

Taxation of the Digital Economy: Assessing the Challenges and Opportunities for Governments and Multinational Corporations**Perpajakan Ekonomi Digital: Menilai Tantangan dan Peluang bagi Pemerintah dan Perusahaan Multinasional****Lenny M. Posumah**

Universitas Muhammadiyah Luwuk

*lennyposumah278@gmail.com

Corresponding Author*ABSTRACT**

This research reveals the challenges and opportunities in digital economy taxation and their application to tax policy and global business practices. The analysis was carried out through in-depth literature observations on key aspects, including tax revenue determination, cross-border transaction management, international collaboration, and digital tax solutions. Findings show that determining taxable income suffers from a variety of challenges, including prevention, tax planning activities, and optimization errors. On the other hand, cross-transaction management requires careful coordination to maintain data consistency and transaction integrity in complex scenarios. International cooperation is important in overcoming the challenges of digital taxation, although there is no international context in which a satisfactory solution has yet been achieved. The study also identifies methodological limitations and promising areas of future research, including geographic expansion, broader sector analysis, and exploring new technologies in tax administration.

Keywords: Digital economy taxation, Taxable Income, Cross-Border Transaction Management, International Collaboration, Digital Tax Solutions.

ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini menyelidiki tantangan dan peluang dalam perpajakan ekonomi digital serta implikasinya bagi kebijakan perpajakan dan praktek bisnis global. Analisis dilakukan melalui tinjauan literatur yang mendalam terhadap aspek-aspek kunci, termasuk penentuan penghasilan kena pajak, manajemen transaksi lintas batas, kolaborasi internasional, dan solusi pajak digital. Temuan menunjukkan bahwa penentuan penghasilan kena pajak dihadapkan pada berbagai tantangan, termasuk forestalling, aktivitas perencanaan pajak, dan kesalahan optimasi. Di sisi lain, manajemen transaksi lintas batas memerlukan koordinasi yang cermat untuk menjaga konsistensi data dan integritas transaksi dalam skenario yang kompleks. Kerjasama internasional menjadi penting dalam mengatasi tantangan perpajakan digital, meskipun belum ada konsensus internasional yang mencapai solusi yang memuaskan. Studi ini juga mengidentifikasi limitasi metodologis dan bidang penelitian masa depan yang menjanjikan, termasuk ekspansi geografis, analisis sektor yang lebih luas, dan pengeksploasian teknologi baru dalam administrasi pajak.

Kata Kunci: Perpajakan ekonomi digital, Penghasilan Kena Pajak, Manajemen Transaksi Lintas Batas, Kolaborasi Internasional, Solusi Pajak Digital.

1. Introduction

The digital economy is characterized by various features that differentiate it from traditional economies. Key elements include modularity, open innovation, and platforms as

essential business strategies (Sturgeon, 2019). Moreover, the digital economy is intertwined with concepts such as the sharing economy, digital platforms, and sustainable development (Sutherland & Jarrahi, 2018; Lyaskovskaya & Khudyakova, 2021). Data plays a crucial role in the digital economy, serving as a fundamental factor for economic advancement in digital format (Ermolaev et al., 2019). This digital landscape significantly impacts sectors like financial technology, urban-rural income inequality, and environmental management systems (Su et al., 2021; Deng et al., 2023; Solodovnik et al., 2022).

Scholarly discussions underscore the sustainable dimension of the digital economy, highlighting its potential to foster green economy practices and promote the adoption of renewable energy sources (Nurova & Freze, 2021). Furthermore, the digital economy is recognized as a catalyst for innovation and the cultivation of specialized skills at a higher level, particularly in fields like education (Akhmetshin et al., 2020). It is also associated with promoting good governance, driving social reforms, and shaping economic policies conducive to sustainable development (Xianbin & Wu, 2021).

