Management Studies and Business Journal (PRODUCTIVITY)
Vol 1 (7) 2024 : 1058-1071

The Influence of Behavioral Finance on Investment Decisions: A Systematic Literature Review

Pengaruh Behavioral Finance terhadap Keputusan Investasi: Tinjauan Literatur Sistematis

Romi Ferdian[1]
Universitas Muhammadiyah Pontianak[1]
*romi.ferdian@unmuhpnk.ac.id[1]

**Corresponding Author*

ABSTRACT
Behavioral finance is a discipline that combines psychology with economic theory to understand the influence of cognitive biases on investment decisions. This research aims to explore the role of cognitive biases, such as overconfidence, herding, and aversion to losses, in long-term and short-term investment decision making and their impact on retail and institutional investors. Using systematic methods with the PRISMA approach, this study analyzes relevant literature to identify patterns and differences in the impact of bias. The findings show that cognitive biases significantly influence investment decisions, with clear differences between long-term and short-term investments, as well as between retail and institutional investors. The implications of this research include the development of more rational investment strategies and the creation of effective policies to reduce the negative effects of cognitive bias.
Keywords: Behavioral finance, cognitive bias, investment decisions, long term investments, short term investments, retail investors, institutional investors.

ABSTRAK
Behavioral finance adalah disiplin yang menggabungkan psikologi dengan teori ekonomi untuk memahami pengaruh bias kognitif terhadap keputusan investasi. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengeksplorasi peran bias kognitif, seperti overconfidence, herding, dan aversi terhadap kerugian, dalam pengambilan keputusan investasi jangka panjang dan jangka pendek serta dampaknya terhadap investor ritel dan institusi. Menggunakan metode sistematik dengan pendekatan PRISMA, studi ini menganalisis literatur yang relevan untuk mengidentifikasi pola dan perbedaan dalam dampak bias. Temuan menunjukkan bahwa bias kognitif mempengaruhi keputusan investasi secara signifikan, dengan perbedaan yang jelas antara investasi jangka panjang dan jangka pendek, serta antara investor ritel dan institusi. Implikasi penelitian ini meliputi pengembangan strategi investasi yang lebih rasional dan pembuatan kebijakan yang efektif untuk mengurangi efek negatif bias kognitif.
Kata Kunci: Behavioral finance, bias kognitif, keputusan investasi, investasi jangka panjang, investasi jangka pendek, investor ritel, investor institusi.

1. Introduction

Behavioral finance is an important field that investigates the psychological and sociological aspects that influence investment decisions (-, 2024). This field focuses on understanding investors' thought patterns, emotional influences, and cognitive biases that can lead to irrational investment decisions (Madaan & Singh, 2019). Behavioral finance makes a significant contribution in protecting investment strategies from errors and cognitive biases, thereby protecting investment portfolios (Almansour et al., 2023). Factors such as overconfidence, herding, and risk perception play an important role in determining investors' stock investment choices (Amorim et al., 2022).

Behavioral finance also influences various contexts, including stock markets, cryptocurrency investments, and mutual funds, where factors such as herding behavior,

overconfidence, and risk perception play a significant role (Edeh, 2020; Rehan et al., 2021). Understanding behavioral biases in investment decision making is key to understanding how human behavior, both rational and irrational, influences investment choices (Mishra, 2023). By considering behavioral factors in addition to economic aspects, investors and financial analysts can make more informed and rational investment decisions (Sattar et al., 2020). In conclusion, behavioral finance provides a valuable framework for analyzing the impact of cognitive biases, emotions, and social influences on investment decisions. By integrating insights from behavioral finance, individuals can gain a deeper understanding of the underlying factors that drive investment behavior and make more informed decisions (Mahmood et al., 2020).

Behavioral finance is a discipline that combines psychology with economic theory to understand how psychological factors influence investment decisions. In this context, cognitive biases play an important role as causes of deviation from expected rational behavior. Cognitive biases, such as overconfidence, loss aversion, and confirmation bias, can influence how investors assess risk and potential returns, as well as how they make investment decisions. Understanding the influence of these biases in the context of long-term and short-term investments is critical for assessing the extent to which investment decisions can be influenced by psychological factors, as well as for developing better investment strategies. Differences in investment time horizons can potentially result in variability in the type of bias that dominates, which in turn affects investment performance and the strategies implemented.

The phenomenon of cognitive biases in investment decision making has been widely researched, but there is a significant gap in the literature regarding how these biases differ in the context of long-term versus short-term investments. Previous studies have often focused on cognitive biases in general without distinguishing their impact based on investment time horizon. Additionally, there is a lack of research comparing the influence of cognitive biases between retail and institutional investors. This gap highlights the need to deeply understand how cognitive biases influence investment decisions across different time horizons and types of investors, as well as how these differences can influence investment strategies and outcomes.

The research question asked is: “What is the role of cognitive biases in long-term versus short-term investment decision making, and how do these vary between retail and institutional investors?” This research aims to identify differences in the impact of cognitive biases based on investment horizon and investor type, and to explore how these biases influence investment decisions in each context.

