstly, strong leadership support from the government is essential. Leaders who advocate for and facilitate the adoption of technology in public administration can ensure proper resource allocation and foster an organizational culture conducive to change (Savitri et al., 2023).

Secondly, the presence of adequate technological infrastructure is paramount. A robust technological framework, including reliable internet connectivity and stringent security measures, is crucial for the smooth execution of technological innovations in public services (Natário & Couto, 2021).

Moreover, the skills and capacity of government personnel significantly impact the successful implementation of technological innovation. Providing comprehensive training and enhancing the technological proficiency of employees are vital for the effective integration of new technologies into public service delivery (Meričková & Muthová, 2021).

Additionally, a supportive policy and regulatory environment are indispensable. Well-crafted policies and regulations that promote the utilization of new technologies can help mitigate legal and administrative obstacles during the implementation phase ("undefined", 2019).

Lastly, public participation and engagement play a pivotal role in the successful implementation of technological innovation. Involving communities in the planning and development of technology solutions ensures that services align with their needs, fostering acceptance and minimizing resistance to change (Rahman et al., 2021).

By addressing these factors and proactively overcoming challenges, governments can enhance the prospects of successfully implementing technological innovations in public services, ultimately improving service delivery and meeting the evolving needs of citizens.

### **3.4. Challenges and Opportunities for Implementing Technological Innovation**

Implementing technological innovation in public administration presents various challenges in adapting to new opportunities in the 21st century. Lee et al. (2023) highlight the significance of organizational culture and leadership in influencing administrative and technological innovation. They define administrative innovation as changes in communication methods and employee work structures, while technological innovation involves implementing new programs and services. Williams et al. (2022) emphasize that technology and innovation are fundamental to modern organizations across all aspects.

One significant barrier to innovation, as identified by Carvache-Franco et al. (2022), is the high costs associated with innovation development, leading many companies in developing countries to prefer acquiring technology rather than developing it internally. Furthermore, Anderson (2016) points out that distinct barriers to innovation, such as market failures, create inefficiencies that necessitate public institutions to address scientific and technical needs.

In the realm of education, Saykili (2019) discusses the impact of digital connective technologies on higher education, offering solutions to structural and administrative challenges faced by institutions. Mutohhari et al. (2021) stress the importance of integrating technology based on 21st-century skills in vocational education to enhance learning capabilities. Additionally, Moshinski et al. (2021) explore trends and challenges in modern educational technologies, including financing, digital integration, and informational security.

To address these challenges, it is crucial for public administrators to consider technology integration and coordination across various domains (David and McNutt, 2019). Safri & Jamaludin (2022) emphasize the importance of developing 21st-century skills, including information skills and communication, through ICT to meet the demands of the digital era. In conclusion, the successful implementation of technological innovation in public administration requires addressing barriers such as high costs, market failures, and the need for skill development in the digital age. By leveraging organizational culture, effective leadership, and strategic technology integration, public administrations can adapt to new challenges and opportunities in the 21st century.

The implementation of technological innovation in public services faces various challenges that must be addressed for successful outcomes. One significant challenge is the digital divide, where disparities in access and skills hinder the equitable use of technology-enhanced public services (Sinaga et al., 2022). This gap can lead to inequalities in accessing essential services. Moreover, data security and privacy concerns are critical issues in the adoption of technology in public administration, as breaches can erode public trust and impede technology adoption (Pawar et al., 2021). Ensuring robust data protection measures is essential to maintain public confidence.

Additionally, the financial burden of implementing new technologies poses a barrier, as governments may struggle to allocate sufficient resources for purchasing, integrating, and maintaining new systems (Widodo, 2019). Resistance to change from government employees who are accustomed to traditional work methods can also impede technological innovation (Cordella & Paletti, 2019). Furthermore, legal and regulatory uncertainties surrounding new technologies can create additional challenges that need to be navigated (Crîșmariu & Șomîtcă, 2022).

