

***Fintech and the Future of Banking:
Collaboration and Innovation for Better Financial Services***

**Fintech dan Masa Depan Perbankan:
Kolaborasi dan Inovasi untuk Pelayanan Keuangan yang Lebih Baik**

Hendri Khuan

Philippine Women's University

*hendri.khuan@gmail.com

**Corresponding Author*

ABSTRACT

Collaboration between fintech companies and traditional banks is important for the evolution of the financial industry. Factors influencing fintech adoption by conventional banks include organizational culture, management attitudes and leadership. Understanding these factors is important for banks to remain competitive. Challenges to adoption include regulatory uncertainty, resource limitations, and data security issues, while opportunities include increased operational efficiency and greater consumer engagement. Factors influencing adoption also include technology sustainability, IT infrastructure, financial capabilities, human resources, government regulations, market changes, consumer behavior, industry competition, and strategic partnerships. Overcoming challenges such as cyber security risks and regulatory barriers, as well as capitalizing on opportunities through strategic partnerships and understanding consumer behavior, can drive successful fintech adoption for traditional banks.

Keywords: Fintech, traditional banks, data security, operational efficiency, consumer engagement, technology sustainability, strategic partnerships, consumer behavior.

ABSTRAK

Kolaborasi antara perusahaan fintech dan bank tradisional penting untuk evolusi industri keuangan. Faktor-faktor yang memengaruhi adopsi fintech oleh bank konvensional meliputi budaya organisasi, sikap manajemen, dan kepemimpinan. Memahami faktor-faktor ini penting bagi bank untuk tetap kompetitif. Tantangan dalam adopsi meliputi ketidakpastian regulasi, keterbatasan sumber daya, dan masalah keamanan data, sedangkan peluang meliputi peningkatan efisiensi operasional dan keterlibatan konsumen yang lebih besar. Faktor-faktor yang memengaruhi adopsi juga meliputi keberlanjutan teknologi, infrastruktur TI, kemampuan keuangan, sumber daya manusia, regulasi pemerintah, perubahan pasar, perilaku konsumen, persaingan industri, dan kemitraan strategis. Mengatasi tantangan seperti risiko keamanan cyber dan hambatan regulasi, serta memanfaatkan peluang melalui kemitraan strategis dan pemahaman perilaku konsumen, dapat mendorong adopsi fintech yang berhasil bagi bank tradisional.

Kata kunci: Fintech, bank tradisional, keamanan data, efisiensi operasional, keterlibatan konsumen, keberlanjutan teknologi, kemitraan strategis, perilaku konsumen.

Introduction

Collaboration between fintech companies and traditional banks has indeed become a significant area of interest in the financial industry. Research indicates that banks are increasingly engaging with fintech startups, with a tendency to invest in smaller fintech firms while establishing product-related collaborations with larger ones (Hornuf et al., 2020). This

trend is influenced by factors such as incomplete contract theory and the pursuit of structured digital strategies by banks (Bouheni et al., 2023). The presence of fintech lenders in the market has led to heightened competition between fintech lenders and banks, impacting areas such as earnings management and accounting practices within the banking sector (Ozili, 2020). However, studies suggest that collaboration between fintech and banks can lead to complementarity rather than substitution effects, indicating potential benefits for both parties (Yudaruddin, 2022).

In the context of Islamic banking, which is undergoing disruption due to fintech innovations, there is evidence of increasing collaboration between fintech and Islamic banking entities (Altwijry et al., 2021). This collaboration is seen as essential for sustainable bank performance, especially in navigating challenges such as those posed by the COVID-19 pandemic (Sajid et al., 2023). Moreover, the literature emphasizes the importance of collaboration between traditional banks and fintech firms for mutual success, highlighting the concept of Open Finance as a framework where such partnerships are crucial (Faes et al., 2022). Successful collaboration between fintech and banks can lead to improved bank efficiency, financial inclusion, and overall financial sector development (Okoli & Tewari, 2020). Overall, the evolving landscape of the financial industry, driven by fintech innovations, underscores the necessity for collaboration between fintech companies and traditional banks. Such partnerships not only offer opportunities for innovation and improved services but also play a vital role in enhancing the performance and sustainability of both fintech and traditional banking institutions.

Understanding the factors that influence the adoption of financial technology (FinTech) by conventional banks is crucial for both the banks and the FinTech firms. Several studies have highlighted key factors that play a significant role in determining the adoption of FinTech by conventional banks. Factors such as utility, socio-economic influencers, mobile device trust, and youth have been identified as enabling factors that positively influence FinTech adoption (Slazus & Bick, 2022). Additionally, conducive environments have been found to be crucial in influencing the adoption of FinTech among bank customers (Lal*, 2020). Moreover, the growth of innovative banking business models and FinTech globally presents additional risk factors for conventional banking systems, emphasizing the importance of understanding and managing these risks (Sidaoui et al., 2022). It is essential for FinTech firms and commercial banks to comprehend the predictors that influence consumers' decisions to adopt FinTech services to enhance loyalty towards these services (Peong et al., 2021). Factors influencing the adoption of FinTech financial services need to be evaluated to develop strategies that keep banks competitive and relevant in the evolving financial landscape (Iluba & Phiri, 2021).

Furthermore, studies have shown that factors such as knowledge, safety perceptions, performance expectations, social influence, facilitation conditions, and price values significantly affect FinTech adoption by small businesses (Najib et al., 2021). Customer trust, data security, value added, user design interface, and FinTech promotion have been identified as key factors influencing the intention to adopt FinTech (Stewart & Jürjens, 2018). Government support has also been highlighted as a crucial factor in the adoption of FinTech. In conclusion, understanding the various factors influencing the adoption of FinTech by conventional banks is essential for both the banks and the FinTech firms to navigate the evolving financial services landscape successfully. By comprehensively analyzing these factors, banks can make informed

decisions regarding the adoption of FinTech to enhance their services, remain competitive, and manage associated risks effectively.

Extensive research on collaboration between Fintech and conventional banks reveals important insights: research in Indonesia shows that although Fintech integration does not inherently change bank profitability, strategic integration is critical. Apart from that, the development of Fintech lending also influences BPR performance, but also fosters the potential for collaboration and mutual benefit. Legal protection, especially in Indonesian regulations governing peer-to-peer lending, emphasizes the need to improve banking performance, encourage broader collaboration, and increase financial inclusivity. Overall, these findings underscore the potential for mutually beneficial partnerships between Fintech and conventional banks, driving performance, efficiency and financial inclusion, depending on effective integration strategies and regulatory frameworks.

The research has great significance because it describes the dynamics of collaboration between Fintech companies and conventional banks, a phenomenon that is increasingly relevant in the era of digital transformation in the financial sector. In the context of the topic "Fintech and the Future of Banking: Collaboration and Innovation for Better Financial Services," the research is important because it illustrates the impact and potential of collaboration between Fintech and traditional banks. Currently, there is a fundamental change in the way financial services are provided and consumed, with Fintech becoming a key agent of this change. Collaboration between Fintech and conventional banks shows an important role in increasing efficiency, performance and financial inclusion. However, this phenomenon also creates new challenges, such as the integration of Fintech technology into existing banking systems and the establishment of an appropriate regulatory framework. Therefore, this research provides valuable insights in understanding how cooperation between Fintech and conventional banks can be directed to create better innovation in financial services in the future.