This research is important because it provides insight into how cognitive biases can influence investment decisions made by different types of investors over different time periods. By understanding the differences in the influence of these biases, investors can better manage risk and make more informed and rational investment decisions. In addition, the results of this research can help investment managers and policy makers in designing more effective interventions to mitigate the negative effects of cognitive biases.

This research offers novelty by combining two aspects less explored in the literature: differences in the impact of cognitive biases in long-term versus short-term investments, and differences in the impact of biases between retail and institutional investors. With a comprehensive systematic approach, this research will provide a new contribution to the understanding of behavioral finance that combines the dimensions of time and investor type.

The contributions of this research include providing new insights into the influence of cognitive biases in investment decisions, which can enrich the behavioral finance literature and provide practical guidance for investors. This research will also provide recommendations for better investment strategies and policies that can reduce the negative impact of cognitive biases, both for individual and institutional investors.

2. Methods

2.1 Collection of Articles

The process of collecting articles is carried out through searches in reputable international databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and other relevant databases. These databases were selected because of their reputation for providing quality, peer-reviewed academic publications. An article search was conducted with a focus on studies relevant to the topic of cognitive bias and investment decision making. Articles found through this search were then filtered further to ensure their suitability for the research criteria.

2.2 The PRISMA method

The PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) method was used to screen and analyze articles. This method includes systematic steps in the article selection process, from initial identification to final inclusion. These steps include creating a flowchart showing the number of articles retrieved, articles removed based on exclusion criteria, and articles selected for final analysis. The use of PRISMA ensures that the article selection process is carried out transparently and systematically.

2.3 Keywords Used

Keywords used in article searches include terms that are relevant to the research topic. These keywords include: “cognitive bias,” “investment decisions,” “long-term investments,” “short-term investments,” “retail investors,” and “institutional investors.” The use of these keywords is designed to cover various aspects of behavioral finance research and investment decisions, as well as to ensure that the articles found are relevant to the research conducted.

2.4 Number of Articles Retrieved

During the initial search, a number of relevant articles were found. This number of articles includes articles originating from various sources and years of publication. Next, these articles go through a further selection process based on predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria. This process resulted in a final list of articles that will be analyzed in this literature review.

2.5 Inclusion and Exclusion Techniques

2.5.1. Inclusion Criteria:

1. Articles must be relevant to research topics related to cognitive biases and investment decision making.
2. The studies were published in peer-reviewed journals and had good methodological quality.
3. Publications that include research on long-term and short-term investments as well as comparisons between retail and institutional investors.

2.5.2. Exclusion Criteria:

1. Articles that are not relevant to the topic, such as studies that do not directly address cognitive biases or investment decisions.
2. Publications that are not peer-reviewed or that do not meet academic quality standards.
3. Articles that do not provide sufficient empirical data or analysis on the topic under study.

This inclusion and exclusion process was carried out to ensure that the articles included in the literature review were the most relevant and of the highest quality, supporting the integrity and validity of this systematic literature review.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Behavioral Finance Theory and Cognitive Bias

Behavioral finance is a field that integrates the principles of psychology and economics to understand how cognitive biases influence financial decision making. Cognitive biases such as overconfidence, herd instinct, aversion to regret, information availability (availability), and anchoring play an important role in shaping investment decisions (Dhungana et al., 2022). These biases can cause individuals to deviate from rational decisions and affect market efficiency (Ahmad, 2022). Behavioral finance recognizes the irrational nature of human behavior in economic and financial contexts, challenging traditional assumptions regarding rationality in economic theory (Gryazeva et al., 2021).

Furthermore, behavioral finance explores how factors such as financial literacy, emotional bias, and psychological characteristics influence financial behavior (Handayani et al., 2022). This field considers the impact of biases induced by cognitive heuristics on investment management activities and market efficiency, and provides insights for various financial practitioners (Ahmad, 2022). Behavioral finance also examines biases in decision making, such as biases influenced by prospect theory, and their effects on property investment decisions in various economic settings (Hoxha & Hasani, 2022).

In addition, behavioral finance emphasizes the role of behavioral biases such as loss aversion, status quo bias, and overconfidence effects in guiding individual investment behavior (Rana, 2023). This field also underscores investors' tendency to overreact to unexpected news, showing how behavioral biases can lead to market inefficiencies (Jiménez & Calisto, 2019). By including psychological influences and biases, behavioral finance aims to offer a more comprehensive understanding of the financial decision-making process (Ridho, 2023).

Overall, behavioral finance represents a paradigm shift in traditional finance by recognizing the impact of cognitive biases and psychological factors on financial behavior and market outcomes. By studying these behavioral aspects, researchers and practitioners can gain valuable insight into the complexity of decision making in the world of finance.

3.2. Types of Cognitive Biases

Behavioral finance theory challenges the assumptions of rationality and market efficiency in traditional finance by integrating psychological factors that lead to irrational decision making (Zhang, 2023). Cognitive biases, such as overconfidence bias, confirmation bias, and aversion bias towards losses, play an important role in shaping individual investment decisions (Rana, 2023). These biases are not only observed in traditional financial markets, but also in new areas such as cryptocurrency investments (Almansour et al., 2023).