To overcome these challenges, a comprehensive approach is necessary, involving training, education, and the development of appropriate policies (García et al., 2022). By addressing these issues proactively, governments can mitigate barriers to technological innovation and maximize the benefits for society. This approach includes not only investing in infrastructure but also in capacity building and creating a supportive environment for embracing change (Khan et al., 2019). In conclusion, by recognizing and addressing challenges such as the digital divide, data security, financial constraints, resistance to change, and legal uncertainties, governments can pave the way for successful implementation of technological innovations in public services. Through a coordinated effort that encompasses training, education, and policy development, the potential benefits of technology in enhancing public services can be fully realized.

### **3.5. Opportunities to increase the effectiveness of implementing technological innovations.**

To enhance the effectiveness of implementing technological innovations in public administration in the 21st century, several key strategies can be considered. Administrative and technological innovations are interconnected, with administrative innovation involving changes in organizational rules and structures, while technological innovation pertains to the implementation of programs and services (Lee et al., 2023). Collaboration and co-creation are essential in the public sector to drive innovation, with innovation being a combination of political, administrative, and technological elements (Rakšnys et al., 2020). Process innovation,

which integrates technological and organizational changes, is crucial and can result from the introduction of new technology within an organization (Khodadad-Saryazdi, 2021).

Moreover, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in public sector operations can significantly improve efficiency and service delivery. AI co-creation in the public sector involves assessing steps to integrate AI within the public innovation ecosystem (Autioniemi, 2020). Additionally, the ambidextrous utilization of AI in policing, as outlined in a conceptual framework, presents opportunities and challenges for leveraging AI in law enforcement tasks (Korhonen et al., 2021).

Furthermore, to address the evolving landscape of public administration, it is essential to focus on developing 21st-century skills among public sector employees. This includes integrating skills such as digital literacy, digital leadership, and other key competencies to adapt to the changing environment (Lues, 2020; Amadi, 2021; Safri & Jamaludin, 2022). Public health policies and risk assessments are also critical in the 21st century, with a focus on evidence-based responses, effective leadership, and ethical considerations (Caton et al., 2020; Anderson et al., 2020). In conclusion, by fostering a culture of innovation, embracing technological advancements like AI, promoting collaboration, and developing essential skills among public sector employees, the implementation of technological innovations in public administration can be significantly enhanced to meet the challenges and opportunities of the 21st century.

To enhance the effectiveness of implementing technological innovations in public services, several opportunities can be leveraged. Firstly, investing in training and technology skills development for government employees can improve their capacity to manage new technologies efficiently and reduce resistance to change (Meričková & Muthová, 2021). Additionally, adhering to standards and best practices in technological innovation implementation can streamline the process and mitigate risks, drawing from successful experiences in other regions (Savitri et al., 2023). Collaboration with private sectors and non-profit organizations can provide governments with additional resources, expertise, and broaden the impact of technology solutions (Safitri & Setyowati, 2021).

Moreover, fostering a culture of innovation and supporting pilot projects within public administration can lead to the identification of effective solutions for public service challenges (Wal & Demircioğlu, 2020). By embracing these opportunities and addressing existing challenges, governments can increase the likelihood of successful technological innovation implementation, ultimately delivering greater benefits to society.

#### **4. Conclusions**

In the context of the evolution of public administration in the digital era, research highlights the important role of technological innovation in improving the quality of public services. A number of types of technological innovation have been identified, including e-government, big data analysis, artificial intelligence (AI), Internet of Things (IoT), blockchain, as well as virtual reality and augmented reality. Through the application of this technology, government organizations can optimize administrative processes, speed up decision making, and increase responsiveness to community needs. Apart from that, technology also opens up new opportunities in interaction between government and society, enabling active citizen participation in the delivery of public services and the development of more inclusive policies.

However, although technological innovation promises various benefits, its implementation is not free from a number of challenges. The high costs of developing and using technology tend to be a major obstacle, especially for developing countries with budget constraints. In addition, the digital divide between urban and rural areas is also a serious obstacle in ensuring equitable access to technology services. Not only that, concerns about data security and privacy also need to be taken seriously, considering the potential risk of leakage or misuse of personal information. Therefore, while the opportunities to improve the

efficiency and effectiveness of public services through technological innovation are enormous, governments also need to carefully consider the various risks and challenges associated with their implementation.

