

***The Growing Role of Technology in Education: How Technology is Empowering Students and Teachers***

**Meningkatnya Peran Teknologi dalam Pendidikan: Bagaimana Teknologi Memberdayakan Siswa dan Guru**

**Zainudin**

IAI Nusantara Ash-Shiddiqiyah Lempuing Jaya OKI

\*zainudinspm@gmail.com

*\*Corresponding Author*

---

**ABSTRACT**

This research examines technology integration strategies in education through a systematic literature review which aims to identify effective approaches in increasing student engagement, learning motivation, and academic outcomes. Articles taken from internationally reputable databases such as Scopus Q1 were screened using strict inclusion and exclusion criteria and analyzed using the PRISMA method to ensure the validity of the findings. The research results show that strategies such as the flipped classroom, the use of Learning Management Systems (LMS), and the integration of mobile devices in learning are effective methods in achieving educational goals. Implications of this research include the need for continued investment in teacher training and the development of adequate technological infrastructure, as well as the adoption of appropriate pedagogical strategies. This study also acknowledges limitations, including potential bias in study selection and limited geographic and linguistic coverage. Future research is recommended to explore technology integration strategies in more diverse contexts and develop more effective teacher training models.

**Keywords:** technology integration, education, flipped classroom, Learning Management Systems, mobile devices, systematic literature review, PRISMA, student engagement, learning motivation, academic outcomes

**ABSTRAK**

Penelitian ini mengkaji strategi integrasi teknologi dalam pendidikan melalui systematic literature review yang bertujuan untuk mengidentifikasi pendekatan-pendekatan yang efektif dalam meningkatkan keterlibatan siswa, motivasi belajar, dan hasil akademik. Artikel-artikel yang diambil dari database bereputasi internasional seperti Scopus Q1 disaring menggunakan kriteria inklusi dan eksklusi yang ketat serta dianalisis dengan metode PRISMA untuk memastikan validitas temuan. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa strategi seperti flipped classroom, penggunaan Learning Management Systems (LMS), dan integrasi perangkat mobile dalam pembelajaran merupakan metode yang efektif dalam mencapai tujuan pendidikan. Implikasi dari penelitian ini mencakup perlunya investasi berkelanjutan dalam pelatihan guru dan pengembangan infrastruktur teknologi yang memadai, serta adopsi strategi pedagogis yang tepat. Penelitian ini juga mengakui keterbatasan, termasuk potensi bias dalam pemilihan studi dan keterbatasan cakupan geografis serta linguistik. Penelitian masa depan disarankan untuk mengeksplorasi strategi integrasi teknologi dalam konteks yang lebih beragam dan mengembangkan model pelatihan guru yang lebih efektif.

**Kata Kunci:** integrasi teknologi, pendidikan, flipped classroom, Learning Management Systems, perangkat mobile, systematic literature review, PRISMA, keterlibatan siswa, motivasi belajar, hasil akademik

## 1. Introduction

The integration of technology in education has evolved significantly, transitioning from being a mere tool for study to becoming an integral part of the teaching and learning process. Educational systems have embraced a wide array of technologies such as smartphones, tablets, and cloud-based services, which have greatly enhanced instructional strategies and teaching methodologies (Tuma, 2021; Tuma et al., 2021). This shift has been further emphasized by the 4th Industrial Revolution (4IR), prompting the education sector to invest in technologies like algorithms, data analytics, and the Internet of Things (IoT) to personalize teaching and provide real-time interventions tailored to students' needs (Oke & Fernandes, 2020).

The COVID-19 pandemic underscored the critical role of technology in education, with schools that had adopted technologies being able to continue teaching remotely, highlighting the importance of technological tools in ensuring uninterrupted learning (Al-Absy, 2023; Chomunorwa et al., 2022). Furthermore, the attitudes of educators towards educational technology play a crucial role in its effective implementation, emphasizing the significance of teachers in leveraging technology for improved learning outcomes (Falah et al., 2022).