Research shows that behavioral biases can cause anomalies in the stock market, influencing the decision-making process of individual investors (Buddhika & Ediriwickrama, 2022). The impact of cognitive biases, such as aversion bias towards losses and overconfidence bias, has been proven in various contexts, including during the Covid-19 pandemic (Dita, 2023). Behavioral biases not only affect individual investors but also entrepreneurs and managers, highlighting the broader implications of these biases in investment decisions (Nobre et al., 2022).

Anchoring bias, as an important concept in behavioral finance, influences financial choices, including capital structure and lending decisions (Ayad, 2024). Overconfidence bias and other behavioral biases have been found to significantly influence investment decision making among individual investors (Amudha, 2024). These biases are not limited to traditional financial markets, but also extend to areas such as lending decisions in small and medium enterprises (Hidayati, 2023).

Behavioral finance has emerged as a transformative framework that helps understand the complex interactions between human behavior and financial systems (Khan, 2023). The shift from traditional finance to behavioral finance in the late 1970s was triggered by the recognition that cognitive and emotional biases influence equity investors' decision-making

processes (Afzal, 2023). Behavioral finance provides a lens for analyzing how psychology influences financial decisions in a variety of settings, including households, markets, and organizations (Cheng, 2022).

In conclusion, cognitive biases are an integral element in behavioral finance, shaping investment decisions across markets and investor profiles. Understanding these biases is critical to understanding the nuances of financial decision making and market behavior.

3.3. Relationship between Cognitive Bias and Investment Decisions

Behavioral finance theory explores the psychological aspects that influence investors and financial markets, with the aim of understanding the cognitive biases that influence the decision-making process (Dhungana et al., 2022). Cognitive biases, such as availability bias and overconfidence bias, are known to have a significant impact on investment decisions (Rahmawati, 2023). These biases can lead to suboptimal choices, necessitating interventions such as “nudges” to guide individuals toward better decisions (Dhakal & Lamsal, 2023). Behavioral finance emerged to explain the irrational behavior of investors in the stock market, in contrast to traditional financial theory which assumes rational behavior and risk aversion (Silwal & Bajracharya, 2021; Shahani & Ahmed, 2023). Psychological biases, including overconfidence bias, can hinder rational decision making and have a negative impact on investor performance (Ahmad & Shah, 2020). Factors such as risk perception and financial literacy mediate the influence of cognitive biases on investment decisions (Ahmed et al., 2022). Financial literacy is very important to help investors make informed decisions by increasing their knowledge and skills (Anifa, 2023).

Understanding the impact of cognitive biases on investment decisions is critical in the financial landscape, as these biases can lead to errors in cognition and decision-making processes (Li et al., 2020). Overconfidence bias, as a common cognitive bias, can significantly influence investment decisions and pose risks to those investments (Fatkhurrozi, 2024). Additionally, behavioral biases can influence the quality of investment decisions, especially in areas such as commercial real estate, where intuitive attributes and cognitive biases are highly influential (Kinatta et al., 2021). Recognizing and addressing these biases is crucial for investors, advisors, and policymakers to make informed decisions, maintain financial stability, and promote market development (Gurung, 2024). In conclusion, cognitive biases such as overconfidence bias and availability bias have a significant impact on investment decisions. Behavioral finance theory provides insight into these biases, emphasizing the importance of intervention, financial literacy, and risk perception in mitigating their effects on the decision-making process.

3.4. Cognitive Biases in Long-Term vs. Long-Term Investing Short-term

3.4.1. Long Term Investment

Cognitive biases play an important role in both short-term and long-term investment decisions. These biases can affect the quality of investment strategies and influence investor intentions. Research has shown that factors such as overconfidence bias, availability bias, herding bias, and representativeness bias can have a substantial impact on investment decision making (Dhungana et al., 2022; Dhakal & Lamsal, 2023). Overconfidence bias, in particular, has been identified as a common cognitive bias and can lead to suboptimal investment decision outcomes (Noch, 2024). In addition, behavioral biases such as the affect heuristic, loss aversion, and representativeness bias have been found to influence investor performance in various countries (Kanojia, 2023).

Research also reveals the mediating effect of cognitive biases on investment decisions. For example, overconfidence bias, herd bias, and representativeness bias have been identified as mediators between psychological factors such as money anxiety, stress, and investment decisions in cryptocurrency (Shahani & Ahmed, 2023). Additionally, cognitive biases such as

anchoring bias, loss aversion bias, and herd effect have been studied in the context of investment decision making, emphasizing their impact on investors' choices ("Impact of Cognitive Biases on Investment Intentions: Exploring the Mediating Role of Self-Esteem among Indians Emerging Investors", 2024).

Understanding these cognitive biases is very important for investors because they can lead to irrational investment decisions. Research shows that cognitive biases and heuristics often lead individuals to deviate from rational decision making, affecting investment outcomes (-, 2023). Additionally, the interaction between cognitive biases and cultural dimensions can complicate individual investment decisions, highlighting the need to consider various factors influencing investor choices (YILMAZ, 2023). In conclusion, cognitive biases have a significant influence on long-term investment decisions, influencing investors' strategies and performance. Recognizing and mitigating these biases is essential to making informed and rational investment choices.