Although technological innovation offers various opportunities to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of public services, the challenges associated with its implementation cannot be ignored. The high costs of developing and implementing technology are often a major barrier, especially for countries with limited resources. Additionally, the digital divide between urban and rural areas can exacerbate unequal access to technology services, magnifying social divisions. In addition, concerns about data privacy are a central issue in society's acceptance of technology, considering the potential risk of leakage or misuse of personal information. Resistance to change also often appears among government employees who are accustomed to traditional work methods, thereby slowing down the adoption of new technology. Additionally, uncertainty surrounding laws and regulations can create doubt and uncertainty in implementing technological innovations. However, by proactively recognizing these factors and taking appropriate steps to overcome each challenge, governments can increase the chances of successful implementation of technological innovations in public services. Thus, collaborative efforts in overcoming these challenges will be key to realizing the full potential of technological innovation in improving public services effectively and sustainably.

Apart from the challenges faced, there are also a number of opportunities that can be exploited to increase the effectiveness of implementing technological innovation in public services. One key opportunity is investment in training and technology skills development for government employees. By increasing their technological understanding and competency, government employees will be better prepared to manage and utilize new technologies efficiently, thereby improving the quality of services provided to the public. In addition, collaboration with the private sector and non-profit organizations can also be an effective strategy in expanding access to the resources and expertise needed to implement technological innovations. By collaborating with various parties, the government can obtain additional support in the development, implementation and maintenance of new technology, thereby accelerating the transformation of public services. Furthermore, establishing a culture of innovation within government is also key in optimizing the benefits of technology for society. By encouraging the creation of a work environment that supports experimentation and new ideas, the government can facilitate an innovation process that is sustainable and responsive to change, thereby strengthening the effectiveness of implementing technological innovation in public services as a whole. By utilizing these opportunities wisely, the government can create an ecosystem that supports improving the quality and accessibility of public services through the use of innovative technology.

As an implication of these findings, it is important for governments to thoroughly consider how they can integrate technology with existing policies, practices and organizational structures. In doing so, they can face challenges and better exploit opportunities in their efforts to improve public services through technological innovation. Robust integration between technology and other aspects of public administration will enable governments to achieve greater efficiency in the provision of services to the public, while taking into account the needs and expectations of citizens.

However, this research has limitations in the scope of the literature which may not cover all aspects of technological innovation in public services. Therefore, there is a need for further research that can explore more deeply the impact and factors influencing the implementation of technological innovation in the context of public administration. It is hoped that future research will provide deeper and more comprehensive insight into how technology can be a catalyst for positive change in public services. In this way, more appropriate and

effective steps can be taken to optimize the benefits of technology in meeting society's needs efficiently and responsively.