Research Methods

The research method used to explore and analyze the theme "Collaboration between Fintech Companies and Traditional Banks" is through a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach. This approach makes it possible to identify, evaluate, and synthesize evidence from previous studies relevant to the research topic. The first step in SLR is to formulate a clear and specific research question. In this case, a possible research question is "What are the factors influencing the adoption of fintech by traditional banks and how can banks overcome the challenges and exploit the associated opportunities?" Once the research question is determined, the next step is to determine the inclusion and exclusion criteria for study selection. Inclusion criteria may include studies that are published in peer-reviewed journals, have relevance to fintech adoption by traditional banks, and have sufficient data to evaluate.

Then, a literature search was carried out using relevant databases such as PubMed, Scopus, or Google Scholar using a combination of keywords appropriate to the research topic, for example "fintech adoption", "traditional banks", "collaboration", "challenges", "opportunities", etc. After conducting the search, studies that met the inclusion criteria were systematically evaluated by reading the abstract and, if relevant, the full article. Data from selected studies was extracted, including information on factors influencing fintech adoption by traditional banks, challenges faced, available opportunities and strategies to overcome

them. Next, the extracted data is thoroughly analyzed to identify the main findings, patterns, and conclusions that emerge from the selected studies. Conclusions from relevant research were used to construct a narrative related to the theme of collaboration between fintech companies and traditional banks, as well as the factors influencing adoption, challenges and related opportunities.

Finally, the results of the analysis are organized into a systematic report that presents the main findings, discusses the implications of the research results, and provides recommendations for future research and industry practice.

Literature Review

Fundamental Concepts of Fintech and Conventional Banks

1. Definition and Characteristics of Fintech

Fintech, which stands for financial technology, is a rapidly evolving sector that combines finance and technology to enhance financial activities (Jourdan et al., 2023). It encompasses various innovations such as cryptocurrencies, Internet banking, mobile payments, crowdfunding, peer-to-peer lending, Robo-Advisory, online identification, and more (Jourdan et al., 2023). Fintech involves the application of innovative and disruptive technology to provide financial services (Martín et al., 2020). It is an interdisciplinary field that integrates Finance, Technology Management, and Innovation Management (Leong, 2018). The term "Fintech" serves as an umbrella term for any technological innovation that supports or provides financial services, potentially leading to changes in business models and processes.

Fintech is not solely about technology but also about transforming the financial industry through the application of technology to enhance financial activities (Turcan & Deák, 2021). It represents a new financial industry that utilizes technology to improve financial services (Hudaefi et al., 2023). Fintech companies typically share characteristics with startups, emphasizing innovation, strong ties to technology, and external finance (Pham et al., 2022). Additionally, Fintech platforms have specific characteristics that set them apart from traditional financial institutions, necessitating unique strategic approaches (Ng et al., 2022). In essence, Fintech is revolutionizing the financial services industry by leveraging technology to create innovative solutions, enhance efficiency, and improve customer experiences. It signifies a fusion of finance and technology that is reshaping traditional financial practices and paving the way for a more technologically advanced and customer-centric financial ecosystem.

2. Role and Function of Conventional Banks in the Financial System

Conventional banks play a crucial role in the financial system by providing a wide range of financial services to individuals, businesses, and governments. These banks operate based on traditional banking principles, including accepting deposits, providing loans, and facilitating payment services. The recent global financial crisis highlighted the importance of understanding the soundness and stability of both conventional and IC banks (Bourkhis & Nabi, 2013). While conventional banks faced challenges during the crisis, IC banks also came under scrutiny regarding their role in strengthening financial stability (Rashid et al., 2017). Conventional banks have been known to adapt their business models in response to financial crises, making changes to their behavior to enhance stability (Martínez et al., 2020). In contrast, IC banks operate under different principles, such as avoiding interest-based transactions, which can impact their financial performance and stability (Islam, 2012). Research

has shown that Islamic banks may not necessarily rely on income diversification for stability, unlike conventional banks (Rahim & Zakaria, 2013).

Studies have also explored the resilience of Islamic banks compared to conventional banks during financial shocks. Some research suggests that Islamic banks may be more resilient to financial crises, as seen in the Malaysian experience during the 1997 Asian financial crisis and the 2007 financial crisis Kassim & Majid (2010). Additionally, there is evidence of a positive relationship between the financial stability of conventional banks and Islamic banks, indicating interdependencies between the two banking systems (Al-Wesabi & Yusof, 2019). In summary, conventional banks play a fundamental role in the financial system by providing essential financial services. Understanding the dynamics between conventional and Islamic banks, their responses to financial crises, and their impact on financial stability is crucial for ensuring a resilient and stable financial system.

3. Differences and Similarities between Fintech and Conventional Banks

Fintech and conventional banks exhibit both differences and similarities in various aspects. Islamic Fintech and conventional Fintech differ in terms of Sharia compliance, transparency, and mutual benefit (Mansur et al., 2022). While Islamic banks may be slower in adopting Fintech compared to conventional banks (Bouheni et al., 2023), the impact of Fintech on customer retention and profitability is notable in both types of banks (Baber, 2019). Fintechs provide similar services to banks but with enhanced efficiency and convenience due to technological advancements (Baber, 2019).

On the other hand, the rate of Fintech adoption is slower in Islamic banking compared to conventional banking (Bouheni et al., 2023). Islamic banks are observed to be slower in responding to the impact of Fintech (Yudaruddin, 2022). However, the collaboration between Fintech startups and conventional banks is accelerating, indicating a trend towards partnership (Dawood et al., 2022). Additionally, the growth of innovative banking business models and Fintech globally poses new risk factors for conventional banks (Sidaoui et al., 2022). The impact of Fintech on bank stability may vary between conventional banks and Fintech firms, motivating further examination of this relationship (Safiullah & Paramati, 2022). Fintech credit is seen as a complement for small commercial banks (Kando & Trinugroho, 2022), and its expansion is more likely in economies where traditional banks do not fully meet the demand for banking products/services (Le et al., 2021). The emergence of Fintech companies presents a significant challenge to traditional commercial banks, leading to changes in the industry (Horváth et al., 2022).

In conclusion, while Fintech and conventional banks share similarities in terms of customer impact and profitability, differences exist in adoption rates, response times to technological changes, and risk factors. The evolving landscape of financial technology continues to shape the dynamics between Fintech and conventional banks, emphasizing the need for further research and collaboration in this domain.

Factors Influencing Fintech Adoption by Conventional Banks

Internal Factors within Conventional Banks

1. Organizational Culture and Management Attitudes

Organizational culture and management attitudes are crucial factors influencing the adoption of financial technology (Fintech) by conventional banks. Research has shown that organizational culture, management attitudes, and leadership competencies significantly

impact banks' willingness to adopt Fintech innovations (Aarons & Sawitzky, 2006; Kulvinskienė & Šeimienė, 2009). Supportive and positive managerial attitudes, along with a conducive organizational culture, have been identified as effective factors facilitating the adoption of Fintech services (Aydinay, 2021). Internal factors such as organizational culture, structure, and senior management's attitude have been found to moderate the relationship between competitive intelligence practices and firm performance (Waithaka, 2016).