3.4.2. Short Term Investments

Cognitive bias has been widely researched regarding its impact on investment decisions, both short and long term. Research shows that biases induced by heuristics can influence the quality of investment decisions across various time periods (Ahmad, 2020). Factors such as personality characteristics, risk tolerance, and behavioral biases such as overconfidence bias have been identified as significant influences on long-term investment intentions (Ferreira-Schenk & Dickason-Koekemoer, 2023). From a behavioral finance perspective, cognitive bias, risk preferences, and investment horizon play a crucial role in determining the choice between short-term and long-term strategies (Haryanto, 2024).

Research shows that cognitive biases such as aversion to losses can result in suboptimal portfolio returns and hinder long-term wealth accumulation (Noch, 2024). Additionally, factors such as salience have been found to have a positive impact on short-term and long-term investment decisions (Chaudary, 2019). Biases such as overconfidence, anchoring, and other cognitive biases have been recognized as causes of suboptimal investment decisions that are influenced by short-term market fluctuations rather than long-term fundamentals (Enow, 2024).

Furthermore, cognitive biases such as representativeness, anchoring, herd instinct, and aversion bias towards regret have been proven to significantly influence investment decision making (Dhungana et al., 2022). Empirical investigations have shown that cognitive biases such as aversion to regret, gambler's fallacy, mental accounting, overconfidence, and anchoring can lead to poor investment decisions (Bihari et al., 2023). Understanding the influence of cognitive biases such as anchoring bias, overconfidence bias, and aversion bias on losses is very important for understanding the investment decision making process (-, 2024). In conclusion, cognitive biases have a far-reaching impact on both short-term and long-term investment decisions. Recognizing and overcoming these biases is essential for investors to make rational and informed choices, so as to effectively achieve their investment goals.

3.4.3. Comparison and Context

Cognitive biases play a significant role in both short-term and long-term investment decisions. Research shows that these biases can interfere with the quality of investment decisions regardless of the time horizon used (Ahmad, 2020). Heuristic-driven biases, such as underconfidence, can influence both short-term and long-term investment strategies, highlighting the importance of avoiding reliance on cognitive heuristics when making investment decisions (Ahmad, 2020). The impact of cognitive biases on investment practices has been extensively documented, emphasizing their significant influence on decision-making processes and investment outcomes (Noch, 2024).

Various studies have identified various cognitive biases, including overconfidence, availability bias, anchoring bias, herd instinct, and aversion to regret, which influence rational investment decision making (Dhungana et al., 2022). These biases often stem from investors' inability to predict market movements, leading to biased investment decisions (Suresh, 2021). Understanding the factors underlying cognitive biases can help investors become aware of the sources of their biased decisions, allowing them to make more rational investment choices (Shahani & Ahmed, 2023).

In the context of investment intentions, cognitive biases have a direct effect on investment decisions, with self-esteem as a mediator of these effects ("Impact of Cognitive Biases on Investment Intentions: Exploring the Mediating Role of Self-Esteem among Indian Emerging Investors", 2024) . Additionally, cultural dimensions can interact with cognitive biases, influencing individual investment decisions and steering investors away from optimal choices (YILMAZ, 2023). Bias driven by cognitive heuristics has been found to have a significant positive influence on investment decision making and market efficiency (Ahmad, 2022).

In long-term investment intentions, factors such as personality characteristics, risk tolerance, and behavioral biases such as overconfidence bias greatly influence investors' decisions (Ferreira-Schenk & Dickason-Koekemoer, 2023). In addition, cognitive biases such as availability bias and overconfidence bias have been shown to influence investor decisions, highlighting the importance of understanding behavioral finance theory in dealing with these biases (Rahmawati, 2023).

Overall, cognitive biases have a pervasive influence on investment decisions, influencing both short-term and long-term strategies. Recognizing and reducing these biases is critical for investors to make more informed and rational investment choices, ultimately improving their investment returns.

3.5. Difference between Retail and Institutional Investors

3.5.1. Retail Investors

Retail investors and institutional investors show significant differences in their characteristics and decision-making processes. Retail investors are individuals who trade relatively small amounts compared to institutional investors, which are organizations that manage large amounts of funds. Retail investors tend to be influenced by various cognitive biases that can influence their investment decisions (Tahir & Danarsari, 2023). These biases include overconfidence, representativeness heuristic, anchoring, and herding effects (Talwar et al., 2021; Gupta & Shrivastava, 2021; Lather et al., 2020). Retail investors often show behavioral biases such as mental accounting, self-control, framing, illusion of control, aversion to regret, recency, availability, optimism, confirmation, and endowment effects (Buddhika & Ediriwickrama, 2022). These biases can lead to suboptimal investment decisions at both retail and institutional levels (Paisarn et al., 2021).

Research shows that retail investors are influenced by factors such as herding, loss aversion, disposition effect, and the impact of contextual determinants on their investment decisions (Noch, 2024; Elbendari, 2023). In addition, the impact of cognitive biases such as overconfidence and confirmation bias can lead to suboptimal decision making results among retail investors (Ahmed, 2023). The presence of cognitive biases in retail investors can result in excessive trading, under-diversified portfolios, and overestimation of their decision-making abilities (Patrick et al., 2021).