Studies examining the digital economy's influence across different regions, including the EU, Russia, China, and Ukraine, reveal variations in digital economy metrics and the challenges in narrowing the digital gap (Bilozubenko et al., 2020; Pavelko, 2023; Ermolaev et al., 2019; Pizhuk et al., 2022). Additionally, research indicates that the digital economy can aid in reducing carbon emissions and advancing low-carbon economies, as evidenced by studies focusing on China (Hou et al., 2023). In essence, the digital economy is a multifaceted concept encompassing technological progress, strategic business approaches, sustainability objectives, and socio-economic ramifications. It thrives on data, innovation, and platforms, offering avenues for sustainable development and addressing environmental concerns. Its impact spans diverse sectors and regions, reshaping economic landscapes and instigating transformative shifts in business operations and societal norms.

The digital economy significantly impacts various aspects of international commerce, playing a crucial role in global trade. Studies have shown that digital technologies can reduce trade costs, expand market boundaries, and enhance export trade (Zhang et al., 2022). The development of the digital economy promotes export activities by facilitating trade processes and increasing market reach (Zhang et al., 2022). The reallocation of capital due to trade encourages countries to specialize in development and exports, boosting economic growth (Abendin & Duan, 2021). In the context of digital transformation, foreign trade enterprises have undergone profound changes, with digital transformation becoming essential for sustainable development (Ye & Tong, 2022). The Fourth Industrial Revolution and technological advancements have transformed global commerce, making digital trade the primary form of trade in the digital economy (Hu et al., 2022). There is a positive relationship between digital technology and international trade, indicating further facilitation of global trade (Abeliansky & Hilbert, 2017).

As digital trade's proportion in global trade rises, the significance of digital service trade in countries' sustainable development becomes more prominent (Di et al., 2022). Digital trade significantly promotes exports to countries with varying income levels, with a more pronounced effect on low-risk destinations (Hu et al., 2022). Technologies like big data and cloud computing have revolutionized business and international trade, enhancing transaction efficiency and reducing trade costs (Ma et al., 2019). The digital economy is a key driver of economic growth and innovation globally, significantly influencing international trade dynamics (Li & Su, 2022). It promotes economic development, enhances trade competitiveness, and enables effective participation in international e-commerce (Castillo et al., 2022). The inclusion of digital technology in economic processes and international trade substantially reduces transaction costs associated with foreign economic activities (Astuti et al., 2023). In conclusion, the digital economy profoundly impacts global trade, reshaping traditional practices, reducing costs, expanding market reach, and driving economic growth through enhanced trade activities

facilitated by digital technologies.

2. Research Methods

In conducting research on "Taxation of the Digital Economy: Assessing the Challenges and Opportunities for Governments and Multinational Corporations" using the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) method, the first step is to identify relevant reference sources. For this reason, international databases such as Google Scholar, IEEE Xplore, Scopus. After that, the articles that have been identified will be screened by reading the title and abstract to determine suitability for the inclusion criteria. Articles that pass screening will be read thoroughly to ensure compliance with inclusion criteria and adequate methodological quality. Articles that meet the criteria will be included in the systematic analysis, while those that do not will be removed. Finally, data from the included articles will be synthesized and analyzed to extract key findings about the challenges and opportunities in governments and multinational companies regarding digital opinion.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Challenges in Taxing the Digital Economy

Taxing the digital economy poses significant challenges within global tax systems. One major obstacle is the issue of a permanent establishment, where firms conduct business without a physical presence in the jurisdiction, making it difficult for tax authorities to determine taxable presence (Usman & Saha, 2022). The concept of permanent establishment is a limitation in many tax systems, including Indonesia's, contributing to the complexity of taxing digital activities (Ardin, 2021). Furthermore, the intangible nature of the digital economy and the evolution of business models due to digitalization and globalization have resulted in tax evasion and avoidance by multinational enterprises (MNEs) (Mpofu & Moloi, 2022). This challenge is particularly pronounced in developing economies, which face additional socio-economic hurdles, making it more challenging for them to effectively address digital business taxation challenges (Ntiamoah & Asare, 2020).

To address these issues, there have been calls for fundamental reforms in tax policies to tackle the unique challenges posed by the digital economy (Olbert & Spengel, 2019). One proposed solution is the implementation of a digital services tax, which has gained international consensus as a way to adapt the traditional tax system to the digital era (Guo et al., 2022). Additionally, continuous enhancement and optimization of tax policies are essential to foster the high-quality development of the digital economy and support the growth of digital companies (Li & Yang, 2021). In response to these challenges, international organizations such as the United Nations have established committees to handle tax challenges related to the digitalization of the economy, with a specific focus on the concerns of developing countries (Peeters & Waeytens, 2022). The OECD has also been actively engaged in addressing profit shifting and determining taxing rights over profits from the digitized economy (Devereux et al., 2021). In conclusion, taxing the digital economy necessitates innovative approaches and international cooperation to establish fair and effective tax systems that can adapt to the evolving nature of digital business models.