Moreover, the impact of Fintech adoption on conventional bank performance has been studied, revealing that certain Fintech services may have a negative influence on banks' financial performance (Almulla & Aljughaiman, 2021). However, a study in Indonesia investigated the relationship between Fintech adoption and bank efficiency to determine the impact on conventional banks' efficiency (Maryunita & Nugroho, 2022). It has been suggested that organizational culture and climate are critical factors influencing attitudes towards innovation adoption, including Fintech, within organizations (Aarons & Sawitzky, 2006).

In conclusion, the adoption of Fintech by conventional banks is influenced by various factors, with organizational culture, management attitudes, and leadership playing significant roles in shaping banks' willingness to embrace Fintech innovations. Understanding and addressing these factors are essential for banks aiming to remain competitive and relevant in the rapidly evolving financial technology landscape.

2. Technological Sustainability and IT Infrastructure

Factors influencing the adoption of financial technology (Fintech) by conventional banks are crucial for understanding the dynamics of the banking industry. One key aspect that significantly impacts Fintech adoption is technological sustainability and IT infrastructure. Research by Stewart & Jürjens (2018) emphasizes the importance of factors such as customer trust, data security, value added, user design interface, and Fintech promotion in influencing the expectations of users and organizations when adopting Fintech. Additionally, the study by Kim et al. (2020) highlights the association between IT infrastructure and IT assimilation for enhancing the sustainability of Information Security Management (ISM), underlining the significance of IT infrastructure as a sustainable technology asset.

Moreover, the study by Lal Lal* (2020) points out that a conducive environment is a significant enabling factor for the adoption of Fintech among bank customers. This aligns with the findings of (Najib et al., 2021), which identified knowledge, safety perceptions, performance expectations, social influence, facilitation conditions, and price values as factors influencing Fintech adoption by small businesses. These factors collectively contribute to shaping the landscape of Fintech adoption by conventional banks.

In conclusion, the adoption of Fintech by conventional banks is influenced by various factors, with technological sustainability and robust IT infrastructure playing a pivotal role. Understanding these factors is essential for banks to navigate the evolving financial landscape and leverage Fintech innovations effectively.

3. Financial Capability and Human Resources

Factors influencing the adoption of financial technology (Fintech) by conventional banks are multifaceted and encompass various aspects such as financial capability and human resources. Research by Lal Lal* (2020) highlights that conducive factors play a significant role in driving Fintech adoption among bank customers. Stewart & Jürjens (2018) emphasize the importance of customer trust, data security, value added, user design interface, and Fintech promotion in influencing the intention to adopt Fintech. Moreover, the study by Iluba & Phiri

(2021) focuses on evaluating factors influencing the adoption of FinTech financial services to help banks remain competitive.

Najib et al. (2021) found that knowledge, safety perceptions, performance expectations, social influence, facilitation conditions, and price values impact Fintech adoption by small food business owners. On the other hand, Harmadi et al. (2022) discovered that P2P lending and Fintech adoption do not affect the performance or risk of conventional banks. Dwivedi et al. (2021) discuss how FinTech adoption impacts competitiveness and performance in the banking industry.

Furthermore, Alexandri et al. (2023) point out that cybersecurity risks, regulatory issues, and lack of customer trust are challenges facing Fintech adoption in the banking sector. A study by Akinwale & Kyari (2020) highlights that users' attitudes towards Fintech services significantly influence adoption. Additionally, Maryunita & Nugroho (2022) aim to determine the impact of Fintech adoption on the efficiency of conventional banks.

In conclusion, the adoption of Fintech by conventional banks is influenced by a combination of factors such as customer trust, regulatory challenges, performance expectations, and human resource capabilities. Understanding these factors is crucial for banks to navigate the evolving landscape of financial technology and remain competitive in the industry.

External Factors Influencing Operational Environment

1. Government Regulations and Policies

Factors influencing the adoption of financial technology (Fintech) by conventional banks are multifaceted and include various elements such as government regulations and policies. Government regulations play a crucial role in shaping the environment within which banks operate and adopt Fintech solutions. Studies have shown that regulatory frameworks can significantly impact the adoption of Fintech by banks (Stewart & Jürjens, 2018). These regulations encompass aspects such as data security, consumer trust, and promotion of Fintech innovations (Stewart & Jürjens, 2018). Additionally, government policies related to financial stability, sovereign debt, and stakeholder engagement can also influence the adoption of Fintech by conventional banks (Izumi, 2020; Wang et al., 2022). Moreover, the regulatory landscape can affect the performance and risk profiles of conventional banks in the context of Fintech adoption (Harmadi et al., 2022). The regulatory environment can either facilitate or hinder the integration of Fintech solutions within traditional banking systems. For instance, in Indonesia, the impact of Fintech adoption on the efficiency of conventional banks has been studied to understand the regulatory implications (Maryunita & Nugroho, 2022). Furthermore, the attitude of end-users towards Fintech services is significantly influenced by government regulations and policies, which in turn affect the adoption of these services (Akinwale & Kyari, 2020).

In conclusion, government regulations and policies are pivotal in shaping the landscape for Fintech adoption by conventional banks. These regulations not only impact the operational aspects of banks but also influence customer attitudes, risk perceptions, and overall performance. Understanding and navigating the regulatory environment is essential for banks looking to effectively integrate Fintech solutions into their operations.

2. Market Changes and Consumer Behavior

Factors influencing the adoption of FinTech by conventional banks are multifaceted and include various aspects such as consumer behavior, market changes, and technological advancements. Studies have shown that factors like utility, socio-economic influencers, mobile device trust, and youth play a significant role in positively influencing FinTech adoption (Slazus & Bick, 2022). Additionally, conducive environments have been identified as crucial enabling factors for the adoption of FinTech among bank customers (Lal*, 2020). Moreover, research has highlighted that knowledge, safety perceptions, performance expectations, social influence, facilitation conditions, and price values are key determinants affecting FinTech adoption by small businesses in the food industry (Najib et al., 2021). On the other hand, the impact of FinTech on the performance and risk of conventional banks, particularly in the context of P2P lending and FinTech adoption, has been explored, with results indicating no significant effects on bank performance or risk (Harmadi et al., 2022).

Furthermore, factors such as customer trust, data security, value-added services, user interface design, and FinTech promotion have been empirically analyzed to understand their influence on FinTech adoption (Stewart & Jürjens, 2018). It has been observed that government support positively influences consumer attitudes towards adopting FinTech. Additionally, the rate of adoption of FinTech is slower in Islamic banking compared to conventional banking (Bouheni et al., 2023). In conclusion, the adoption of FinTech by conventional banks is influenced by a combination of consumer behavior, market dynamics, regulatory support, and technological factors. Understanding these influences is crucial for banks to navigate the evolving financial landscape and remain competitive in the digital era.

3. Industry Competition and Strategic Partnerships

Factors influencing the adoption of FinTech by conventional banks are multifaceted and include various elements such as customer trust, data security, value added, user design interface, and FinTech promotion (Stewart & Jürjens, 2018). Studies have shown that factors like knowledge, safety perceptions, performance expectations, social influence, facilitation conditions, and price values play a significant role in the adoption of FinTech by small businesses (Najib et al., 2021). Additionally, the impact of FinTech on traditional banking in Africa has been studied to evaluate the adoption of FinTech financial services and develop strategies for banks to remain competitive (Iluba & Phiri, 2021). Moreover, user attitudes towards FinTech services have been found to be crucial in influencing the adoption of these services, indicating a positive significant influence on adoption (Akinwale & Kyari, 2020). Strategic partnerships have been highlighted as a key factor in enhancing the competitiveness of commercial banks, especially in improving services for micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs) and private companies (Maryunita & Nugroho, 2022). Furthermore, the potential impact of FinTech on both conventional and Islamic finance industries has been recognized, indicating a significant influence on the financial sector (Ali et al., 2019).