Furthermore, the influence of cognitive biases on retail investors' investment decisions has been researched in various geographical locations such as Indonesia, Pakistan, Malaysia, Sri Lanka, and Nepal (Tahir & Danarsari, 2023; Dhakal & Lamsal, 2023; Dhungana et al., 2022; Elbendari, 2023). These studies highlight the importance of understanding the role of bias in shaping retail investor behavior across various cultural and regional contexts. In conclusion, retail investors exhibit cognitive biases that can significantly influence their investment

decisions. Understanding these biases is important for investors themselves as well as financial institutions to reduce risk and increase investment returns.

3.5.2. Institutional Investors

Institutional investors and retail investors show significant differences in their decision-making processes due to various cognitive biases. Research shows that institutional investors tend to be less susceptible to some biases compared to retail investors. Although research on overconfidence bias in institutional investors is still limited, studies indicate that individual investors are more susceptible to overconfidence bias than institutional investors (Paúl & Natarajan, 2023). Apart from that, representativeness bias also has a significant impact on investment decision making among institutional investors (Dhakal & Lamsal, 2023).

Cognitive biases such as herding bias, overconfidence bias, and representativeness bias play a crucial role in influencing investment decisions not only for individual investors, but also for institutional investors and financial markets as a whole (Shahani & Ahmed, 2023; Noch, 2024). These biases can lead to suboptimal investment decisions, excessive trading, an under-diversified portfolio, and a false sense of decision-making skill and ability (Elbendari, 2023). Understanding these biases is critical for investment advisors and policy makers to help manage and reduce their impact on investment decisions (Shahani & Ahmed, 2023).

Furthermore, the impact of cognitive biases on investment decisions is not limited to individual investors but also extends to institutional investors. Research shows that less experienced institutional investors are more likely to be influenced by biases such as representativeness and conservatism in their investment decisions (Koc, 2021). These biases can impact investment performance and outcomes, highlighting the importance of addressing cognitive biases in the decision-making process (Koc, 2021). In conclusion, cognitive biases have a significant impact on the decision-making process among both institutional and retail investors. Although institutional investors may exhibit differences in susceptibility to some biases compared to retail investors, understanding and managing these biases is critical to making informed and successful investment decisions in the financial markets.

3.5.3. Comparison and Impact

Retail investors and institutional investors show differences in their cognitive biases and decision-making processes. Although both types of investors are influenced by biases such as overconfidence, representativeness, and anchoring, there are differences in the manifestation of these biases (Tahir & Danarsari, 2023). Retail investors tend to be more influenced by biases such as anchoring, availability, herding, switching costs, sunk costs, regret avoidance, and perceived threat, which significantly influence their investment intentions (Mamidala, 2023). In contrast, institutional investors are often considered more sophisticated, have greater resources, conduct in-depth research, and have superior trading capabilities (Guo et al., 2023). This sophistication may lead institutional investors to rely more on company fundamentals than investor sentiment in their post-IPO investment decisions (Fu et al., 2021).

The trading behavior of retail and institutional investors also shows significant differences. Retail investors have been observed to control a larger share of the market during certain periods, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, compared to institutional investors (Tutuncu, 2022). Additionally, institutional investors tend to trade based on leaked information and some reverse their transactions when news becomes public, indicating a different approach compared to retail investors (Callen et al., 2022). Furthermore, institutional investors are more likely to sell underperforming stocks and buy underperforming stocks outside the US, indicating more sophisticated trading strategies compared to retail investors (Miguel & Su, 2019).

In conclusion, although both retail and institutional investors are affected by cognitive biases, the extent and impact of these biases differ between the two groups. Institutional investors are often considered more rational and sophisticated, relying on in-depth market

research and analysis, whereas retail investors may be more influenced by behavioral biases that influence their investment decisions. Understanding these differences is critical to understanding financial market dynamics and the various influences that shape investment behavior among different types of investors.

4. Conclusion

Behavioral finance has revolutionized our understanding of financial decision making by highlighting the influence of cognitive biases on investment choices. By integrating psychological insights with traditional economic theory, behavioral finance reveals how biases such as overconfidence, herd instinct, and loss aversion can lead to irrational investment decisions and market inefficiencies. This comprehensive view challenges classical assumptions about rationality in economic theory and offers a deeper perspective on how individuals and institutions make financial decisions. Recognizing and addressing these biases is critical for investors and financial professionals to improve decision-making processes and market efficiency.

4.1. Implications

1. For Investors: Understanding the impact of cognitive biases helps investors recognize and reduce irrational decision-making tendencies. Better awareness of biases such as overconfidence and the herd instinct can lead to more rational investment strategies and improve portfolio performance.
2. For Financial Practitioners: Financial advisors and fund managers can use insights from behavioral finance to better guide clients. By integrating strategies to overcome common biases, practitioners can provide more effective investment advice and develop tools to help clients make more objective decisions.
3. For Policy Makers: Policymakers can use behavioral finance principles to design regulations and interventions that protect investors from the negative impacts of cognitive bias. Implementing measures such as mandatory financial education and behavioral nudges can improve market stability and investor protection.