## 5. References

- Aboal, D. and Garda, P. (2015). Technological and non-technological innovation and productivity in services vis-à-vis manufacturing sectors. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 25(5), 435-454. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10438599.2015.1073478>
- Ahmad, J. (2021). Adopting incremental innovation approaches in the digitalization of village government services. *Jkap (Jurnal Kebijakan Dan Administrasi Publik)*, 24(2), 145. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jkap.54028>
- AlShehail, O., Khan, M., & Ajmal, M. (2021). Total quality management and sustainability in the public service sector: the mediating effect of service innovation. *Benchmarking an International Journal*, 29(2), 382-410. <https://doi.org/10.1108/bij-08-2020-0449>
- Amadi, C. (2021). The integration of 21st-century skills in science: a case study of canada and the usa. *Education and Urban Society*, 55(1), 56-87. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00131245211062531>
- Andersen, S. (2022). Diffusion of innovative digital work practices., 278-284. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-15273-3\\_31](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-15273-3_31)
- Anderson, E., Omenn, G., & Turnham, P. (2020). Improving health risk assessment as a basis for public health decisions in the 21st century. *Risk Analysis*, 40(S1), 2272-2299. <https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.13617>
- Anderson, G. (2016). The economic impact of technology infrastructure for advanced roll-to-roll manufacturing.. <https://doi.org/10.6028/nist.eab.5>
- Autioniemi, J. (2020). Tekoälyn yhteiskehittäminen julkisella sektorilla. *Hallinnon Tutkimus*, 39(1), 5-20. <https://doi.org/10.37450/ht.98075>
- Awang, S., Lee, K., & Chua, Y. (2020). Challenges and strategies of educational leadership to sustain the international baccalaureate diploma programme (ibdp) in a malaysian premier public school. *International Online Journal of Educational Leadership*, 3(1), 4-25. <https://doi.org/10.22452/iojel.vol3no1.2>
- Azliza, N., Ibrahim, N., Zulkipli, Z., & Yusof, M. (2019). Digital storytelling for 21st century learning: a study on pre-service teachers' perception. *Asian Journal of University Education*, 15(3), 226. <https://doi.org/10.24191/ajue.v15i3.7801>
- Bakunzibake, P., Klein, G., & Islam, M. (2019). E-government implementation and monitoring: the case of rwanda's 'one-stop' e-government. *The Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, 85(5). <https://doi.org/10.1002/isd2.12086>
- Baracskay, D. (2020). Teaching diversity, cultural competency, and globalization to american public affairs students: integrating comparative approaches to public administration and policy. *Teaching Public Administration*, 39(3), 287-317. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0144739420921918>
- Bondarenko, S., Liganenko, I., & Mykytenko, D. (2020). Transformation of public administration in digital conditions: world experience, prospects of ukraine. *Journal of Scientific Papers Social Development & Security*, 10(2), 76-89. <https://doi.org/10.33445/sds.2020.10.2.9>
- Carroll, N. (2016). So that's what the impact of it innovation looks like? examining the socio-technical dynamics of public service innovation. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 29(5), 677-705. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jeim-07-2014-0072>
- Caton, L., Yuan, M., Louie, D., Gallo, C., Abram, K., Palinkas, L., ... & McGovern, M. (2020). The prospects for sustaining evidence-based responses to the us opioid epidemic state leadership perspectives.. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-67722/v1>