In the context of industry competition and strategic partnerships, it is essential to consider the role of strategic alliances in enhancing competitive advantage within supply chains (Oyombe et al., 2023). Strategic partnerships have been shown to create common goals, satisfy multiple interests, and increase profitability within supply chains, emphasizing the importance of collaboration in achieving competitive advantages (Jiao et al., 2012). Additionally, the impact of strategic partnerships on knowledge transfer and cost-cutting initiatives has been noted, highlighting the role of partnerships in driving efficiency and talent retention (Wood et al.,

2016). In conclusion, the adoption of FinTech by conventional banks is influenced by a combination of factors such as customer trust, data security, user attitudes, and strategic partnerships. Understanding these factors and leveraging strategic partnerships can enhance the competitiveness and effectiveness of conventional banks in the evolving financial landscape.

Previous Research on Fintech Adoption by Conventional Banks

Case Study Reviews of Successful Bank Adoption of Fintech

In the realm of financial technology (FinTech) adoption by banks, several studies shed light on the impact and implications of integrating FinTech services into traditional banking operations. Research by Dwivedi et al. (2021) indicates that the adoption of FinTech significantly influences the competitiveness and performance of the banking industry, as evidenced in the UAE. This suggests that embracing FinTech can lead to enhanced operational efficiency and overall performance within the banking sector. Moreover, the study by Maryunita & Nugroho (2022) focuses on the relationship between FinTech innovation and bank efficiency in Indonesia, emphasizing the importance of examining how the adoption of FinTech services affects a bank's efficiency. Furthermore, the study by Elia et al. (2022) provides a comprehensive review of FinTech in the banking industry, aiming to update knowledge on technological innovation within the sector, identify trends, and outline future research directions. This review of 377 articles indexed on Scopus from 2014 to 2021 offers valuable insights into the evolution of FinTech within banking.

Additionally, the research by Teigland et al. (2018) delves into case studies on the rise and development of FinTech, exploring aspects such as online banking adoption, classification of FinTech categories, process innovation in traditional banking, and the Venture Capital landscape. Understanding these case studies can provide valuable lessons for banks looking to adopt and integrate FinTech solutions successfully. In conclusion, the synthesis of these studies underscores the significance of FinTech adoption for banks in enhancing efficiency, competitiveness, and overall performance. By leveraging insights from these research works, banks can navigate the complexities of FinTech integration, capitalize on innovation, and drive sustainable growth in the ever-evolving financial landscape.

Analysis of Failures or Constraints in the Fintech Adoption Process by Banks

In the process of adopting Fintech services, banks face various challenges and constraints that can hinder the smooth integration of these technologies into their operations. One of the significant issues identified in the literature is the impact of cybersecurity risks, regulatory challenges, and the lack of customer trust on Fintech adoption within the banking industry (Alexandri et al., 2023). These factors can create barriers for banks looking to implement Fintech solutions and can affect the overall adoption rate. Moreover, the study by Zhong-Qing et al. highlights the importance of understanding the adoption intention of Fintech services by bank users (Zhong-qing et al., 2019). It emphasizes how Fintech services can influence the interaction and behavior between consumers and banking institutions, shedding light on the complexities involved in the adoption process.

Additionally, the research emphasizes the critical role of data security and consumer trust in fostering FinTech innovation (Stewart & Jürjens, 2018). Without addressing these constraints effectively, banks may struggle to gather necessary data and enhance policies to

facilitate the adoption of Fintech solutions. Furthermore, the study delves into the intertwined relationship between digitalization, sustainable performance, and the adoption of FinTech behavior by banks (Sarfraz et al., 2022). Understanding how digital transformation and FinTech adoption mediate and moderate the sustainable performance of banks is crucial for comprehensively analyzing the impact of Fintech adoption. In conclusion, the challenges and constraints faced by banks in the adoption of Fintech services are multifaceted, ranging from cybersecurity risks and regulatory issues to the importance of customer trust and data security. Addressing these challenges effectively is essential for banks to successfully integrate Fintech solutions into their operations and leverage the benefits that these technologies offer.

Comparison of Fintech Adoption Strategies in Various Regional Contexts

Fintech adoption strategies vary across different regional contexts, influenced by factors such as regulatory environments, demographic characteristics, and regional socio-economic conditions. The success of these strategies hinges on factors like consumer trust, data security, and the intention to use FinTech services (Stewart & Jürjens, 2018). Additionally, the interconnected nature of global e-commerce legislation and fintech adoption highlights the importance of regulatory efforts in fostering fintech growth in international business (Cumming et al., 2023).

Moreover, the effectiveness of fintech adoption strategies can be enhanced by considering regional socio-economic contexts. For instance, in Belgium, the adoption of smart city policies differs between the Walloon and Brussels regions due to their distinct socio-economic environments, suggesting that a one-size-fits-all approach may not be suitable (Esposito et al., 2021). Similarly, the adoption of energy efficiency measures in renovation projects is influenced by regional contexts, emphasizing the need to tailor strategies to specific regional needs (Azizi et al., 2020).

Furthermore, integrating sustainability goals into regional smart specialization strategies can drive private investments and create shared value towards achieving Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) (Manasakis & Taliouris, 2022). This highlights the importance of aligning fintech adoption strategies with broader sustainability objectives to create long-term value.

In conclusion, a comparative evaluation of fintech adoption strategies in various regional contexts should consider regulatory frameworks, socio-economic conditions, and sustainability goals to develop tailored and effective approaches. By understanding the unique characteristics of each region and aligning fintech strategies with local needs and priorities, organizations can enhance the adoption and success of fintech solutions.

Challenges and Opportunities in Fintech Adoption by Conventional Banks

1. Regulatory Uncertainty and Compliance

Regulatory uncertainty and compliance pose significant challenges to the adoption of financial technology (Fintech) by conventional banks. Fintech companies often face regulatory barriers, information asymmetries, and constraints that hinder their operations (Imam et al., 2022). In the banking industry, challenges such as cybersecurity risks, regulatory issues, and lack of customer trust are major obstacles to Fintech adoption (Alexandri et al., 2023). The rise of Fintech-driven business models in banking also presents a challenge for financial regulators

(Huibers, 2021). Moreover, the adoption of Fintech solutions may lead to increased compliance risks, exacerbating information asymmetry (Ng et al., 2022).

Conventional banks are pressured by regulatory compliance requirements, while Islamic operations within these banks can help alleviate some of this pressure (Akhtar & Hussain, 2021). However, the adoption of Fintech solutions does not significantly impact the performance or risk of conventional banks (Harmadi et al., 2022). Islamic banks, when compared to conventional banks, face higher expectations in responding to digital finance opportunities and potential cyber threats in accelerating financial innovations with Fintech inclusion (Haridan et al., 2023). Additionally, Islamic banks must be aware of the challenges that arise when adopting Fintech solutions (Altwijry et al., 2021).