4.2. Limitations

1. Generalization: Much of the research in behavioral finance is contextual and may not apply universally across markets or types of investors. Findings from one region or market may not always translate directly to another region due to cultural or economic differences.
2. Measurement Challenges: Measuring the impact of cognitive biases on investment decisions can be complex. Behavioral biases are often subtle and may not be easily measured through traditional research methods, which can lead to potential inaccuracies in measurement.
3. Dynamic Market: The rapid evolution of financial markets, especially with the emergence of new investment instruments such as cryptocurrencies, may affect the relevance of existing behavioral finance theories. The impact of bias may be different in emerging markets compared to traditional markets.

4.3. Future Research

1. Cross-Cultural Studies: Further research needs to explore how cognitive biases influence investment decisions across various cultural and economic contexts.

Understanding cultural variations in the prevalence and impact of bias can provide a global perspective on behavioral finance.

2. Longitudinal Study: Long-term studies that examine how biases influence investment decisions over extended periods can provide deeper insight into their impact on financial outcomes and market behavior.
3. Behavioral Intervention: Research on the effectiveness of various behavioral interventions, such as nudges and educational programs, in reducing bias and improving decision making can provide practical solutions for investors and financial practitioners.
4. Impact of New Financial Instruments: Investigating how bias interacts with emerging financial technologies and investment products, such as cryptocurrencies and AI-based trading systems, will help understand their implications in the modern financial landscape.

5. References

Afzal, M. (2023). Evaluation of behavioral biases and investment decision: an evidence from pakistan stock exchange (psx). BBE, 12(4), 126-134. https://doi.org/10.61506/01.00094

Ahmad, M. (2020). Does underconfidence matter in short-term and long-term investment decisions? evidence from an emerging market. Management Decision, 59(3), 692-709. https://doi.org/10.1108/md-07-2019-0972

Ahmad, M. (2022). The role of cognitive heuristic-driven biases in investment management activities and market efficiency: a research synthesis. International Journal of Emerging Markets, 19(2), 273-321. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoem-07-2020-0749

Ahmad, M. and Shah, S. (2020). Overconfidence heuristic-driven bias in investment decision-making and performance: mediating effects of risk perception and moderating effects of financial literacy. Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences, 38(1), 60-90. https://doi.org/10.1108/jeas-07-2020-0116

Ahmed, F. (2023). A qualitative study of behavioral biases among pakistani investor decisions. BBE, 12(4), 1-5. https://doi.org/10.61506/01.00066

Ahmed, Z., Rasool, S., Saleem, Q., Khan, M., & Kanwal, S. (2022). Mediating role of risk perception between behavioral biases and investor's investment decisions. Sage Open, 12(2), 215824402210973. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440221097394

Almansour, B., Elkrghli, S., & Almansour, A. (2023). Unravelling the complexities of cryptocurrency investment decisions: a behavioral finance perspective from gulf investors. International Journal of Professional Business Review, 8(7), e03265. https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2023.v8i7.3265

Amorim, J., Sales, G., & Grecco, M. (2022). Covid-19 and its impacts on financing and investment policies. Ram Revista De Administração Mackenzie, 23(2). https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-6971/eramf220225.en

Amudha, D. (2024). An impact of behavioral bias on investment decision making of individual investors. International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering & Management, 11(2), 62-65. https://doi.org/10.55524/ijirem.2024.11.2.12

Anifa, A. (2023). Does the psychology of investment decisions depend on risk perception and financial literacy?. Maksimum, 13(2), 152. https://doi.org/10.26714/mki.13.2.2023.152-163

Ayad, K. (2024). Does behavioral biases matter in smes' borrowing decisions? insights from morocco. Banks and Bank Systems, 19(1), 170-182. https://doi.org/10.21511/bbs.19(1).2024.15

Bihari, A., Dash, M., Muduli, K., Mulat-Weldemeskel, E., & Luthra, S. (2023). Does cognitive biased knowledge influence investor decisions? an empirical investigation using machine learning and artificial neural network. Vine Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems. https://doi.org/10.1108/vjikms-08-2022-0253

Buddhika, H. and Ediriwickrama, T. (2022). Impact of contextual determinants towards the disposition bias of individual investors in the sri lankan stock market. International Journal of Accounting and Business Finance, 8(2), 69. https://doi.org/10.4038/ijabf.v8i2.125

Callen, J., Kaniel, R., & Segal, D. (2022). Filing speed, information leakage, and price formation. Review of Accounting Studies, 28(3), 1618-1656. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11142-022-09673-5

Chaudary, S. (2019). Does salience matter in investment decision?. Kybernetes, 48(8), 1894-1912. https://doi.org/10.1108/k-09-2018-0490

Cheng, Z. (2022). Psychology analysis of investors from the perspective of behavioral finance., 727-733. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-052-7_83

Dhakal, S. and Lamsal, R. (2023). Impact of cognitive biases on investment decisions of investors in nepal. The Lumbini Journal of Business and Economics, 11(1), 35-48. https://doi.org/10.3126/ljbe.v11i1.54315

Dhungana, B., Bhandari, S., Ojha, D., & Sharma, L. (2022). Effect of cognitive biases on investment decision making: a case of pokhara valley, nepal. Quest Journal of Management and Social Sciences, 4(1), 71-84. https://doi.org/10.3126/qjmss.v4i1.45868

