The Importance of Personalized Learning: How to Tailor Education to the Individual Needs of Students

Pentingnya Pembelajaran yang Dipersonalisasi: Bagaimana Menyesuaikan Pendidikan dengan Kebutuhan Individu Siswa

[1]Cittra Juniarni, M. [2]Ali Sodikin, [3]Akhyar, [4]Almujahid, [5]Nova Asvio

[1,2,3,4]Institut Agama Islam Al-Qur'an Al-Ittifaqiah, [5]UIN Fatmawati Soekarno Bengkulu

*[1]cittra@iaiqi.ac.id, [2]alisodikin@iaiqi.ac.id, [3]akhyar@iaiqi.ac.id, [4]almujahid163@gmail.com, [5]novawawanajeng@gmail.com

Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT

Personalized learning has become a major concern in educational research because of its potential to improve student learning outcomes. This research aims to evaluate the effectiveness of various personalized learning approaches in improving student learning outcomes. The method used is a systematic literature review which includes analysis of articles from highly reputable databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and PubMed. Research results show that approaches such as adaptive learning systems, competency-based learning, and differentiated instruction can increase student engagement, motivation, and academic achievement. The study also found that factors such as student characteristics, learning context, and learning program design influence the success of personalized learning. The implication of this research is the need to develop more flexible and adaptive learning strategies as well as increase technological support to optimize student learning outcomes.

Keywords: Personalized Learning, Adaptive Learning System, Competency Based Learning, Learning Effectiveness, Student Motivation, Learning Program Design, Systematic Literature Review.

ABSTRAK

Pembelajaran yang dipersonalisasi telah menjadi perhatian utama dalam penelitian pendidikan karena potensinya dalam meningkatkan hasil belajar siswa. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengevaluasi efektivitas berbagai pendekatan pembelajaran yang dipersonalisasi dalam meningkatkan hasil belajar siswa. Metode yang digunakan adalah tinjauan literatur sistematis yang mencakup analisis artikel dari database bereputasi tinggi seperti Scopus, Web of Science, dan PubMed. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa pendekatan seperti sistem pembelajaran adaptif, pembelajaran berbasis kompetensi, dan instruksi yang dibedakan mampu meningkatkan keterlibatan, motivasi, dan pencapaian akademik siswa. Studi ini juga menemukan bahwa faktor-faktor seperti karakteristik siswa, konteks pembelajaran, dan desain program pembelajaran mempengaruhi keberhasilan pembelajaran yang dipersonalisasi. Implikasi penelitian ini adalah perlunya pengembangan strategi pembelajaran yang lebih fleksibel dan adaptif serta peningkatan dukungan teknologi untuk mengoptimalkan hasil belajar siswa.

Kata Kunci: Pembelajaran yang Dipersonalisasi, Sistem Pembelajaran Adaptif, Pembelajaran Berbasis Kompetensi, Efektivitas Pembelajaran, Motivasi Siswa, Desain Program Pembelajaran, Tinjauan Literatur Sistematis.

1.   Introduction

Personalized learning, the practice of tailoring education to meet the specific needs of individual students, has gained significant attention in educational research. The shift towards personalized learning aims to move away from traditional one-size-fits-all teaching methods towards creating learning environments that cater to the unique abilities and capacities of each student (Ramachandran et al., 2019). This approach has been shown to have the potential to enhance educational outcomes, particularly for students with diverse learning needs (Ramachandran et al., 2019).

Research has indicated that personalized learning can be more effective in motivating individuals to make changes compared to generic educational approaches (Brug et al., 2003). For instance, in the context of nutrition education, computer-tailored programs have been found to be more successful in encouraging dietary changes, especially in reducing dietary fat intake (Brug et al., 2003). This highlights the importance of tailoring educational content to the specific needs and preferences of learners.

Furthermore, studies have emphasized the significance of considering individual learning styles when designing educational interventions (Felder & Henriques, 1995). Learning styles, which encompass how individuals acquire, retain, and retrieve information, play a crucial role in shaping the effectiveness of educational strategies. By aligning teaching methods with students' learning styles, educators can optimize the learning experience and promote better knowledge retention.