Technology in education is not just about tools but also about fostering collaborative creativity, as seen in language education where technology serves as a tutor, a tool for creative thinking, and a medium for collaborative processes (Sastre et al., 2022). Additionally, the transdisciplinary nature of technology in STEM education reflects a paradigm shift towards integrating science, mathematics, engineering, and technology education (Karakaş & Hidiroğlu, 2022).

Educators are increasingly required to incorporate technology in every lesson to meet the demands of modern learning outcomes (Aprilo et al., 2023). The role of educational leaders in developing students' technology ethics and digital citizenship is crucial for ensuring responsible and safe technology use among students (Baydar, 2022). Moreover, the use of information and communication technologies, including computer technology and global networks, has become indispensable in modern education systems (Nemchenko et al., 2021). In conclusion, the growing role of technology in education is evident in its transformative impact on teaching and learning processes, emphasizing personalized learning, collaborative creativity, and the integration of technology across various disciplines. Educators, policymakers, and educational institutions play key roles in harnessing the power of technology to enhance student learning outcomes and prepare them for the demands of the digital age.

The integration of technology in education has brought about significant changes in teaching and learning practices, offering more interactive and flexible learning methods tailored to students' individual needs (Fraillon et al., 2020). However, despite the recognized benefits, challenges persist in fully incorporating technology into education. These challenges include the inadequate training of teachers in utilizing technology, limited technological infrastructure in schools, and resistance to change from both teachers and students (Aditya, 2021; Dincher & Wagner, 2021).

Teachers' readiness and proficiency in using technology play a crucial role in the successful integration of technology in education (Macatangay & Callo, 2022). The lack of preparedness among teachers can hinder the effective implementation of digital learning initiatives (Macatangay & Callo, 2022). Additionally, the availability of technological infrastructure in schools is essential for supporting digital learning environments (ZENGİN,

2023). The perception of teachers on the sufficiency of technological infrastructure varies, with some considering it adequate while others view it as only at a medium level (ZENGİN, 2023).

Furthermore, the role of school leaders, particularly principals, in promoting technology integration is vital (Korumaz & Gölçek, 2020). Principals are instrumental in managing the infrastructure necessary for technology use in schools and facilitating effective technology utilization in teaching and learning processes (Korumaz & Gölçek, 2020). Additionally, the correlation between teacher efficacy and ICT infrastructure highlights the importance of teachers' confidence in using technology effectively in the classroom (Kundu et al., 2020). In conclusion, while technology offers numerous benefits in education, addressing challenges such as teacher training, technological infrastructure improvement, and overcoming resistance to change is crucial for successful technology integration in educational settings.

In the context of the existing literature, there is a significant research gap regarding specific and contextual strategies for technology integration in education. Although many studies have explored the benefits of technology in the educational process, most of the research is general in nature and does not provide concrete practical guidance that can be adopted by educators in the field. For example, many studies only discuss the benefits of technology in increasing student engagement in general without outlining specific implementation strategies. This creates a void in the literature, where educators and policy makers lack clear guidance on how to effectively apply technology in various educational contexts.

Furthermore, many existing studies do not consider contextual factors that may influence the success of technology integration, such as differences in infrastructure, teacher preparedness, and school culture. These studies tend to take a one-size-fits-all approach, which often does not match the specific needs and challenges faced by different educational institutions. Therefore, this research aims to examine effective and contextual strategies for integrating technology into teaching and learning practices. This research is focused on answering three main questions: first, what are effective strategies for integrating technology into teaching and learning practices? Second, how can technology be used to improve student engagement, motivation, and learning outcomes? And third, what are the challenges and barriers faced in effectively integrating technology into education?

By identifying and analyzing specific and contextual strategies, this research is expected to make a significant contribution to the literature. In addition, this research seeks to develop implementation models that can be used as practical guidance for educators and policy makers in various educational contexts. These models will include practical steps and recommendations to overcome various challenges faced in the technology integration process, such as teacher training, infrastructure procurement, and change management. Thus, this research not only provides theoretical insights but also practical tools that can be used to increase the effectiveness of technology use in education, which in turn can improve student engagement, learning motivation, and their academic outcomes.