- Cordella, A. and Paletti, A. (2019). Government as a platform, orchestration, and public value creation: the italian case. *Government Information Quarterly*, 36(4), 101409. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.101409>
- Crîșmariu, A. and Șomîtcă, S. (2022). The challenges of digitalization in the public sector: cloud computing. *Ceccar Business Review*, 2(12), 65-72. <https://doi.org/10.37945/cbr.2021.12.08>
- Deni, S., Deni, A., & Husain, T. (2022). The grand model of bureaucratic reform in strengthening government innovation: a review of north maluku province, indonesia. *Journal of Public Policy and Administration*, 6(4), 165. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jppa.20220604.12>
- Dovhan, V., Hrushchynska, N., Kudrina, O., Божкова, B., Zaporozhets, T., & Makarenko, M. (2021). Innovative technologies for the public administration transformation. *Studies of Applied Economics*, 39(5). <https://doi.org/10.25115/eea.v39i5.4945>
- Farida, I. and Lestari, A. (2021). Implementation of e-government as a public service innovation in indonesia. *Rudn Journal of Public Administration*, 8(1), 72-79. <https://doi.org/10.22363/2312-8313-2021-8-1-72-79>
- García, R., Jauregui, I., Amo, C., Gandiaga, A., Rodríguez, O., Margallo, L., ... & Eguiraun, H. (2022). Implementation of an in-house 3d manufacturing unit in a public hospital's radiology department. *Healthcare*, 10(9), 1791. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10091791>
- Gicheva, D. and Link, A. (2021). Public sector entrepreneurship, politics, and innovation. *Small Business Economics*, 59(2), 565-572. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-021-00550-0>
- Gumah, B. and Aziabah, M. (2020). "our lives are affected by government agencies": citizens' perception survey as a measure of public service efficiency in ghana. *Sage Open*, 10(2), 215824402093590. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020935900>
- Hartanti, F., Abawajy, J., & Chowdhury, M. (2022). Evaluating public service delivery smartness and impact on citizens' well-being. *Ieee Access*, 10, 69106-69124. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2022.3186325>
- Herfina, E. (2022). Feasibility test of 21st century classroom management through development innovation configuration map. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pengajaran Guru Sekolah Dasar (Jppguseda)*, 5(3), 101-104. <https://doi.org/10.55215/jppguseda.v5i3.6510>
- Hu, G., Yan, J., Pan, W., Chohan, S., & Liu, L. (2019). The influence of public engaging intention on value co-creation of e-government services. *Ieee Access*, 7, 111145-111159. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2019.2934138>
- Khan, Z., Abbasi, A., & Pervez, Z. (2019). Blockchain and edge computing-based architecture for participatory smart city applications. *Concurrency and Computation Practice and Experience*, 32(12). <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpe.5566>
- Khodadad-Saryazdi, A. (2021). Process innovation in public sector., 1-8. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-31816-5\\_4293-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-31816-5_4293-1)
- Kiekow, A., Motta, M., Camargo, M., Dullius, A., Ansuji, A., & Neto, A. (2021). Telectronic government and process innovation. *International Journal for Innovation Education and Research*, 9(10), 342-356. <https://doi.org/10.31686/ijer.vol9.iss10.3458>
- Korhonen, T., Heino, O., & Laine, T. (2021). Ambidextrous utilisation of artificial intelligence in policing: a conceptual framework. *Hallinnon Tutkimus*, 40(4), 264-275. <https://doi.org/10.37450/ht.107860>
- Krajcovic, M., Matys, M., Binasova, V., & Stárek, M. (2021). Augmented reality as a powerful marketing tool. *Proceedings of Cbu in Economics and Business*, 2, 41-47. <https://doi.org/10.12955/peb.v2.253>
- Kubisch, S., Parth, S., Deisenrieder, V., Oberauer, K., Stötter, J., & Keller, L. (2020). From transdisciplinary research to transdisciplinary education—the role of schools in

- contributing to community well-being and sustainable development. *Sustainability*, 13(1), 306. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13010306>
- Lee, H., Butler, J., & Jeong, J. (2023). Administrative and technological innovation: the indirect effects of organizational culture and leadership. *Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences*, (68 E), 34-57. <https://doi.org/10.24193/tras.68e.3>
- Li, H. (2024). A disinfection vehicle featuring dynamic mask recognition and spraying capability.. <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.3025684>
- Loureiro, P., Dieguez, T., & Ferreira, I. (2022). Higher education as a driver for sustainable transformation and leadership. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 270-277. <https://doi.org/10.54660/anfo.2022.3.4.13>
- Lu, Y. and Gao, X. (2022). The impact of artificial intelligence technology on market public administration in a complex market environment. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2022, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5646234>
- Lues, L. (2020). Has public leadership as we know it reached the end of its shelf life? exploring leadership styles in the 21st century. *Teaching Public Administration*, 39(2), 175-191. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0144739420974737>
- Lynn, T., Rosati, P., Conway, E., Curran, D., Fox, G., & O’Gorman, C. (2022). Digital public services., 49-68. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-91247-5\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-91247-5_3)
- Maroa, S. and Namusonge, M. (2019). Strategic innovation and service delivery in public universities in kenya: a case of kenyatta university. *International Journal of Current Aspects*, 3(III), 199-211. <https://doi.org/10.35942/ijcab.v3iii.39>
- McCandless, S. (2020). morgen johansen (ed.), *social equity in the asia-pacific region: conceptualizations and realities* (cham, switzerland: palgrave macmillan, 2019). 257pp. \$64.99 (hardcover), isbn: 978-3-030-15919-1. *Public Administration Review*, 80(6), 1145-1147. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13317>
- Meijer, A. and Thaens, M. (2020). The dark side of public innovation. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 44(1), 136-154. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2020.1782954>
- Mergel, I. (2021). Digital transformation of the german state., 331-355. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-53697-8\\_19](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-53697-8_19)
- Meričková, B. and Muthová, N. (2021). Innovative concept of providing local public services based on ict. *Nispacee Journal of Public Administration and Policy*, 14(1), 135-167. <https://doi.org/10.2478/nispa-2021-0006>
- Meričková, B. and Muthová, N. (2021). Innovative concept of providing local public services based on ict. *Nispacee Journal of Public Administration and Policy*, 14(1), 135-167. <https://doi.org/10.2478/nispa-2021-0006>
- Meričková, B. and Muthová, N. (2021). Innovative concept of providing local public services based on ict. *Nispacee Journal of Public Administration and Policy*, 14(1), 135-167. <https://doi.org/10.2478/nispa-2021-0006>
- Moshinski, V., Позняковська, H., Mikluha, O., & Voitko, M. (2021). Modern education technologies: 21stcentury trends and challenges. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 104, 03009. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/202110403009>
- Muluk, M., Pratama, M., & Muzaqi, A. (2021). The landscape of inclusive public service innovation in indonesian local government.. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aebmr.k.210928.090>
- Mutohhari, F., Sutiman, S., Nurtanto, M., Kholifah, N., & Samsudin, A. (2021). Difficulties in implementing 21st century skills competence in vocational education learning. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (Ijere)*, 10(4), 1229. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v10i4.22028>