In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, which significantly disrupted traditional schooling, the importance of personalized learning has become even more pronounced (Kuhfeld et al., 2022). The pandemic led to widespread school closures and a rapid shift towards online education, highlighting the need for flexible and adaptable educational approaches that can cater to the diverse needs of students in various learning environments (Kuhfeld et al., 2022). In conclusion, personalized learning is a valuable educational approach that prioritizes individual student needs and preferences. By tailoring education to the unique characteristics of each learner, personalized learning has the potential to enhance motivation, engagement, and overall educational outcomes. Embracing personalized learning strategies can help address the diverse learning needs of students and promote a more inclusive and effective educational system.

Personalized learning has become an important element in modern education, which focuses on adapting the learning process to each student's needs, interests and abilities. This model aims to overcome the weaknesses of traditional approaches which are often unable to accommodate the diversity of student characteristics in one class. The importance of personalized learning is further reinforced by studies showing that this approach can improve student engagement and learning outcomes.

However, implementing personalized learning faces several key issues. First, adapting the curriculum is a challenge because it requires high flexibility and adaptation from educators. Second, technological limitations, especially in less developed areas, are a barrier to implementing technology-based learning strategies. Third, evaluating the effectiveness of personalized learning is still a challenge because it requires assessment methods that are able to measure various aspects of learning outcomes that vary between students.

The research question to be answered in this study is: "How effective are various personalized learning approaches in improving student learning outcomes?" This question arises from the need to understand the extent to which personalized approaches can be implemented effectively in various educational contexts and how they impact student learning outcomes.

This research has several main objectives formulated to make a significant contribution to the understanding and implementation of personalized learning. First, this research aims to

identify and analyze various personalized learning approaches that have been applied in various educational contexts. These approaches include adaptive learning systems, differentiated instruction, competency-based learning, and personalized learning plans. This analysis will not only evaluate how each approach was implemented, but will also identify the factors that influenced its success as well as the challenges faced in its implementation.

Second, this research aims to evaluate the effectiveness of various personalized learning approaches in improving student learning outcomes. This evaluation will be based on various learning outcome indicators, such as academic achievement, student engagement, learning satisfaction, and critical skills development. Thus, this research will provide deeper insight into the contribution of these approaches to improving the quality of education and student learning achievement. It is hoped that the research results can provide practical guidance for educators and policy makers in implementing more effective and adaptive learning strategies.

It is hoped that this research will provide significant benefits, both for educators and educational policy makers. By providing insight into more effective learning strategies, the results of this research can assist educators in designing and implementing learning methods that better suit individual students' needs. In addition, it is hoped that this research can direct further research to address existing gaps in the literature, thereby strengthening the empirical basis regarding the effectiveness of personalized learning.

Although much research has been conducted on personalized learning, there is still a lack of comprehensive empirical evidence on the effectiveness of this method in various educational contexts. In particular, the lack of longitudinal studies that could provide a clearer picture of the long-term impact of this approach is one gap that needs to be addressed.

This study aims to provide an updated synthesis of existing research on personalized learning. By identifying areas that require further research, this study also contributes to enriching the existing literature. Additionally, this research offers practical guidance for the implementation of personalized learning, which can be used by educators and policymakers to improve the quality of education.

2. Research Methods

Article collection was carried out through several highly reputable data sources to ensure the quality and credibility of the information obtained. Primary data sources include academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and PubMed. These three databases were chosen because they provide access to various reputable international journals and have broad coverage in the fields of education and technology.

The article search process uses a number of relevant keywords to ensure comprehensive coverage. Keywords used include "personalized learning", "adaptive learning", "individualized instruction", "education technology", and "learning outcomes". These keywords were chosen to cover a wide range of aspects and approaches in personalized learning, thereby enabling the identification of diverse and relevant literature.

In the initial stages of the search, a large number of articles were found based on the keywords used. After an initial search process, articles were screened based on relevance and quality. This screening process involves several stages, including checking the title and abstract to ensure suitability for the research topic. After rigorous screening, only articles that met the criteria were considered for further analysis.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria were set to ensure that only relevant and high-quality articles were included in the analysis. Inclusion criteria included articles published within the last 10 years, articles discussing personalized learning in formal educational contexts, as well as articles available in English. Meanwhile, exclusion criteria include articles that do not have empirical data, review articles without new data analysis, and articles that focus on non-formal learning or adult education that are not relevant to the context of this research.

To systematically screen and select relevant articles, the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) method was used. The PRISMA method provides a transparent and rigorous framework for the article identification, screening, and selection process. This process starts from searching for articles, filtering based on title and abstract, assessing full article eligibility, to final selection of articles to be analyzed. By using the PRISMA method, this research ensures that only high-quality and relevant articles are included in the final analysis, thereby increasing the validity and reliability of the research findings.