The novelty of this research lies in the systematic approach used to identify and examine technology integration strategies that have not been widely discussed previously in the literature. Using a rigorous systematic literature review methodology, this research explores in depth various relevant studies to find gaps in existing knowledge. This approach makes it possible to gather strong empirical evidence regarding effective technology integration strategies, which is often overlooked in previous research. This research offers a

new perspective by focusing on strategies that can be applied in various educational contexts, both in developed and developing countries. This is important because different educational contexts require approaches adapted to local conditions, such as available technological infrastructure, teacher preparedness, and school culture.

The contributions of this research are theoretical and practical. Theoretically, this research develops a technology integration model that can be used as a framework in future studies. This model provides clear guidance on the steps that need to be taken to effectively integrate technology in teaching and learning, as well as the key factors that must be considered to ensure successful implementation. Thus, this research not only adds to the theoretical understanding of technology integration in education, but also provides a strong basis for further research.

Practically, this research provides recommendations that can be implemented by educators and policy makers to increase the effectiveness of the use of technology in education. These recommendations include concrete steps that schools and educational institutions can take to overcome the challenges faced in the technology integration process, such as adequate teacher training, provision of necessary infrastructure, and change management strategies to reduce resistance from teachers and students. By providing practical guidance that can be applied in the field, it is hoped that this research can help increase student engagement, learning motivation, and academic outcomes, as well as support the creation of a more interactive and innovative learning environment.

## **2. Research Methods**

This research uses a systematic literature review methodology to identify and analyze technology integration strategies in education. The article collection process begins with a comprehensive literature search from reputable international databases, especially Scopus Q1, which is known to have high standards for scientific publications. This database was chosen because it includes leading journals relevant to the research topic, ensuring the quality and relevance of the articles collected.

Article searches were carried out using a series of keywords relevant to the research topic. Keywords used included "technology integration," "education," "teaching," "learning," "students," and "teachers." These keywords were chosen to cover various aspects of technology integration in education, from pedagogical perspectives to student experiences. This search produced a number of articles which were then further selected based on predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria.

The articles were then screened using strict inclusion and exclusion techniques. Inclusion criteria included articles published within the last 10 years to ensure the relevance and novelty of the data, articles that focused on primary and secondary education to align with the research objectives, and articles that used empirical methodology to obtain strong evidence regarding the effectiveness of technology integration strategies. Exclusion criteria include articles that are not peer-reviewed, articles that are not written in English or Indonesian, and articles that are not relevant to the research topic.

The article selection process uses the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) method, which provides a systematic framework for managing and reporting article search results. The PRISMA method includes four main stages: identification, screening, eligibility, and inclusion. At the identification stage, all articles found

are recorded and documented. At the screening stage, articles that did not meet the inclusion and exclusion criteria were eliminated. At the eligibility stage, the remaining articles were further evaluated to ensure their suitability for the research objectives. Finally, at the inclusion stage, articles that passed all previous stages were included in the final analysis. A PRISMA flow diagram was used to visually illustrate the article selection process, ensuring transparency and accountability in reporting research results

By using the PRISMA method, this research ensures that the article selection process is carried out systematically and structured, minimizing bias and increasing the validity of the findings. This approach allows research to provide a comprehensive and in-depth picture of effective strategies for integrating technology into teaching and learning practices, as well as the challenges and barriers that may be encountered in the process.

### **3. Results and Discussion**

#### **3.1. Description of Studies Reviewed**

The studies reviewed cover a range of topics and methodologies. Hutchinson et al. (2019) conducted a systematic review on the adoption and development of Social Return on Investment (SROI) methodology in evaluating health and social care programs. Lei & Zhang (2019) examined the use of nominalization in various discourses, highlighting limitations in research methodology and analysis procedures. Markova (2019) explored landscape sociology through a systematic literature review to identify theoretical connections. Kumbhare & Frontera (2022) emphasized the integration of research knowledge to improve evidence-informed practice in physiatry, focusing on distinguishing between low- and high-quality scientific studies.

Chisita (2021) investigated Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) for collaboration in archives and records management education, using an interpretive research paradigm and qualitative methodology. Azis & Abduh (2019) conducted a qualitative meta-analysis on academic culture in higher education. Levander et al. (2019) discussed the peer review process in academic scholarship. Hod et al. (2019) developed a methodology for evaluating academic medical centers based on various indicators to comprehensively assess academic value.