In conclusion, regulatory uncertainty and compliance issues are key challenges that conventional banks encounter when considering the adoption of Fintech solutions. These challenges include regulatory barriers, cybersecurity risks, and the need to navigate complex compliance requirements. Addressing these challenges effectively is crucial for conventional banks to successfully integrate Fintech innovations into their operations.

2. Resource and Skill Constraints

In the realm of financial technology (FinTech) adoption by conventional banks, one of the key challenges faced is the constraint of resources and skills. This constraint can significantly impact the ability of traditional banks to effectively integrate and leverage FinTech solutions within their operations. The study by Rabbani et al. (2020) highlights the opportunities and challenges that Islamic Financial institutions can learn from conventional FinTech organizations globally (Rabbani et al., 2020). Additionally, the research emphasizes the critical importance of data security and consumer trust in FinTech innovation, particularly in Germany, where concerns over security risks have necessitated a strategic approach by banks for successful FinTech adoption (Stewart & Jürjens, 2018).

Moreover, the study by Yudaruddin (2022) aims to examine the impact of FinTech startups on the performance of Islamic and conventional banks in Indonesia, shedding light on the influence of financial technology on banking operations (Yudaruddin, 2022). This research contributes to understanding how the adoption of FinTech can affect the efficiency and effectiveness of traditional banking institutions. Furthermore, the work by Almulla & Aljughaiman (2021) discusses the slow adoption of technical innovations by financial institutions despite the clear threat posed by FinTech firms, indicating a challenge in embracing new technologies within the banking sector (Almulla & Aljughaiman, 2021).

In conclusion, the challenges and opportunities in FinTech adoption by conventional banks are multifaceted, with resource and skill constraints standing out as significant hurdles. Addressing these constraints through strategic planning, investment in training, and robust security measures is crucial for traditional banks to navigate the evolving landscape of financial technology successfully.

3. Data Security and Privacy

Data security and privacy are crucial aspects that conventional banks must address when adopting fintech solutions. Improving interoperability and linkages between traditional banks and fintech players is essential to mitigate challenges such as frequent downtimes and service glitches (Ogunode & Akintoye, 2023). Fintech services encounter security and privacy challenges due to the IT applications they utilize, underscoring the necessity for secure fintech solutions (Mehrbani et al., 2020). Challenges faced by fintech business models encompass

security and privacy concerns, emphasizing the importance of tackling these issues (Dawood et al., 2022).

Research indicates that the increased digitalization and fintech adoption by conventional banks can enhance the industry but may also introduce challenges like security risks, particularly in unstable ecosystems such as during a pandemic (Rahman et al., 2022). While some studies suggest that fintech adoption does not directly impact the performance or risk of conventional banks (Harmadi et al., 2022), others propose that traditional banks encounter both challenges and opportunities due to fintech advancements (Li, 2022).

Factors influencing the intention to adopt fintech services include data security and privacy, trust, and high-technology tools, highlighting the significance of addressing security concerns to encourage fintech adoption (Le, 2021). Privacy awareness, financial risk, and legal risk factors can impact user trust in fintech services, stressing the importance of addressing security and privacy issues (Suzianti et al., 2021). In the realm of mobile banking, security challenges and privacy issues significantly influence customers' behavioral intentions, underscoring the need for secure mobile banking solutions (Singh & Srivastava, 2018).

Ensuring data security and privacy in fintech adoption by conventional banks is vital for establishing trust with customers and mitigating risks associated with digital financial services. Addressing these challenges will be crucial for the successful integration of fintech solutions into traditional banking operations.

Opportunities Offered by Fintech for Conventional Banks

1. Operational Efficiency Enhancement

Fintech offers significant opportunities for conventional banks to enhance their operational efficiency. A study by Maryunita & Nugroho (2022) conducted in Indonesia between 2013 and 2019 found that fintech adoption can positively influence the efficiency of traditional banking operations. Additionally, Rhanoui (2022) highlighted that fintech provides various financial services that were once exclusive to banks, indicating potential benefits for both banks and customers.

Furthermore, Kurniawati (2023) discussed how fintech can either disrupt traditional banking operations or create new opportunities for banks to expand their services. This suggests that by utilizing fintech, conventional banks can streamline processes and offer innovative services to customers. Moreover, Gulati & Kumar (2017) emphasized the importance of enhancing overall efficiency in terms of resource utilization and income-generating abilities in the banking industry, suggesting that fintech adoption can play a crucial role in achieving this objective.

In conclusion, integrating fintech solutions can lead to operational efficiency improvements for conventional banks by streamlining processes, expanding service offerings, and enhancing resource utilization. Embracing fintech can enable traditional banks to meet the changing needs of customers in a dynamic financial landscape.

2. Product and Service Diversification

Product and service diversification is a key area where fintech offers opportunities for conventional banks. Fintech innovations have led to a significant transformation in the financial sector, enabling conventional banks to expand their range of products and services through online platforms (Akinwale & Kyari, 2020). This shift allows banks to offer services that were traditionally exclusive to them, creating new avenues for revenue generation and customer

engagement (Rhanoui, 2022). Collaborations between fintech companies and banks have been shown to enhance the effectiveness of services offered by banks, particularly benefiting micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) and private companies (Maryunita & Nugroho, 2022).

Moreover, the adoption of fintech services can lead to increased competitiveness for commercial banks, as fintech plays a crucial role in improving service efficiency and reaching a broader customer base (Maryunita & Nugroho, 2022). By diversifying their offerings to include funding, payment solutions, e-trading, and cryptocurrencies, banks can cater to evolving customer needs and preferences, thereby enhancing customer satisfaction and trust (Suryono et al., 2020).

In conclusion, fintech presents conventional banks with the opportunity to diversify their product and service portfolios, enabling them to stay relevant in a rapidly evolving financial landscape. By leveraging fintech innovations, banks can enhance customer experiences, improve operational efficiency, and explore new revenue streams through a wider range of financial services.

3. Increased Consumer Engagement and Innovation

Fintech offers significant opportunities for conventional banks, particularly in terms of increased consumer engagement and innovation. Fintech companies are known for their agility and speed in implementing innovative technology solutions and customer-centric approaches, which traditional banks can leverage to enhance their services (Drasch et al., 2018). By collaborating with fintech firms, conventional banks can respond to digital finance opportunities, enhance financial innovations, and address potential cyber threats, ultimately improving consumer engagement and driving innovation in the banking sector (Haridan et al., 2023).

Furthermore, research suggests that the more fintech facilities traditional banks offer, the greater their efficiency becomes. This highlights the importance for banks to strengthen their innovation capabilities and infrastructure to effectively integrate fintech solutions (Maryunita & Nugroho, 2022). Additionally, fintech innovations have the potential to transform the financial sector by redefining how financial products and services are created and delivered, offering new growth opportunities for entrepreneurs and promoting inclusive growth (Joia & Cordeiro, 2021).

Moreover, while some studies indicate that peer-to-peer lending and fintech adoption may not directly impact the performance or risk of traditional banks (Harmadi et al., 2022), other research highlights the positive effects of fintech on bank performance and risk management (Sidaoui et al., 2022). By embracing fintech solutions, conventional banks can streamline operations, reduce costs, increase transparency, and better align with consumer needs in the digital era (Payne et al., 2021).