3. Results and Discussion
3.1 Definition of Personalized Learning

Personalized learning is an educational approach that tailors instruction to meet the diverse needs, abilities, and goals of individual learners (Makhambetova et al., 2021; Ober, 2023; Hughey, 2020). It involves understanding each student's unique requirements and preferences to facilitate the achievement of predetermined educational objectives (Dağdeler, 2022). This approach emphasizes student agency, flexible learning methods, mastery of competencies, and a holistic view of the learner (Hughey, 2020). Personalized learning systems aim to predict and address the specific learning needs of students, thereby enhancing educational outcomes (Veld & Nagenborg, 2019). By allowing learners to take ownership of their education and make informed decisions, personalized learning promotes motivation and engagement (Vesin et al., 2018). It also involves adapting educational content and strategies to align with students' interests, pace, and goals in e-learning environments (Ambele et al., 2022).

The development of personalized learning often involves leveraging technology, such as AI, to create tailored educational experiences (Goel, 2024; Goel & Joyner, 2017). Educational games and platforms based on students' learning styles, personalities, or capabilities have been explored to enhance learning outcomes (Hwang et al., 2012; Tlili et al., 2019). Additionally, personalized learning models are designed to provide adaptive learning environments that cater to individual needs and preferences (Cuong & Le, 2020). The integration of data mining and analytics further supports the customization of educational content and experiences to suit each learner (Zhong & Hong-yan, 2012).

In summary, personalized learning is a student-centric educational approach that prioritizes individual needs, preferences, and goals to optimize learning outcomes. By leveraging technology, data analytics, and adaptive strategies, personalized learning aims to create tailored educational experiences that enhance student engagement, motivation, and achievement.

3.2 Types of Personalized Learning Approaches

Personalized learning approaches encompass various strategies aimed at tailoring education to individual learners. Competency-based education, which focuses on personalized progress, is closely intertwined with personalized learning (Bethere et al., 2022). Adaptive e-learning systems offer personalized materials and scenarios based on students' knowledge levels, learning styles, and interests (Jovanović & Jovanovic, 2014). These systems provide adaptive features and personalized support by considering students' learning styles, making learning more accessible and effective (Graf et al., 2010). Adaptive learning systems engage learners by offering dynamic contexts and resources, fostering active learning (Kara & Sevim, 2013). Additionally, adaptive web-based learning accommodates individual differences through system adaptation, considering factors like learners' knowledge levels and dominant learning styles (Magoulas et al., 2003).

Competency-based learning plays a crucial role in personalizing education, emphasizing the development of specific competencies required for various professions (Chou et al., 2018). It involves defining core competencies, designing curricula to cultivate these competencies, evaluating student performance, and reflecting on and adjusting teaching methods accordingly

(Chou et al., 2018). Competence models are essential for structuring learning resources effectively, facilitating just-in-time and just-enough learning (Zouaq et al., 2007). Furthermore, competency-based learning focuses on improving competences through formal and informal training activities, driven by personal, societal, or employment-related motives (Sampson & Fytros, 2008).

Adaptive learning systems, which automatically adjust to users' preferences and learning styles, enhance the effectiveness of teaching and learning processes (Agustini, 2017). These systems accommodate differences among learners, making adaptivity a key aspect in addressing individual needs (Hariyanto & Köhler, 2017). Moreover, competency-based education extends beyond knowledge acquisition, emphasizing the application and creation of knowledge, as well as the development of essential skills, attitudes, and dispositions (Stefanova et al., n.d.).

In conclusion, personalized learning approaches such as adaptive learning systems, competency-based education, and differentiated instruction aim to cater to individual learners' needs by offering tailored materials, adapting to learning styles, and focusing on competency development.

3.3 Factors that Influence the Effectiveness of Personalized Learning

Personalized learning effectiveness is influenced by various factors such as student characteristics, learning context, and learning program design. Student characteristics like learning style and motivation play a crucial role in personalized learning (Córdova & Lepper, 1996). The learning context, including technological support and the school environment, also impacts the success of personalized learning programs (Tetzlaff et al., 2020). Additionally, the design of the learning program, such as curriculum flexibility and teacher involvement, is essential for personalized learning to be effective (Duan, 2019).