In summary, the studies cover diverse topics such as SROI methodology, nominalization, landscape sociology, evidence-informed practice, MOOCs, academic culture, peer review, and academic medical center evaluation. The methodologies employed include systematic reviews, qualitative research, interpretive paradigms, and composite indicator frameworks, showcasing the multidisciplinary nature of academic research and evaluation.

#### **3.2. Effective Technology Integration Strategy**

Effective technology integration in education encompasses various strategies that have been identified as impactful in the literature. The flipped classroom model, which involves reversing traditional teaching methods by delivering instructional content online outside of class and using class time for activities, discussions, and collaboration, has been recognized as a successful approach (Sadik, 2020). Additionally, the use of Learning Management Systems (LMS) plays a crucial role in organizing course materials, facilitating communication, and tracking student progress (Siraj & Kirmani, 2022). Integrating mobile devices in learning has also shown promise, with evidence suggesting that mobile learning can enhance student engagement and improve learning outcomes (Sobhy et al., 2022)

Successful technology integration requires collaboration among teachers, students, and school officials (Chuaungo et al., 2022). Educators who effectively integrate technology into their teaching employ different instructional strategies compared to those who do not, emphasizing the importance of pedagogical approaches in technology integration ("Technology Integrated Teaching and Its Positive and Negative Impacts on Education", 2023). Furthermore, teacher education programs are instrumental in preparing educators for effective technology integration in classrooms (Tondeur et al., 2019).

In the context of mobile learning, it has been highlighted that the implementation of mobile technologies in education requires adequate training, favorable attitudes towards technology integration, and sustainable pedagogical practices (Palomino, 2022). Mobile learning has been found to be effective for K-12 students, emphasizing the potential benefits of incorporating mobile devices in educational settings (Snezhko et al., 2022). In conclusion, the literature underscores the significance of employing diverse strategies such as the flipped classroom model, LMS utilization, and mobile device integration to enhance technology integration in education. These strategies, supported by effective collaboration, pedagogical training, and sustainable practices, can contribute to improved learning experiences and outcomes for students.

### **3.3. Impact of Technology Integration on Student Engagement, Motivation, and Learning Outcomes**

Technology integration in education has demonstrated significant positive impacts on student engagement, motivation, and learning outcomes. Research has indicated that technology enhances student engagement by providing interactive and stimulating learning experiences (Bond & Bedenlier, 2019). Moreover, technology has been shown to boost students' motivation by improving their technological self-efficacy and attitudes towards technology-based learning (Pan, 2020). For example, studies have found that virtual reality (VR) technology positively influences student learning and cognition in fields such as engineering and anatomy (Singh et al., 2020; Bains et al., 2022). Additionally, the incorporation of e-learning and blended learning approaches has been linked to enhanced student learning outcomes in higher education (Lau, 2023; Huda et al., 2022).

Furthermore, the use of technology, including VR and online learning platforms, has been associated with improved learning effectiveness and outcomes (Yu & Xu, 2022; Zulyusri et al., 2022). The integration of Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) approaches has also been proven to enhance student learning outcomes by providing a comprehensive learning experience (Marni & Jahdiah, 2022). Additionally, implementing the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) model through online learning has shown significant positive effects on student learning outcomes and effectiveness (Zulyusri et al., 2022).

Moreover, the utilization of information and communication technology (ICT) has played a crucial role in transforming student learning outcomes by enhancing the quality of learning experiences and outputs (Sukmaindrayana & Yulianeu, 2022). Augmented reality (AR) technology has been utilized to support learning and enhance students' achievements and motivation across various subjects (Chen, 2020). Recent technological innovations, such as internet access, have further supported 21st-century learning outcomes and sustainability in educational institutions (Sjahrudin et al., 2022). In conclusion, empirical evidence from

various studies supports the idea that technology integration in education positively impacts student engagement, motivation, and learning outcomes. By leveraging technologies like VR, online platforms, STEM approaches, and ICT, educators can create interactive, engaging, and effective learning environments that cater to the diverse needs of students.