In conclusion, the integration of fintech into conventional banking operations offers a myriad of opportunities, including improved consumer engagement, enhanced innovation, increased efficiency, and better risk management. By embracing fintech solutions and fostering collaborations with fintech firms, traditional banks can position themselves at the forefront of digital transformation in the financial services industry.

Conclusion

The collaboration between fintech companies and traditional banks is crucial for the financial industry's evolution. Factors influencing fintech adoption by conventional banks

include organizational culture, management attitudes, and leadership. Understanding these factors is essential for banks to stay competitive. Challenges in adoption include regulatory uncertainty, resource constraints, and data security issues, while opportunities include operational efficiency enhancement and increased consumer engagement. Factors influencing adoption also encompass technological sustainability, IT infrastructure, financial capability, human resources, government regulations, market changes, consumer behavior, industry competition, and strategic partnerships. Overcoming challenges like cybersecurity risks and regulatory hurdles, and leveraging opportunities through strategic partnerships and understanding consumer behavior, can drive successful fintech adoption for traditional banks.

References

- Aarons, G. and Sawitzky, A. (2006). Organizational climate partially mediates the effect of culture on work attitudes and staff turnover in mental health services. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 33(3), 289-301. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-006-0039-1>
- Akhtar, S. and Hussain, R. (2021). Contributing role of regulatory compliance and islamic operations in bank risk: evidence from pakistan. *Nankai Business Review International*, 12(4), 618-635. <https://doi.org/10.1108/nbri-07-2020-0037>
- Akinwale, Y. and Kyari, A. (2020). Factors influencing attitudes and intention to adopt financial technology services among the end-users in lagos state, nigeria. *African Journal of Science Technology Innovation and Development*, 14(1), 272-279. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20421338.2020.1835177>
- Alexandri, M., Usman, I., Narimawati, U., & Taryana, A. (2023). Unraveling the fintech landscape: a systematic mapping study on the impact of financial technology innovation on investment decision-making in asean banking. *Khazanah Sosial*, 5(1), 113-124. <https://doi.org/10.15575/ks.v5i1.24555>
- Ali, H., Abdullah, R., & Zaini, M. (2019). Fintech and its potential impact on islamic banking and finance industry: a case study of brunei darussalam and malaysia. *International Journal of Islamic Economics and Finance (Ijief)*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.18196/ijief.2116>
- Almulla, D. and Aljughaiman, A. (2021). Does financial technology matter? evidence from an alternative banking system. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2021.1934978>
- Alrawi, K. and Hamdan, Y. (2011). Organizational culture and the creation of a dynamic environment for knowledge sharing. *American Journal of Social and Management Sciences*, 2(3), 258-264. <https://doi.org/10.5251/ajsms.2011.2.3.258.264>
- Altwijry, O., Mohammed, M., Hassan, M., & Selim, M. (2021). Developing a shari'ah based fintech money creation free [sfmcf] model for islamic banking. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 15(4), 739-758. <https://doi.org/10.1108/imefm-05-2021-0189>
- Al-Wesabi, H. and Yusof, R. (2019). Does financial instability of conventional banks affect financial stability of islamic banks in gcc countries?. *International Journal of Financial Research*, 11(1), 361. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v11n1p361>
- Aydinay, M. (2021). The evaluation of employee silence from the perspective of foreign employees working for international companies in poland. *Erciyes Akademi*, 35(3), 956-977. <https://doi.org/10.48070/erciyesakademi.935843>
- Azizi, S., Nair, G., & Olofsson, T. (2020). Adoption of energy efficiency measures in renovation of single-family houses: a comparative approach. *Energies*, 13(22), 6042. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13226042>
- Baber, H. (2019). Financial inclusion and fintech. *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets*, 12(1), 24-42. <https://doi.org/10.1108/qrfm-12-2018-0131>

- Bouheni, F., Tewari, M., Sidaoui, M., & Hasnaoui, A. (2023). An econometric understanding of fintech and operating performance. *Review of Accounting and Finance*, 22(3), 329-352. <https://doi.org/10.1108/raf-10-2022-0290>
- Bourkhis, K. and Nabi, M. (2013). Islamic and conventional banks' soundness during the 2007–2008 financial crisis. *Review of Financial Economics*, 22(2), 68-77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rfe.2013.01.001>
- Cumming, D., Johan, S., & Reardon, R. (2023). Global fintech trends and their impact on international business: a review. *Multinational Business Review*, 31(3), 413-436. <https://doi.org/10.1108/mbr-05-2023-0077>
- Dawood, H., Zadjali, F., Rawahi, M., Karim, S., & Mohamed, H. (2022). Business trends & challenges in islamic fintech: a systematic literature review. *F1000research*, 11, 329. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.109400.1>
- Drasch, B., Schweizer, A., & Urbach, N. (2018). Integrating the ‘troublemakers’: a taxonomy for cooperation between banks and fintechs. *Journal of Economics and Business*, 100, 26-42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconbus.2018.04.002>
- Durairatnam, S., Chong, S., & Jusoh, M. (2019). People-related tqm practices, organisational culture, organisational justice and employee work-related attitudes for quality performance: a research agenda. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research*, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.34257/gjmbgrvol19is4pg1>
- Dwivedi, P., Alabdooli, J., & Dwivedi, R. (2021). Role of fintech adoption for competitiveness and performance of the bank: a study of banking industry in uae. *International Journal of Global Business and Competitiveness*, 16(2), 130-138. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42943-021-00033-9>
- Elia, G., Stefanelli, V., & Ferilli, G. (2022). Investigating the role of fintech in the banking industry: what do we know?. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 26(5), 1365-1393. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ejim-12-2021-0608>
- Esposito, G., Clement, J., Mora, L., & Crutzen, N. (2021). One size does not fit all: framing smart city policy narratives within regional socio-economic contexts in brussels and wallonia. *Cities*, 118, 103329. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2021.103329>
- Faes, A., Gunnella, V., & Giorgino, M. (2022). Collaborate or perish: a conceptual framework for banks and fintechs partnerships. *European Conference on Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 17(1), 214-222. <https://doi.org/10.34190/ecie.17.1.593>
- Fintech and conventional banks exhibit both differences and similarities in various aspects. Islamic Fintech and conventional Fintech differ in terms of Sharia compliance, transparency, and mutual benefit (Mansur et al., 2022). While Islamic banks may be slower in adopting Fintech compared to conventional banks (Bouheni et al., 2023), the impact of Fintech on customer retention and profitability is notable in both types of banks (Baber, 2019). Fintechs provide similar services to banks but with enhanced efficiency and convenience due to technological advancements (Baber, 2019).
- Glisson, C. and James, L. (2002). The cross-level effects of culture and climate in human service teams. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 23(6), 767-794. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.162>
- Gulati, R. and Kumar, S. (2017). Analysing banks’ intermediation and operating efficiencies using the two-stage network dea model. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 66(4), 500-516. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijppm-03-2016-0055>
- Haridan, N., Hassan, A., Shah, S., & Mustafa, H. (2023). Financial innovation in islamic banks: evidence on the interaction between shariah board and fintech. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 14(6), 911-930. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jiabr-11-2022-0305>
- Hermawati, N., & Trinugroho, I. (2023). FINTECH LENDING DEVELOPMENT, RURAL BANK PERFORMANCE, AND COLLABORATION POTENTIAL: RURAL BANKS PERSPECTIVE. *MANAJEMEN DEWANTARA*.