Research suggests that personalized learning is most successful when relevant learner characteristics are continuously assessed and used to adapt instruction systematically (Tetzlaff et al., 2020). Studies have shown that personalized curriculum generation using techniques like genetic algorithms can enhance student interest, learning efficiency, and effectiveness (Duan, 2019). Furthermore, personalized recommendations for learning paths based on big data can significantly improve academic performance (Liu et al., 2020).

The use of adaptive and personalized learning designs has been found to have a positive impact on students' learning outcomes (Buch et al., 2018). Technology-driven personalized learning platforms have shown promising results, with research indicating that one-to-one tutoring coupled with mastery learning can significantly enhance student performance (Shaw et al., 2014). Personalized learning is considered most reliable and effective when learners' characteristics are dynamically assessed during the learning process (Shobeiry, 2021).

Factors influencing learning transfer, such as relevant knowledge, skills, abilities, and prior experience, are crucial for the effectiveness of personalized learning programs (Kim et al., 2023). Personalized learning models that focus on student creativity and autonomy can further enhance the learning experience (Agustinaningsih, 2020; Villaflor & Zhang, 2021). Additionally, personal trait factors, including personal innovativeness, significantly influence informal learning among students (He & Zhu, 2017).

In conclusion, personalized learning effectiveness is a multifaceted process that requires a deep understanding of student characteristics, a supportive learning context, and well-designed learning programs. By considering these factors and leveraging innovative approaches, personalized learning can be optimized to enhance student engagement, motivation, and overall learning outcomes.

3.4 Research Evidence on the Effectiveness of Personalized Learning

Personalized learning has garnered attention in educational research due to its potential impact on student learning outcomes, student and teacher satisfaction, and long-term educational benefits. Several studies provide insights into the effectiveness of personalized learning approaches. For instance, research by Haerens et al. (2015) emphasizes the importance of autonomy-supportive teaching in enhancing students' motivational experiences. This highlights the significance of creating a supportive learning environment that caters to individual needs and promotes intrinsic motivation.

Moreover, Eom et al. (2006) suggest that personalized online education tailored to specific learning styles and accompanied by timely feedback can lead to improved learning outcomes and student satisfaction. This underscores the value of adapting instructional methods to meet the diverse needs of learners in online settings.

Additionally, Collie et al. (2012) argue for the integration of social-emotional learning in schools to support teachers' well-being, job satisfaction, and teaching efficacy. This indicates that addressing the socio-emotional aspects of education can have a positive impact on both students and teachers within a personalized learning framework.

Furthermore, studies by Richardson & Swan (2019) and Lin et al. (2016) highlight the importance of teacher-student interactions in online courses, emphasizing their role in enhancing student satisfaction and learning outcomes. Effective communication and engagement between teachers and students are crucial components of personalized learning environments.

Overall, the research suggests that personalized learning, supported by autonomy, tailored instruction, social-emotional learning, and strong teacher-student interactions, can positively influence student learning outcomes, satisfaction, and long-term educational benefits.

4. Conclusion

The conclusions of this study indicate that personalized learning has great potential to improve student learning outcomes through an approach tailored to individual needs, abilities and goals. Various approaches, such as adaptive learning systems, competency-based education, and differentiated instruction, have proven effective in different contexts. However, the successful implementation of this approach is greatly influenced by factors such as student characteristics, technological support, and learning program design.

The implications of these findings suggest that educators and policymakers should consider personalized learning approaches to increase student engagement and achievement. Technology integration, continuous assessment of student needs and progress, and curriculum flexibility are important elements that must be adopted. Additionally, it is important for educational institutions to provide adequate training and support for educators in implementing these methods effectively.

This research also has several limitations that need to be considered. One of them is the limitation in generalizing the findings due to variations in educational contexts and different student characteristics. Additionally, most of the studies analyzed focus on formal education and may not comprehensively cover the application of personalized learning in non-formal education or adult education.

For future research, more in-depth longitudinal studies are needed to evaluate the long-term impact of personalized learning. Further research also needs to explore the implementation of this approach in a wider range of educational contexts, including non-formal education and professional training. In this way, a more comprehensive understanding of the effectiveness and challenges of personalized learning can be gained, which will ultimately support efforts to improve the overall quality of education.