### **3.4. Challenges and Obstacles in Integrating Technology in Education**

Integrating technology in education faces various challenges and barriers that hinder its effective implementation. These obstacles include infrastructure problems, limited resources, and resistance to change among teachers and students. One of the critical factors contributing to these challenges is the inadequacy of teachers in ICT skills and technology integration literacy (Dinçer & Çengel, 2022). Teachers often encounter barriers such as lack of funding, equipment, ability, time, slow internet speed, power supply issues, and inadequate training when trying to integrate technology into their teaching practices (Dinç, 2019; Akram et al., 2022). Additionally, students and teachers face obstacles like insufficient ICT infrastructure, lack of professional skills, and knowledge in integrating technology into pedagogical practices (Singh, 2019). The challenges also extend to higher education institutions, where issues like inadequate funding, erratic power supply, high costs of educational technology, and poor ICT policies impede the integration of technology for teaching and learning (Ojukwu et al., 2021).

Research indicates that the integration of technology in education requires a transformation of the educational-instructional process to be more functional and effective through Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) (Hamutoğlu & Başarmak, 2020). However, challenges related to teacher attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, STEM infrastructure, technology access, and teaching skills necessary for ICT integration hinder progress in this area (Macharia, 2022). Professional development programs focusing on technology integration have been shown to positively impact teachers' knowledge and help reduce perceived barriers to technology integration practices (Xie et al., 2021).

Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic has further highlighted the importance of technology integration in education. The situation has emphasized the need for teachers to update their competencies to provide quality education and adapt their curriculum and instruction accordingly (Akram et al., 2021). However, challenges such as lack of experience in online teaching, inadequate technical assistance, and insufficient ICT infrastructure have been reported, particularly in the context of online teaching during the pandemic (Akram et al., 2021). In conclusion, the challenges and barriers in integrating technology in education are multifaceted, encompassing issues related to infrastructure, resources, teacher competencies, and resistance to change. Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive approach that includes providing adequate training, resources, and support to educators, improving ICT infrastructure, and fostering a culture that embraces technological innovation in educational practices.

### **4. Conclusions**

The conclusion of this systematic literature review confirms that the integration of technology in education offers various significant benefits in increasing student engagement, learning motivation, and academic outcomes. The studies that have been reviewed show that strategies such as the flipped classroom, the use of Learning Management Systems (LMS), and the integration of mobile devices in learning are effective methods in achieving this goal. These

approaches, supported by close collaboration between teachers, students, and school officials, are able to create learning environments that are more interactive and responsive to student needs.

The implications of these findings include the need for continued investment in teacher training to improve their technological competence, as well as the development of adequate technological infrastructure in educational institutions. The use of technology must be supported by appropriate pedagogical strategies to maximize its benefits. Additionally, it is important for policymakers to consider practical recommendations resulting from this research to support effective technology implementation in schools.

Although this study offers valuable insights, there are several limitations that need to be noted. First, although efforts were made to screen relevant articles rigorously, there remains the possibility of bias in the selection of studies that could influence the conclusions. Second, this study only included articles published in English and Indonesian, which may limit the geographic and linguistic scope of the findings. Additionally, variations in the methodology and context of the studies reviewed may influence the generalizability of the findings.

For future research, it is recommended that further studies be conducted to explore technology integration strategies in more diverse contexts, including in developing countries that may face unique challenges. Research could also focus on developing more effective and sustainable teacher training models, as well as long-term evaluation of the impact of technology on student learning outcomes. Thus, the contribution of this research can continue to be expanded and applied in various educational contexts throughout the world.