3.2. Difficulty in Determining Taxable Income

Determining taxable income accurately can be challenging due to various factors such as forestalling, tax planning activities, and optimization errors (Browne & Phillips, 2017; Rohaya et al., 2009; Blomquist & Newey, 2017). Forestalling, which involves individuals bringing forward income before a tax rate increase, can complicate the estimation of taxable income elasticity (Browne & Phillips, 2017). Tax planning activities contribute to a significant gap between financial accounting income and taxable income, making it harder to assess the true taxable income (Rohaya et al., 2009). Optimization errors can also hinder the identification of taxable income elasticity (Blomquist & Newey, 2017). Moreover, the elasticity of taxable

income is a crucial factor in understanding taxpayer behavior in response to changes in tax rates (Romer & Romer, 2012). Studies have found elasticities of taxable income ranging from 0.1 to 0.4 for all taxpayers and between 0.5 and 0.8 for high-income taxpayers (Romer & Romer, 2012). This indicates that taxpayers, especially high-income individuals, may adjust their taxable income in response to changes in tax rates.

Additionally, the quality of financial statement information and the usefulness of actual taxable income for investors remain debated (Laitinen & Laitinen, 2017). While actual taxable income may contain valuable information for shareholders, especially when financial statement information quality is low, the extent of its usefulness is still uncertain (Laitinen & Laitinen, 2017). In conclusion, accurately determining taxable income is essential for tax authorities and policymakers to understand taxpayer behavior and make informed decisions regarding tax policies. Factors such as forestalling, tax planning activities, and optimization errors can complicate this process. Understanding the elasticity of taxable income and its implications for taxpayer behavior is crucial for effective tax policy design and implementation.

3.3. Cross-Border Transactions

Cross-border transactions involve intricate interactions between multiple databases and systems. Ensuring the consistency and reliability of these transactions is crucial. Global transaction management plays a vital role in maintaining data integrity across distributed databases (Schenkel et al., 2000). It involves coordinating transactions across multiple autonomous databases to guarantee that global transactions leave databases in a globally consistent state (Böttcher, 2003). This synchronization is essential to prevent global integrity checks from blocking other application transactions (Böttcher, 2003).

In the context of cross-border transactions, the concept of global serializability is significant. Global schedules need to be globally serializable to ensure consistency (Zhang et al., 2001). This means that all local transactions at different sites must be serializable, and there should exist a total order on global transactions that is consistent with the serialization order of local transactions at each site (Zhang et al., 2001). Enforcing global serializability in a multidatabase environment can be challenging due to the need to resolve indirect conflicts between transactions (Georgakopoulos et al., n.d.).

Additionally, maintaining quasi-serializability in multidatabase systems is essential for ensuring that local executions are serializable and that global transactions are executed sequentially (Du et al., n.d.). This approach helps in constructing robust global transactions while preserving local autonomy (Zhang et al., 1994). In the absence of a global transaction manager, as seen in peer-to-peer database networks, ensuring consistency during transaction processing becomes more challenging (Masud & Kiringa, 2008). Different peers may have varying execution views for the same set of transactions, emphasizing the need for robust transaction management mechanisms (Masud & Kiringa, 2008). Overall, global transaction management, global serializability, and quasi-serializability are crucial concepts in ensuring the smooth operation of cross-border transactions across distributed databases. These mechanisms help in maintaining data consistency, reliability, and integrity in complex transactional scenarios.