- Hornuf, L., Klus, M., Lohwasser, T., & Schwienbacher, A. (2020). How do banks interact with fintech startups?. *Small Business Economics*, 57(3), 1505-1526. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-020-00359-3>
- Horváth, D., Kerényi, Á., & Szabó, R. (2022). Intended benefits and challenges of cooperation between fintechs and commercial banks. *Acta Oeconomica*, 72(3), 289-308. <https://doi.org/10.1556/032.2022.00023>
- Hudaefi, F., Hassan, M., & Abduh, M. (2023). Exploring the development of islamic fintech ecosystem in indonesia: a text analytics. *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets*, 15(3), 514-533. <https://doi.org/10.1108/qrfm-04-2022-0058>
- Huibers, F. (2021). Regulatory response to the rise of fintech credit in the netherlands. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 14(8), 368. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm14080368>
- Iluba, E. and Phiri, J. (2021). The fintech evolution and its effect on traditional banking in africa—a case of zambia. *Open Journal of Business and Management*, 09(02), 838-850. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojbm.2021.92043>
- Imam, T., McInnes, A., Colombage, S., & Grose, R. (2022). Opportunities and barriers for fintech in saarc and asean countries. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 15(2), 77. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm15020077>
- Islam, K. (2012). Performance evaluation of the banking industry in bangladesh: a comparative analysis. *Iosr Journal of Business and Management*, 3(4), 9-19. <https://doi.org/10.9790/487x-0340919>
- Izumi, R. (2020). Financial stability with sovereign debt. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 51, 100795. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2020.100795>
- Jiao, W., Fu, Z., Mu, W., McLaughlin, N., & Xu, M. (2012). Influence of supply chain model on quality and safety control of table grape and performance of small - scale vinegrowers in china. *British Food Journal*, 114(7), 978-996. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00070701211241572>
- Joia, L. and Cordeiro, J. (2021). Unlocking the potential of fintechs for financial inclusion: a delphi-based approach. *Sustainability*, 13(21), 11675. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132111675>
- Jourdan, Z., Corley, J., Valentine, R., & Tran, A. (2023). Fintech: a content analysis of the finance and information systems literature. *Electronic Markets*, 33(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-023-00624-9>
- Junita, A. (2017). Organizational learning culture, consumer satisfaction and employee's attitude: causality analysis. *Jurnal Dinamika Manajemen*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.15294/jdm.v8i1.10412>
- Kando, S. and Trinugroho, I. (2022). The impact of financial technology payment on bank fee-based income. *Signifikan Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi*, 11(2), 425-236. <https://doi.org/10.15408/sjie.v11i2.26809>
- Kassim, S. and Majid, M. (2010). Impact of financial shocks on islamic banks. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 3(4), 291-305. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17538391011093243>
- Kharrat, H., Trichilli, Y., & Abbes, B. (2023). Relationship between FinTech index and bank's performance: a comparative study between Islamic and conventional banks in the MENA region. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*.
- Kim, S., Kim, B., & Seo, M. (2020). Impacts of sustainable information technology capabilities on information security assimilation: the moderating effects of policy—technology balance. *Sustainability*, 12(15), 6139. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12156139>
- Kulvinskienė, V. and Šeimienė, E. (2009). Factors of organizational culture change. *Ekonomika*, 87, 27-43. <https://doi.org/10.15388/ekon.2009.0.1047>
- Kurniawati, R. (2023). The influence of fintech and banks collaboration towards banks performance: indonesian banks listed. *Journal of Business Management and Social Studies*, 2(4), 166-174. <https://doi.org/10.53748/jbms.v2i4.50>

- Lal*, D. (2020). Factors that influence the customer adoption of fintech in hyderabad, india. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 8(5), 2417-2423. <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.d9114.018520>
- Le, M. (2021). Examining factors that boost intention and loyalty to use fintech post-covid-19 lockdown as a new normal behavior. *Heliyon*, 7(8), e07821. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07821>
- Le, T., Ho, T., Nguyen, D., & Ngo, T. (2021). Fintech credit and bank efficiency: international evidence. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 9(3), 44. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs9030044>
- Leong, K. (2018). Fintech (financial technology): what is it and how to use technologies to create business value in fintech way?. *International Journal of Innovation Management and Technology*, 74-78. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijimt.2018.9.2.791>
- Li, P. (2022). Fintech application and bank risk-taking: evidence from chinese city commercial banks., 850-859. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-052-7_97
- Manasakis, C. and Taliouris, E. (2022). Integrating the sustainable development goals into a region's smart specialisation strategy through corporate responsibility. *European Journal of Sustainable Development*, 11(2), 174. <https://doi.org/10.14207/ejsd.2022.v11n2p174>
- Mansur, M., Samsuri, A., Nurhayati, N., & Khoyyilah, K. (2022). A slanted view on the future of islamic fintech and conventional fintech in south and southeast asian countries. *El-Barka Journal of Islamic Economics and Business*, 5(2), 207-234. <https://doi.org/10.21154/elbarka.v5i2.5147>
- Marlina, R. (2020). ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECT OF FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY ON BANKING PROFITABILITY WHICH IS LISTED ON INDONESIA STOCK EXCHANGE.
- Martín, J., Orden-Cruz, C., & Zergane, S. (2020). Islamic finance and halal tourism: an unexplored bridge for smart specialization. *Sustainability*, 12(14), 5736. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12145736>
- Martínez, M., Rambaud, S., & Oller, I. (2020). Sustainable and conventional banking in europe., 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-23514-1_1241-1
- Maryunita, L. and Nugroho, I. (2022). Fintech innovation and bank efficiency in indonesia. *Khazanah Sosial*, 4(4), 626-635. <https://doi.org/10.15575/ks.v4i4.20239>
- Mehrban, S., Khan, M., Nadeem, M., Hussain, M., Ahmed, M., Hakeem, O., ... & Hassan, M. (2020). Towards secure fintech: a survey, taxonomy, and open research challenges. *Ieee Access*, 8, 23391-23406. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2020.2970430>
- Najib, M., Ermawati, W., Fahma, F., Endri, E., & Suhartanto, D. (2021). Fintech in the small food business and its relation with open innovation. *Journal of Open Innovation Technology Market and Complexity*, 7(1), 88. <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc7010088>
- Ng, E., Tan, B., Sun, Y., & Tian, M. (2022). The strategic options of fintech platforms: an overview and research agenda. *Information Systems Journal*, 33(2), 192-231. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12388>
- Ogunode, O. and Akintoye, R. (2023). Financial technologies and financial inclusion in emerging economies: perspectives from nigeria. *Asian Journal of Economics Business and Accounting*, 38-54. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/2023/v23i1915>
- Okoli, T. and Tewari, D. (2020). An empirical assessment of fintechs heterogeneous transmission channels to financial development among african economies. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 8(1), 1829273. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2020.1829273>
- Oyombe, G., Awino, Z., Ogutu, M., & Njihia, J. (2023). How leagile strategy and strategic partnership affect competitive advantage of construction supply chains. *Journal of Business Strategy, Finance and Management*, 04(02), 184-201. <https://doi.org/10.12944/jbsfm.04.02.02>
- Ozili, P. (2020). Banking sector earnings management using loan loss provisions in the fintech era. *International Journal of Managerial Finance*, 18(1), 75-93. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijmf-07-2020-0369>