## 5. References

- Aditya, D. (2021). Embarking digital learning due to covid-19: are teachers ready?. *Journal of Technology and Science Education*, 11(1), 104. <https://doi.org/10.3926/jotse.1109>
- Akram, H., Abdelrady, A., Al-Adwan, A., & Ramzan, M. (2022). Teachers' perceptions of technology integration in teaching-learning practices: a systematic review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.920317>
- Akram, H., Aslam, S., Saleem, A., & Parveen, K. (2021). The challenges of online teaching in covid-19 pandemic: a case study of public universities in karachi, pakistan. *Journal of Information Technology Education Research*, 20, 263-282. <https://doi.org/10.28945/4784>
- Akram, H., Yu, Y., Al-Adwan, A., & Alkhalifah, A. (2021). Technology integration in higher education during covid-19: an assessment of online teaching competencies through technological pedagogical content knowledge model. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.736522>
- Al-Absy, M. (2023). Effects of covid-19 pandemic on accounting students' capability to use technology. *International Journal of Learning Teaching and Educational Research*, 22(1), 247-267. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.22.1.14>
- Aprilo, I., Arfanda, P., Mappaompo, M., & Saleh, M. (2023). Technology adaptation in 21st century physical education learning: literature review. *Jurnal Dunia Pendidikan*, 3(2), 102-107. <https://doi.org/10.55081/jurdip.v3i2.858>
- Azis, M. and Abduh, A. (2019). Qualitative meta-analysis of academic culture in higher education.. <https://doi.org/10.2991/iceshsum-19.2019.52>
- Bains, M., Kaliski, D., & Goei, K. (2022). Effect of self-regulated learning and technology-enhanced activities on anatomy learning, engagement, and course outcomes

- in a problem-based learning program. *Ajp Advances in Physiology Education*, 46(2), 219-227. <https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00039.2021>
- Baydar, F. (2022). The role of educational leaders in the development of students' technology use and digital citizenship. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 10(1), 32-46. <https://doi.org/10.52380/mojet.2022.10.1.367>
- Bond, M. and Bedenlier, S. (2019). Facilitating student engagement through educational technology: towards a conceptual framework. *Journal of Interactive Media in Education*, 2019(1). <https://doi.org/10.5334/jime.528>
- Chen, C. (2020). Ar videos as scaffolding to foster students' learning achievements and motivation in efl learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 51(3), 657-672. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12902>
- Chisita, C. (2021). Massive open online courses (moocs): a tool for intercontinental collaboration in archives and records management education in eswatini. *Records Management Journal*, 31(2), 158-175. <https://doi.org/10.1108/rmj-08-2020-0028>
- Chomunorwa, S., Mashonganyika, E., & Marevesa, A. (2022). Educator perspectives on the use of technology in schools in previously disadvantaged communities. *South African Computer Journal*, 34(2). <https://doi.org/10.18489/sacj.v34i2.1088>
- Chuaungo, M., Lalnunsiami, K., & Mishra, L. (2022). Opportunities and barriers in ict integrated education: a critical analysis. *International Journal of Engineering Technology and Management Sciences*, 6(5), 753-759. <https://doi.org/10.46647/ijetms.2022.v06i05.117>
- Dinç, E. (2019). Prospective teachers' perceptions of barriers to technology integration in education. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 10(4), 381-398. <https://doi.org/10.30935/cet.634187>
- Dinçer, S. and Çengel, M. (2022). Curriculum content proposal for integration of technology in education. *Uluslararası Eğitim Programları Ve Öğretim Çalışmaları Dergisi*, 12(2), 399-412. <https://doi.org/10.31704/ijocis.2022.016>
- Dincher, M. and Wagner, V. (2021). Teaching in times of covid-19: determinants of teachers' educational technology use. *Education Economics*, 29(5), 461-470. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09645292.2021.1920000>
- Falah, S., Hanri, C., Bunyamin, M., & Osman, S. (2022). Chemistry lecturers' attitudes toward educational technology: afghanistan lecturer perspective. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (Ijere)*, 11(4), 1769. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v11i4.22726>
- Frailon, J., Ainley, J., Schulz, W., Friedman, T., & Duckworth, D. (2020). Reflections on the iea international computer and information literacy study 2018., 239-249. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-38781-5\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-38781-5_8)
- Hamutoğlu, N. and Başarmak, U. (2020). External and internal barriers in technology integration: a structural regression analysis. *Journal of Information Technology Education Research*, 19, 017-040. <https://doi.org/10.28945/4497>
- Hod, R., Maimon, O., & Zimlichman, E. (2019). Measuring the academic value of academic medical centers: describing a methodology for developing an evaluation model at one academic medical center. *Israel Journal of Health Policy Research*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13584-019-0334-4>
- Huda, N., Arianto, F., & Ayubi, N. (2022). The application of blended learning with a community science technology approach to improve student learning outcomes in