3.4. Opportunities for Governments and Multinational Corporations

Governments and multinational corporations are facing significant challenges and opportunities in the taxation of the digital economy. The digital economy has brought about a shift in traditional tax structures, leading to discussions on how to effectively tax digital corporations (Latif, 2021). There is a growing consensus on the need to address the tax gap between brick-and-mortar companies and digital companies to ensure fairness in tax systems (Ndhlovu, 2023). Digital companies benefit from public goods provided by governments across different jurisdictions but may not be contributing their fair share of taxes (Ndhlovu, 2023). Initiatives by organizations like the OECD are aiming to address these challenges by proposing

reforms to the rules of taxation for digital services (Ponomareva, 2022). However, there is currently no international consensus on how to fairly tax the digital economy (Geringer, 2020). Some countries have started to implement unilateral measures to tax digital services (Ponomareva, 2021). For instance, China has reformed its digital service tax to include digital platforms and Internet search engines in the scope of taxation to enhance the fairness of the business environment (Guo et al., 2022).

In the context of developing economies, there are challenges in implementing digital business taxation system reforms due to various factors (Ntiamoah & Asare, 2020). The complexity of mobilizing tax revenues from both domestic and international transactions in the digital economy has become a significant concern (Mpofu & Moloi, 2022). Additionally, the taxation of the digital economy in Africa and the implications of direct digital service taxes are being explored, highlighting both opportunities and challenges for African countries (Mpofu, 2022). Overall, the taxation of the digital economy presents a complex landscape for governments and multinational corporations. While efforts are being made to address the challenges through international cooperation and unilateral measures, achieving a fair and effective taxation system for the digital economy remains a work in progress.

3.5. Collaboration and International Cooperation

The taxation of the digital economy has become a pressing issue globally, with international organizations like the OECD and the G20 recognizing the challenges posed by digitalization and new business models ("Going Digital integrated policy framework", 2020). Efforts are being made to address tax challenges under Pillar One and Pillar Two, with proposals for limited taxation rights for developing countries and market economies (Pandey et al., 2023). The complexity of taxing multinational enterprises in the digital economy has led to a reevaluation of taxation strategies globally (Ting & Gray, 2019; Guo et al., 2022). Despite discussions at international and EU levels, there is still no consensus on fair taxation of the digital economy (Geringer, 2020). Various initiatives, including those by the OECD, UN, and EU, aim to adapt the existing international tax system to the digital reality until a globally agreed solution is reached (Ponomareva, 2022). The need for improved administrative cooperation between countries is crucial in finding the right rules for corporate income taxation in the digital economy (Ivanov, 2022).

Developing economies face specific challenges and prospects in taxing digital business transactions, requiring a critical examination of the current landscape (Ntiamoah & Asare, 2020). The rise of the digital economy has necessitated a rethinking of tax knowledge frameworks to ensure compliance and address emerging issues (Bornman & Wassermann, 2020). Furthermore, the necessity of international cooperation in developing VAT treaties is becoming evident as states grapple with the rapid growth of the digital economy (Zu, 2021). In conclusion, the taxation of the digital economy is a complex and evolving area that requires collaboration and international cooperation. Efforts by international organizations, discussions at various levels, and the need for updated tax knowledge frameworks highlight the importance of addressing tax challenges in the digital era comprehensively.

3.6. Digital Tax Solutions

Taxation of the digital economy poses a complex challenge that necessitates international cooperation and innovative solutions. The unique characteristics of the digital economy, such as virtual currencies, digital platforms, and digital services, have sparked discussions on how to effectively tax these transactions (Jin & Li, 2022; Hrabčák & Popovič, 2020; Hrabčák et al., 2021). The "two-pillar solution" proposed as part of global tax reform is viewed as a significant step in addressing tax issues specific to the digital economy (Fang, 2023). Nevertheless, the absence of international consensus has resulted in some countries resorting to unilateral measures to tax digital services (Ponomareva, 2021; Ponomareva, 2022). Efforts to tackle the taxation of the digital economy involve simplifying tax registration

processes, utilizing big data and blockchain technology for tax collection, and enhancing information reporting by network platforms (Jin & Li, 2022). The fundamental principles of taxation that are applicable to traditional frameworks are also considered pertinent for guiding the taxation of the digital economy (Mpofu & Moloi, 2022). Additionally, the advancement of digital economy technologies has raised concerns about aggressive tax planning and the necessity for new international tax solutions (Budak, 2017).