- Mwilongo, K. and Kachota, B. (2023). A systematic review on the extant of tanzania e-government services for economic development.. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2758625/v1>
- Nainggolan, S. (2022). Students' competence needs analysis in curriculum for facing 21st century education. *Al-Ishlah Jurnal Pendidikan*, 14(2), 2099-2106. <https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v14i2.1997>
- Natário, M. and Couto, J. (2021). Drivers, enables and conditions for public sector innovation in european countries. *Innovar*, 32(83). <https://doi.org/10.15446/innovar.v32n83.99255>
- Ngwa, P. (2023). E-governance and cameroon universities' management. *International Journal of Scientific Advances*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.51542/ijscia.v4i2.10>
- Pawar, A., Ghumbre, S., & Jogdand, R. (2021). Privacy preserving model-based authentication and data security in cloud computing. *International Journal of Pervasive Computing and Communications*, 19(2), 173-190. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijpcc-11-2020-0193>
- Potnis, D., Winberry, J., Finn, B., & Hunt, C. (2019). What is innovative to public libraries in the united states? a perspective of library administrators for classifying innovations. *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science*, 52(3), 792-805. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0961000619871991>
- Rahmadany, A. and Ahmad, M. (2021). The implementation e-government to increase democratic participation: the use of mobile government. *Jurnal Studi Sosial Dan Politik*, 5(1), 22-34. <https://doi.org/10.19109/jssp.v5i1.8552>
- Rahman, A., Hanani, R., Warsono, H., Astuti, R., & Putranti, I. (2021). Disaster and new adaptations: digital transformation in public services as an impact of the covid-19 pandemic in indonesia.. <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.21-10-2020.2311858>
- Rahman, S. and Hossain, M. (2021). Institutionalization of technological innovations in the public sector of bangladesh. *Bangladesh Journal of Public Administration*, 29(2), 102-115. <https://doi.org/10.36609/bjpa.v29i2.221>
- Rakšnys, A., Valickas, A., & Vanagas, R. (2020). Challenges of creation and implementation of collaborative innovations in public sector organisations. *Public Policy and Administration*, 19(1), 9-21. <https://doi.org/10.5755/j01.ppaa.19.1.25989>
- Rana, F., Ali, A., Riaz, W., & Irfan, A. (2019). Impact of accountability on public service delivery efficiency.. *Journal of Public Value and Administrative Insight*, 2(1), 7-9. <https://doi.org/10.31580/jpvai.v2i1.480>
- Ridei, N., Walat, W., Tytova, N., Stepanenko, L., & Aleksanian, A. (2022). Digital transformation of public administration: sociocultural forms of organization in education, science and innovation. *Cuestiones Políticas*, 40(73), 868-882. <https://doi.org/10.46398/cuestpol.4073.50>
- Safitri, N. and Setyowati, K. (2021). Service innovation for route permits and public transport operations permits through si pintar solo at the surakarta city department of transportation. *Publica Jurnal Pemikiran Administrasi Negara*, 13(2). <https://doi.org/10.15575/jpan.v13i2.12267>
- Safri, U. and Jamaludin, K. (2022). Pak 21 skills and the challenges of its integration during teaching and facilitation session (pdpc). *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, 11(4). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarped/v11-i4/15031>
- Savitri, R., Cahyarini, B., Ahad, M., Firmansyah, N., Gusparirin, R., & Samsara, L. (2023). Indonesian public service innovation trends: an analysis based on public service innovation competition top innovations 2014-2019. *Kne Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v8i5.12995>
- Saykili, A. (2019). Higher education in the digital age: the impact of digital connective technologies. *Journal of Educational Technology and Online Learning*, 2(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.31681/jetol.516971>