- higher education. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (Ijet)*, 17(14), 246-252. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v17i14.32927>
- Hutchinson, C., Berndt, A., Forsythe, D., Gilbert-Hunt, S., George, S., & Ratcliffe, J. (2019). Valuing the impact of health and social care programs using social return on investment analysis: how have academics advanced the methodology? a systematic review. *BMJ Open*, 9(8), e029789. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029789>
- Karakaş, A. and Hidiroğlu, Ç. (2022). Transdisciplinary role of technology in stem education. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 10(4), 276-293. <https://doi.org/10.52380/mojet.2022.10.4.411>
- Korumaz, M. and Gölçek, E. (2020). Computer education and instructional technology graduate school principals' technological leadership experiences: a phenomenological research. *Eğitim Bilimleri Dergisi*. <https://doi.org/10.15285/maruaebd.714794>
- Kumbhare, D. and Frontera, W. (2022). Integration of research knowledge to enhance the evidence informed practice of the busy physiatrist. *American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*, 101(1), 1-1. <https://doi.org/10.1097/phm.0000000000001909>
- Kundu, A., Bej, T., & Dey, K. (2020). An empirical study on the correlation between teacher efficacy and ict infrastructure. *International Journal of Information and Learning Technology*, 37(4), 213-238. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijilt-04-2020-0050>
- Lau, L. (2023). A study of the effects of e-learning on the learning effectiveness of students. *Journal of Education Humanities and Social Sciences*, 8, 1961-1968. <https://doi.org/10.54097/ehss.v8i.4623>
- Lei, Y. and Zhang, Y. (2019). Researches on applications of nominalization in different discourses. *International Journal of Research -Granthaalayah*, 7(4), 97-105. <https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v7.i4.2019.879>
- Levander, S., Forsberg, E., & Elmgren, M. (2019). The meaning-making of educational proficiency in academic hiring: a blind spot in the black box. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 25(5), 541-559. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2019.1576605>
- Macatangay, J. and Callo, E. (2022). Preparedness and promotion of technology leadership toward self-efficacy and instructional performance. *International Journal of Educational Management and Development Studies*, 3(3), 171-194. <https://doi.org/10.53378/352920>
- Macharia, J. (2022). Systematic literature review of interventions supported by integration of ict in education to improve learners' academic performance in stem subjects in kenya. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 6(3), 52-75. <https://doi.org/10.47941/jep.979>
- Markova, M. (2019). Landscape sociology as developing academic discipline. *Landscape Architecture and Art*, 14, 95-101. <https://doi.org/10.22616/j.landarchart.2019.14.09>
- Marni, M. and Jahdiah, J. (2022). Improving science learning outcomes in water clearance material with science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (stem) approaches. *Journal of Science Education and Practice*, 6(1), 13-24. <https://doi.org/10.33751/jsep.v6i1.5719>
- Nemchenko, V., MARKOVA, T., Pchelianska, G., & Volodina, O. (2021). Information technologies in the use of innovative teaching methods - as a tool for socio-economic development. *Food Industry Economics*, 13(2). <https://doi.org/10.15673/fie.v13i2.2046>
- Ojukwu, N., Chukwuyere, A., & Catherine, A. (2021). Educational technology for teaching and learning in the post covid-19 era: a case study of tertiary institutions in imo state, nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Review*, 8(7), 90-98. <https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20210713>