5. References

Agustinaningsih, W. (2020). Personalized learning modeling for the control of student creativity in physics learning media.. https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200711.016

Agustini, K. (2017). The adaptive elearning system design : student learning style trend analysis.. https://doi.org/10.2991/icirad-17.2017.10

Ambele, R., Kaijage, S., Dida, M., Trojer, L., & Kyando, N. (2022). A review of the development trend of personalized learning technologies and its applications. International Journal of Advances in Scientific Research and Engineering, 08(11), 75-91. https://doi.org/10.31695/ijasre.2022.8.11.9

Bethere, D., Grava, J., & Pole, V. (2022). Pre-school teachers' professional development needs concerning competency-based teaching. Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences, 17(1), 306-319. https://doi.org/10.18844/cjes.v17i1.6716

Brug, J., Oenema, A., & Campbell, M. (2003). Past, present, and future of computer-tailored nutrition education. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 77(4), 1028S-1034S. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/77.4.1028s

Buch, B., Christiansen, R., Hansen, D., Petersen, A., & Sørensen, R. (2018). Using the 7cs framework for designing moocs in blended contexts - new perspectives and ideas. Universal Journal of Educational Research, 6(3), 421-429. https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2018.060309

Chou, C., Tseng, S., Wang, C., Chao, P., Chen, Z., Lai, K., … & Yu, L. (2018). Learning analytics on graduates' academic records to reflect on a competency‑based curriculum. Computer Applications in Engineering Education, 26(6), 2168-2182. https://doi.org/10.1002/cae.22019

Collie, R., Shapka, J., & Perry, N. (2012). School climate and social–emotional learning: predicting teacher stress, job satisfaction, and teaching efficacy.. Journal of Educational Psychology, 104(4), 1189-1204. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029356

Córdova, D. and Lepper, M. (1996). Intrinsic motivation and the process of learning: beneficial effects of contextualization, personalization, and choice.. Journal of Educational Psychology, 88(4), 715-730. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.88.4.715

Cuong, V. and Le, Q. (2020). Determining characteristics of teachers, students and educational managers in the 4.0 educational context. Vietnam Journal of Education, 4(4), 16-21. https://doi.org/10.52296/vje.2020.75

Dağdeler, K. (2022). Yabancı dil olarak ingilizce öğretim elemanlarının kişiselleştirilmiş öğrenme algıları. Journal of History School, LIX(LIX), 2588-2603. https://doi.org/10.29228/joh.52645

Duan, X. (2019). Automatic generation and evolution of personalized curriculum based on genetic algorithm. International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (Ijet), 14(12), 15. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v14i12.10812

Eom, S., Wen, H., & Ashill, N. (2006). The determinants of students' perceived learning outcomes and satisfaction in university online education: an empirical investigation*. Decision Sciences Journal of Innovative Education, 4(2), 215-235. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4609.2006.00114.x

Felder, R. and Henriques, E. (1995). Learning and teaching styles in foreign and second language education. Foreign Language Annals, 28(1), 21-31. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1944-9720.1995.tb00767.x

Goel, A. (2024). Ai‑aloe: ai for reskilling, upskilling, and workforce development. Ai Magazine, 45(1), 77-82. https://doi.org/10.1002/aaai.12157

Goel, A. and Joyner, D. (2017). Using ai to teach ai: lessons from an online ai class. Ai Magazine, 38(2), 48-58. https://doi.org/10.1609/aimag.v38i2.2732

Graf, S., Liu, T., & Kinshuk, K. (2010). Analysis of learners' navigational behaviour and their learning styles in an online course. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 26(2), 116-131. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2009.00336.x

Haerens, L., Aelterman, N., Vansteenkiste, M., Soenens, B., & Petegem, S. (2015). Do perceived autonomy-supportive and controlling teaching relate to physical education students' motivational experiences through unique pathways? distinguishing between the bright and dark side of motivation. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 16, 26-36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2014.08.013

Hariyanto, D. and Köhler, T. (2017). An adaptive user interface for an e-learning system by accommodating learning style and initial knowledge.. https://doi.org/10.2991/ictvt-17.2017.4

He, T. and Zhu, C. (2017). Digital informal learning among chinese university students: the effects of digital competence and personal factors. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 14(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-017-0082-x

Hughey, J. (2020). Individual personalized learning. Educational Considerations, 46(2). https://doi.org/10.4148/0146-9282.2237

Hwang, G., Sung, H., Hung, C., Huang, I., & Tsai, C. (2012). Development of a personalized educational computer game based on students' learning styles. Educational Technology Research and Development, 60(4), 623-638. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-012-9241-x