- Paramati, S. (2022). The impact of fintech firms on bank financial stability. *Electronic Commerce Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10660-022-09595-z>
- Payne, E., Dahl, A., & Peltier, J. (2021). Digital servitization value co-creation framework for ai services: a research agenda for digital transformation in financial service ecosystems. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, 15(2), 200-222. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jrim-12-2020-0252>
- Peong, K., Peong, K., & Tan, K. (2021). Behavioural intention of commercial banks' customers towards financial technology services. *Gatr Journal of Finance and Banking Review*, 5(4), 10-27. [https://doi.org/10.35609/jfbr.2021.5.4\(2\)](https://doi.org/10.35609/jfbr.2021.5.4(2))
- Pham, T., Tran, T., & NguYen, T. (2022). Fintech, strategic adaptation in shock of covid-19: a review of the empirical literature. *JOMEINO*, 33-46. <https://doi.org/10.31039/jomeino.2022.6.3.3>
- Pöbnecker, T., Baxendale, M., Braun, S., Schwarz, E., Holzer, M., Angerer, P., ... & Rothermund, E. (2022). Occupational physicians dealing with mental health: between employee and company interests: a qualitative study. *BMC Psychology*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-022-01012-2>
- Putri, P.M. (2022). Perlindungan Bingkai Fintech Di Indonesia Ditinjau Dari Perspektif Hukum Bisnis. *Jurnal Penegakan Hukum Indonesia*.
- Rabbani, M., Khan, S., & Thalassinis, E. (2020). Fintech, blockchain and islamic finance: an extensive literature review. *International Journal of Economics and Business Administration*, VIII(Issue 2), 65-86. <https://doi.org/10.35808/ijebe/444>
- Rahim, S. and Zakaria, R. (2013). Comparison on stability between islamic and conventional banks in malaysia. *Journal of Islamic Economics Banking and Finance*, 9(3), 131-149. <https://doi.org/10.12816/0001618>
- Rahman, A., Rahiman, H., Meero, A., & Amin, A. (2022). Fintech innovations and islamic banking performance: post pandemic challenges and opportunities.. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1272120/v1>
- Rashid, A., Yousaf, S., & Khaleequzzaman, M. (2017). Does islamic banking really strengthen financial stability? empirical evidence from pakistan. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 10(2), 130-148. <https://doi.org/10.1108/imefm-11-2015-0137>
- Rashid, Z., Sambasivan, M., & Rahman, A. (2004). The influence of organizational culture on attitudes toward organizational change. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 25(2), 161-179. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01437730410521831>
- Rhanoui, S. (2022). Banking goes digital: the main determinants of the clients' satisfaction and trust toward fintech-based services. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 12(5), 10-20. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.13358>
- Sajid, R., Ayub, H., Malik, B., & Ellahi, A. (2023). The role of fintech on bank risk-taking: mediating role of bank's operating efficiency. *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies*, 2023, 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/7059307>
- Sarfraz, M., Ye, Z., Banciu, D., DRAGAN, F., & Ivascu, L. (2022). Intertwining digitalization and sustainable performance via the mediating role of digital transformation and the moderating role of fintech behavior adoption. *Studies in Informatics and Control*, 31(4), 35-44. <https://doi.org/10.24846/v31i4y202204>
- Savović, S. (2017). Organizational culture differences and post-acquisition performance. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 38(5), 719-741. <https://doi.org/10.1108/lodj-02-2016-0043>
- Schutz, A., Counte, M., & Meurer, S. (2007). Development of a patient safety culture measurement tool for ambulatory health care settings: analysis of content validity. *Health Care Management Science*, 10(2), 139-149. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10729-007-9014-y>
- Sibagariang, I., Absah, Y., & Sadalia, I. (2021). The role of organizational culture in establishing employee engagement through work attitude at pt. sapta sentosa jaya abadi.

- International Journal of Research and Review, 8(11), 476-481.
<https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20211160>
- Sidaoui, M., Bouheni, F., Arslankhuyag, Z., & Mian, S. (2022). Fintech and islamic banking growth: new evidence. *The Journal of Risk Finance*, 23(5), 535-557.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/jrf-03-2022-0049>
- Singh, S. and Srivastava, R. (2018). Predicting the intention to use mobile banking in india. *The International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 36(2), 357-378.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/ijbm-12-2016-0186>
- Slazus, B. and Bick, G. (2022). Factors that influence fintech adoption in south africa: a study of consumer behaviour towards branchless mobile banking. *Athens Journal of Business & Economics*, 8(1), 429-450. <https://doi.org/10.30958/ajbe.8-1-3>
- Suryono, R., Budi, I., & Purwandari, B. (2020). Challenges and trends of financial technology (fintech): a systematic literature review. *Information*, 11(12), 590.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/info11120590>
- Suzianti, A., Haqqi, F., & Fathia, S. (2021). Strategic recommendations for financial technology service development: a comprehensive risk-benefit ipa-kano analysis. *Journal of Modelling in Management*, 17(4), 1481-1503.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/jm2-11-2020-0297>
- Teigland, R., Siri, S., Larsson, A., Puertas, A., & Bogusz, C. (2018). The rise and development of fintech.. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351183628>
- Turcan, R. and Deák, B. (2021). Fintech – stick or carrot – in innovating and transforming a financial ecosystem: toward a typology of comfort zoning. *Foresight*, 24(1), 126-139.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/fs-02-2021-0052>
- Untoro, W. and Trinugroho, I. (2022). The effect of fintech on conventional bank performance and bank risk., 140-152. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-066-4_13
- Waithaka, P. (2016). Moderating effect of organizational factors between competitive intelligence practices and performance of firms listed on the nairobi securities exchange, kenya. *European Scientific Journal Esj*, 12(22), 213.
<https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2016.v12n22p213>
- Wang, W., Sun, Z., Zhu, W., Ma, L., Dong, Y., Sun, X., ... & Wu, F. (2022). How does multi-agent govern corporate greenwashing? a stakeholder engagement perspective from “common” to “collaborative” governance. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 30(1), 291-307. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2355>
- Wood, G., Dibben, P., & Meira, J. (2016). Knowledge transfer within strategic partnerships: the case of hrm in the brazilian motor industry supply chain. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 27(20), 2398-2414.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2016.1221841>
- Yudaruddin, R. (2022). Financial technology and performance in islamic and conventional banks. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 14(1), 100-116.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/jiabr-03-2022-0070>
- Yudaruddin, R. (2022). Financial technology and performance in islamic and conventional banks. *Journal Zhao, Y., Feng, Y., & Li, C. (2018). Effect of organizational cultural differences and mutual trust on contract management of nonequity construction project alliances. Advances in Civil Engineering*, 2018, 1-9.
<https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/3534209>
- Zhong-qing, H., Ding, S., Li, S., Chen, L., & Yang, S. (2019). Adoption intention of fintech services for bank users: an empirical examination with an extended technology acceptance model. *Symmetry*, 11(3), 340. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym11030340>