- Oke, A. and Fernandes, F. (2020). Innovations in teaching and learning: exploring the perceptions of the education sector on the 4th industrial revolution (4ir). *Journal of Open Innovation Technology Market and Complexity*, 6(2), 31. <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc6020031>
- Palomino, M. (2022). Implications of mobile learning for sustainable inclusive education: a systematic review. *The Electronic Journal of E-Learning*, 20(5), 538-553. <https://doi.org/10.34190/ejel.20.5.2612>
- Pan, X. (2020). Technology acceptance, technological self-efficacy, and attitude toward technology-based self-directed learning: learning motivation as a mediator. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.564294>
- Sadik, O. (2020). Exploring a community of practice to improve quality of a technology integration course in a teacher education institution. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 13(1), ep285. <https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/8710>
- Sastre, M., Pifarré, M., Cujba, A., Cutillas, L., & Falguera, E. (2022). The role of digital technologies to promote collaborative creativity in language education. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.828981>
- Singh, G., Mantri, A., Sharma, O., & Kaur, R. (2020). Virtual reality learning environment for enhancing electronics engineering laboratory experience. *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, 29(1), 229-243. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cae.22333>
- Singh, R. (2019). Students' perspectives on technology integration in elt. *Journal of Nelta*, 24(1-2), 95-106. <https://doi.org/10.3126/nelta.v24i1-2.27682>
- Siraj, D. and Kirmani, N. (2022). Effect of technology-supported collaborative learning techniques on prospective teachers' sense of learning community through the use of moodle. *Global Educational Studies Review*, VII(II), 107-115. [https://doi.org/10.31703/gesr.2022\(vii-ii\).10](https://doi.org/10.31703/gesr.2022(vii-ii).10)
- Sjahruddin, H., Ramli, M., Bangkara, B., Nawassyarif, N., & Fatmawati, E. (2022). Technological innovation to support 21st century learning outcomes and sustainability at universitas islam negeri (uin) antasari. *Jurnal Iqra*, 7(1), 63-76. <https://doi.org/10.25217/ji.v7i1.1473>
- Snezhko, Z., Babaskin, D., Vanina, E., Rogulin, R., & Egorova, Z. (2022). Motivation for mobile learning: teacher engagement and built-in mechanisms. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (Ijim)*, 16(01), 78-93. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v16i01.26321>
- Sobhy, A., Mohamed, H., Ali, H., & Ghonaem, S. (2022). Effect of mobile-based video learning on clinical nursing students learning outcomes. *Journal of Nursing Science Benha University*, 3(2), 793-810. <https://doi.org/10.21608/jnsbu.2022.247764>
- Sukmaindrayana, A. and Yulianeu, A. (2022). The roles of information and communication technology (ict) in transforming students learning outcomes at stmik dci tasikmalaya. *Jurnal Iqra*, 7(2), 89-104. <https://doi.org/10.25217/ji.v7i2.1712>
- Tondeur, J., Scherer, R., Baran, E., Siddiq, F., Valtonen, T., & Sointu, E. (2019). Teacher educators as gatekeepers: preparing the next generation of teachers for technology integration in education. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 50(3), 1189-1209. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12748>
- Tuma, F., Malgor, R., & Nassar, A. (2021). Actions to enhance interactive learning in surgery. *Annals of Medicine and Surgery*, 64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2021.102256>
- Tuma, F. (2021). The use of educational technology for interactive teaching in lectures. *Annals of Medicine and Surgery*, 62, 231-235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2021.01.051>

- Xie, K., Nelson, M., Cheng, S., & Jiang, Z. (2021). Examining changes in teachers' perceptions of external and internal barriers in their integration of educational digital resources in k-12 classrooms. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 55(2), 281-306. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2021.1951404>
- Yu, Z. and Xu, W. (2022). A meta-analysis and systematic review of the effect of virtual reality technology on users' learning outcomes. *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, 30(5), 1470-1484. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cae.22532>
- ZENGİN, E. (2023). Perceptions of classroom teachers on the concept of 'technology' and the effect of schools' technological infrastructure on the educational process: a qualitative study. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 11(1), 41-58. <https://doi.org/10.52380/mojet.2023.11.1.455>
- Zulyusri, Z., Desy, D., Santosa, T., & Yulianti, S. (2022). Meta-analysis the effect of the technological pedagogical content knowledge (tpack) model through online learning on biology learning outcomes, learning effectiveness, and 21st century competencies of post-covid-19 students and teachers. *International Journal of Progressive Sciences and Technologies*, 34(2), 285. <https://doi.org/10.52155/ijpsat.v34.2.4631>