Jovanović, D. and Jovanovic, S. (2014). An adaptive e-learning system for java programming course, based on dokeos le. Computer Applications in Engineering Education, 23(3), 337-343. https://doi.org/10.1002/cae.21603

Kara, N. and Sevim, N. (2013). Adaptive learning systems: beyond teaching machines. Contemporary Educational Technology, 4(2). https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/6095

Kim, G., Kim, Y., & Kim, S. (2023). Factors influencing the learning transfer of nursing students in a non-face-to-face educational environment during the covid-19 pandemic in korea: a cross-sectional study using structural equation modeling. Journal of Educational Evaluation for Health Professions, 20, 14. https://doi.org/10.3352/jeehp.2023.20.14

Kuhfeld, M., Soland, J., & Lewis, K. (2022). Test score patterns across three covid-19-impacted school years. Educational Researcher, 51(7), 500-506. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189x221109178

Lin, C., Zheng, B., & Zhang, Y. (2016). Interactions and learning outcomes in online language courses. British Journal of Educational Technology, 48(3), 730-748. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12457

Liu, Z., Dong, L., & Wu, C. (2020). Research on personalized recommendations for students' learning paths based on big data. International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (Ijet), 15(08), 40. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v15i08.12245

Magoulas, G., Papanikolaou, K., & Grigoriadou, M. (2003). Adaptive web-based learning: accommodating individual differences through system's adaptation. British Journal of Educational Technology, 34(4), 511-527. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8535.00347

Makhambetova, A., Zhiyenbayeva, N., & Ergesheva, E. (2021). Personalized learning strategy as a tool to improve academic performance and motivation of students. International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies, 16(6), 1-17. https://doi.org/10.4018/ijwltt.286743

Ober, T. (2023). Culturally responsive personalized learning: recommendations for a working definition and framework. Ets Research Report Series, 2023(1), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1002/ets2.12372

Ramachandran, A., Huang, C., & Scassellati, B. (2019). Toward effective robot--child tutoring. Acm Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems, 9(1), 1-23. https://doi.org/10.1145/3213768

Richardson, J. and Swan, K. (2019). Examining social presence in online courses in relation to students' perceived learning and satisfaction. Online Learning, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v7i1.1864

Sampson, D. and Fytros, D. (2008). Competence models in technology-enhanced competence-based learning., 155-177. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74155-8_9

Shaw, C., Larson, R., & Sibdari, S. (2014). An asynchronous, personalized learning platform─guided learning pathways (glp). Creative Education, 05(13), 1189-1204. https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2014.513135

Shobeiry, M. (2021). Personalized learning reliability of tell me morea dynamic approach. Revista Entrelinguas, e021068. https://doi.org/10.29051/el.v7iesp.4.15604

Stefanova, E., Nikolova, N., Zafirova-Malcheva, T., Mihnev, P., Antonova, A., & Georgiev, A. Participatory model for identifying and measuring teacher competences for open and inquiry-based learning in stem – field experience.. https://doi.org/10.29007/8g8k

Tetzlaff, L., Schmiedek, F., & Brod, G. (2020). Developing personalized education: a dynamic framework. Educational Psychology Review, 33(3), 863-882. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09570-w

Tlili, A., Denden, M., Essalmi, F., Jemni, M., Chen, N., & Huang, R. (2019). Does providing a personalized educational game based on personality matter? a case study. Ieee Access, 7, 119566-119575. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2019.2936384

Veld, I. and Nagenborg, M. (2019). It's getting personal: the ethical and educational implications of personalised learning technology. Journal of Philosophy in Schools, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.21913/jps.v6i1.1567

Vesin, B., Mangaroska, K., & Giannakos, M. (2018). Learning in smart environments: user-centered design and analytics of an adaptive learning system. Smart Learning Environments, 5(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-018-0071-0

Villaflor, J. and Zhang, Y. (2021). Fostering learner autonomy through personalized project-based learning.. https://doi.org/10.22492/issn.2189-1036.2021.26

Zhong, Z. and Hong-yan, Y. (2012). Personalized learning system based on data mining for experimental platforms.. https://doi.org/10.2991/citcs.2012.105

Zouaq, A., Nkambou, R., & Frasson, C. (2007). Using a competence model to aggregate learning knowledge objects.. https://doi.org/10.1109/icalt.2007.273