- Silva, R., Jardon, C., & Ávila, L. (2021). Effects of structural intellectual capital on the innovation capacity of public administration. *Journal of Technology Management & Innovation*, 16(3), 66-78. <https://doi.org/10.4067/s0718-27242021000300066>
- Sinaga, T. and Putra, F. (2022). Implementation of the e-kelurahan program in improving public services in kelurahan koya timur city of jayapura.. [https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-494069-07-7\\_53](https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-494069-07-7_53)
- Ubaldi, B., Fevre, E., Petrucci, E., Marchionni, P., Biancalana, C., Hiltunen, N., ... & Yang, C. (2019). State of the art in the use of emerging technologies in the public sector.. <https://doi.org/10.1787/932780bc-en>
- Valdivieso, G., Gómez, L., & Ordóñez-Matamoros, G. (2021). Toward a typology of public innovation. *eccentric, discrete, flat and transformative innovation.*, 15-34. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80832-7\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80832-7_2)
- Väyrynen, H., Helander, N., & Jalonen, H. (2022). Public innovation and digital transformation.. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003230854>
- Velsberg, O., Westergren, U., & Jonsson, K. (2020). Exploring smartness in public sector innovation - creating smart public services with the internet of things. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 29(4), 350-368. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085x.2020.1761272>
- Videla, R. and Aguayo, C. (2022). Pedagogy of uncertainty. *Pacific Journal of Technology Enhanced Learning*, 4(1), 29-30. <https://doi.org/10.24135/pjtel.v4i1.147>
- Wal, Z. and Demircioğlu, M. (2020). Public sector innovation in the asia-pacific trends, challenges, and opportunities. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 79(3), 271-278. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8500.12435>
- Wang, Y., Liu, Y., & Hu, Y. (2022). An empirical analysis based on a panel threshold model of the effect of internet development on the efficiency of chinese government public service supply. *Plos One*, 17(7), e0271390. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0271390>
- Widodo, N. (2019). Innovation patterns of local government in handling community complaints based on information systems (comparative study in kota surabaya and kabupaten banyuwangi).. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aicobpa-18.2019.37>
- Widodo, N. (2019). Innovation patterns of local government in handling community complaints based on information systems (comparative study in kota surabaya and kabupaten banyuwangi).. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aicobpa-18.2019.37>
- Williams, E., Armistead, J., & Rude, D. (2022). Leading for innovation: a new model for 21st-century leadership. *New Horizons in Adult Education and Human Resource Development*, 34(4), 3-13. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nha3.20366>
- Xidirova, B. (2020). The fundamental significance of information technology in modern economic growth and development.. <https://doi.org/10.36074/11.12.2020.v1.10>
- Yustiari, S. (2019). E-government innovation: e-wadul application for better public service of surabaya city.. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aicobpa-18.2019.47>
- Zeebaree, M., Sattar, S., Ismael, G., Qader, A., & Aqel, M. (2021). Impact of infrastructure barriers on electronic government implementation. *Studies of Applied Economics*, 38(4). <https://doi.org/10.25115/eea.v38i4.3971>