Dita, R. (2023). Investment decision-making behavior in the era of covid-19: an analysis on the basis of mental accounting, loss aversion bias, and risk tolerance. Holistica – Journal of Business and Public Administration, 14(2), 33-42. https://doi.org/10.2478/hjbpa-2023-0014

Edeh, M. (2020). Behavioral finance and investors' stock investments decisions in west africa: evidence from the nigerian stock market. Journal of Global Economics and Business, 1(3), 41-58. https://doi.org/10.31039/jgeb.v1i3.76

Elbendari, N. (2023). The impact of covid-19 on the relation between cognitive biases and investment decisions". المجلة العلمية للدراسات التجارية والبيئية, 14(1), 482-518. https://doi.org/10.21608/jces.2023.297484

Enow, S. (2024). Investing in the long-term: an empirical approach. International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science (2147-4478), 13(4), 537-541. https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v13i4.3276

Fatkhurrozi, M. (2024). Challenges in millennials investment decision: a study of behavioural biases. Asian Journal of Economics Business and Accounting, 24(5), 60-72. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/2024/v24i51293

Ferreira-Schenk, S. and Dickason-Koekemoer, Z. (2023). Analysing the factors affecting the long-term investment intention of investors. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 13(1), 112-120. https://doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.13640

Fu, X., Hamilton, J., Lian, Q., Tang, T., & Wang, Q. (2021). New institutional investors in the ipo secondary market: sentiment or fundamentals?. The Journal of Financial Research, 44(2), 299-341. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfir.12242

Gryazeva, E., Mayorova, O., Malchikova, N., Nemkova, M., & Paravina, M. (2021). International financial fraud: economic and psychological aspects, classification and ways of minimization. Economic Annals-Xxi, 189(5-6(1)), 15-25. https://doi.org/10.21003/ea.v189-02

Guo, H., Hung, C., Kontonikas, A., & Zeng, Y. (2023). Flight to lottery ahead of fomc announcements: institutional investors or retail investors?. British Journal of Management, 35(2), 1076-1096. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12755

Gupta, S. and Shrivastava, M. (2021). Herding and loss aversion in stock markets: mediating role of fear of missing out (fomo) in retail investors. International Journal of Emerging Markets, 17(7), 1720-1737. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoem-08-2020-0933

Gurung, R. (2024). Unraveling behavioral biases in decision making: a study of nepalese investors. Investment Management and Financial Innovations, 21(1), 25-37. https://doi.org/10.21511/imfi.21(1).2024.03

Handayani, A., Rahawarin, F., Rudijanto, E., Wardhana, W., & Handayati, P. (2022). Determinants of smes financial behavior in gresik with financial literacy as an intervening variable. International Journal of Humanities Education and Social Sciences (Ijhess), 2(3). https://doi.org/10.55227/ijhess.v2i3.308

Haryanto, J. (2024). Short-term versus long-term portfolio management strategies and the selection of securities. Advances in Management & Financial Reporting, 2(1). https://doi.org/10.60079/amfr.v2i1.247

Hidayati, S. (2023). Cognitive bias analysis terhadap kinerja usaha kecil dan menengah (ukm) dengan keputusan investasi sebagai variabel intervening pada masa pandemi covid 19. Distribusi - Journal of Management and Business, 11(2), 181-196. https://doi.org/10.29303/distribusi.v11i2.408

Hoxha, V. and Hasani, I. (2022). Decision-making biases in property investments in prishtina, kosovo. Journal of Property Investment & Finance, 41(2), 155-181. https://doi.org/10.1108/jpif-04-2022-0031

Jiménez, J. and Calisto, E. (2019). Testing the overreaction hypothesis in the mexican stock market. Contaduría Y Administración, 65(1), 153. https://doi.org/10.22201/fca.24488410e.2019.1794

Kanojia, S. (2023). Impact of behavioural biases on investment performance: a comparative analysis of investors from india, usa and uk. Journal of Business Thought, 13-33. https://doi.org/10.18311/jbt/2023/33584

Khan, U. (2023). The behavioural finance revolution: bridging the gap between numbers and corporate performance. Qlantic Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities, 4(4), 191-202. https://doi.org/10.55737/qjssh.882102876

Kinatta, M., Kaawaase, T., Munene, J., Nkote, İ., & Nkundabanyanga, S. (2021). Cognitive bias, intuitive attributes and investment decision quality in commercial real estate in uganda. Journal of Property Investment & Finance, 40(2), 197-219. https://doi.org/10.1108/jpif-11-2020-0129

Koc, I. (2021). Investigating the demographic characteristics of institutional investors affecting representativeness, conservatism and overconfidence biases in their individual investments. Journal of Accounting Business and Finance Research, 13(1), 15. https://doi.org/10.20448/2002.131.15.25

Lather, A., Jain, S., & Anand, S. (2020). An empirical examination of the impact of locus of control on investor behavioral baises. International Journal of Management, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.34218/ijm.11.1.2020.010

Li, X., Lin, C., & Xu, X. (2020). Influence of psychological bias of financial investors on the investment returns. Revista Argentina De Clinica Psicologica. https://doi.org/10.24205/03276716.2020.33

Madaan, G. and Singh, S. (2019). An analysis of behavioral biases in investment decision-making. International Journal of Financial Research, 10(4), 55. https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v10n4p55

Mahmood, T., Ayyub, R., Imran, M., Naeem, S., & Abbas, W. (2020). The behavioral analysis and financial performance of individual investors at pakistan stock exchange. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 10(5), 158-164. https://doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.10112

Mamidala, V. (2023). Should i invest or not? investigating the role of biases and status quo. Qualitative Research in Financial Markets, 16(3), 423-447. https://doi.org/10.1108/qrfm-12-2022-0198

Miguel, A. and Su, D. (2019). Explaining differences in the flow-performance sensitivity of retail and institutional mutual funds—international evidence. Theoretical Economics Letters, 09(07), 2711-2731. https://doi.org/10.4236/tel.2019.97170

Mishra, R. (2023). Role of herd behavior on investment decision of mutual fund investors in india - an empirical study. tjjpt, 44(4), 3178-3185. https://doi.org/10.52783/tjjpt.v44.i4.1440

Nobre, F., Machado, M., & Nobre, L. (2022). Behavioral biases and the decision-making in entrepreneurs and managers. Revista De Administração Contemporânea, 26(suppl 1). https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-7849rac2022200369.en

Noch, M. (2024). Understanding human behavior in finance: a qualitative study on cognitive biases and decision-making in investment practices. Golden Ratio of Finance Management, 4(1), 24-34. https://doi.org/10.52970/grfm.v4i1.462

Paisarn, W., Chancharat, N., & Chancharat, S. (2021). Factors influencing retail investors' trading behaviour in the thai stock market. Australasian Accounting Business and Finance Journal, 15(2), 26-37. https://doi.org/10.14453/aabfj.v15i2.3

Patrick, M., Ahmad, N., Muhamat, A., & Bujang, I. (2021). Characteristics and profile of millennial retail investors in the malaysian stock market. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 11(18). https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v11-i18/11430

Paúl, H. and Natarajan, S. (2023). Behavioral biases and their influence on investment decision-making: a systematic literature review and future research agenda. Journal of Law and Sustainable Development, 11(4), e904. https://doi.org/10.55908/sdgs.v11i4.904

Rahmawati, F. (2023). A literature review on the influence of availability bias and overconfidence bias on investor decisions. East Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 2(12), 4961-4976. https://doi.org/10.55927/eajmr.v2i12.6896

Rana, B. (2023). Investor bias: a case of nepalese investor perspective. The Lumbini Journal of Business and Economics, 11(1), 116-130. https://doi.org/10.3126/ljbe.v11i1.54321

Rehan, M., Alvi, J., Javed, L., & Saleem, B. (2021). Impact of behavioral factors in making investment decisions and performance: evidence from pakistan stock exchange. Market Forces, 16(1), 22. https://doi.org/10.51153/mf.v16i1.435

Ridho, W. (2023). Unmasking online fake job group financial scams: a thematic examination of victim exploitation from perspective of financial behavior. Journal of Financial Crime, 31(3), 748-758. https://doi.org/10.1108/jfc-05-2023-0124

Sattar, M., Toseef, M., & Sattar, M. (2020). Behavioral finance biases in investment decision making. International Journal of Accounting Finance and Risk Management, 5(2), 69. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijafrm.20200502.11

Shahani, R. and Ahmed, S. (2023). Psychological and social factors determining investment decisions in cryptocurrency: exploring the mediating role of cognitive biases. Journal of Organisational Studies and Innovation, 9(4), 25-45. https://doi.org/10.51659/josi.22.159

Silwal, P. and Bajracharya, S. (2021). Behavioral factors influencing stock investment decision of individuals. International Research Journal of Management Science, 6(1), 53-73. https://doi.org/10.3126/irjms.v6i1.42339

Suresh, G. (2021). Impact of financial literacy and behavioural biases on investment decision-making. Fiib Business Review, 13(1), 72-86. https://doi.org/10.1177/23197145211035481

Tahir, A. and Danarsari, D. (2023). App-based investment platform and investment decision making: a study of retail investor behavior in indonesia. Eduvest - Journal of Universal Studies, 3(7), 1258-1272. https://doi.org/10.59188/eduvest.v3i7.859

Talwar, S., Talwar, M., Tarjanne, V., & Dhir, A. (2021). Why retail investors traded equity during the pandemic? an application of artificial neural networks to examine behavioral biases. Psychology and Marketing, 38(11), 2142-2163. https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21550

Tutuncu, L. (2022). The changing investor demographics of an emerging ipo market during the covid-19 pandemic. China Finance Review International, 13(3), 342-361. https://doi.org/10.1108/cfri-07-2022-0111

YILMAZ, N. (2023). The interaction between cognitive biases and the cultural dimensions of the country in individual investment decisions. Finans Ekonomi Ve Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi, 8(3), 828-839. https://doi.org/10.29106/fesa.1343379

Zhang, M. (2023). Empirical evidence of cognitive biases among chinese investors. Advances in Economics Management and Political Sciences, 9(1), 205-210. https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/9/20230379