To ensure the effective taxation of the digital economy, enhanced administrative cooperation between countries is deemed crucial to facilitate information exchange and tax collection (Ivanov, 2022). The utilization of smart technologies and digital transformation in tax administration is seen as vital for improving voluntary tax compliance and revenue collection (Hermawan, 2022; Rongping et al., 2023). Furthermore, the adoption of digital identity systems by tax administrations is emphasized as a key enabler for seamless taxation processes ("Tax Administration 3.0 and the Digital Identification of Taxpayers", 2022). In conclusion, addressing the taxation challenges posed by the digital economy requires a multi-faceted approach that integrates international cooperation, technological innovation, and adherence to established tax principles. By leveraging digital tools, enhancing administrative cooperation, and fostering consensus on tax policies, countries can effectively navigate the complexities of taxing the digital economy.

3.7. Encouraging Innovation and Investment

Encouraging innovation and investment in the digital economy through taxation requires a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and opportunities associated with taxing digital activities. The digital economy has presented unique challenges to traditional tax systems, leading to discussions on how to adapt tax policies to this evolving landscape. International organizations like the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have been at the forefront of addressing these tax challenges (Ponomareva, 2022). Developing countries, in particular, face hurdles in taxing multinational enterprises (MNEs) operating in the digital economy. The OECD's Pillar One and Pillar Two initiatives aim to address these challenges, but concerns remain regarding the distribution of taxation rights, especially for developing nations (Pandey et al., 2023). To enhance economic growth in regions like Sub-Saharan Africa, suggestions have been made to improve tax revenue mobilization through Value Added Taxes (VAT), Direct Service Taxes (DSTs), and leveraging digital technologies for efficient tax collection (Mpofu, 2022).

The need for a modern and effective taxation framework for the digital economy has been emphasized, highlighting the inadequacy of current corporate tax standards in capturing the digital business models (Dubolazov, 2020). Achieving a consensus on international taxation rules for the digital economy requires improved administrative cooperation between countries to ensure information exchange and support in tax collection efforts (Ivanov, 2022). In light of these challenges, various countries have started formulating unilateral rules for taxing the digital economy due to the lack of international consensus (Ponomareva, 2022). The taxation of digital services and the emergence of digital business models have prompted discussions on fair taxation practices, especially in regions like Africa and Nigeria (Aduloju, 2022; Hrabčák et al., 2021). The complexity associated with taxing digital transactions necessitates a critical examination of the challenges and prospects for developing economies (Ntiamoah & Asare, 2020). In conclusion, addressing the taxation of the digital economy requires a collaborative effort at the international level to develop a fair and effective tax framework that encourages innovation and investment while ensuring compliance and revenue mobilization. By considering the insights from research on tax challenges, policy developments, and value creation in the digital economy, policymakers can work towards creating a conducive tax environment for digital businesses to thrive.

4. Conclusion

The results of the discussion in this journal illustrate the complexity in determining taxable income, especially in the context of the digital economy. The process of determining taxable income is faced with challenges such as forestalling, tax planning activities, and optimization errors, all of which complicate an accurate understanding of taxpayer behavior. In addition, cross-border transaction management is crucial in ensuring data consistency and reliability across various systems. However, implementing global transaction management, global serializability, and quasi-serializability can be complex, especially in resolving conflicts between transactions in a multidatabase environment. On the other hand, taxes in the digital economy pose challenges and opportunities for governments and multinational companies. International cooperation and innovative tax solutions are key in overcoming the complexity of taxation in the digital economy. However, efforts to reach an international agreement on digital economy tax regulations are still in progress, emphasizing the need for cross-border cooperation and discussion. In this context, encouraging innovation and investment in the digital economy through tax policy requires a deep understanding of the challenges and opportunities that exist. Developing countries in particular are faced with challenges in taxing multinational companies in the digital era, highlighting the importance of better administrative cooperation and international consensus in formulating fair and effective tax rules. Thus, the discussion in this journal provides a comprehensive picture of the complexity and challenges in digital economy taxation, as well as the importance of international collaboration and innovative tax solutions in dealing with them.

Limitations of this study may include limited geographic and industry coverage. This research may only focus on certain countries or regions, or on certain economic sectors in the context of the digital economy. Additionally, the data used in the analysis may have limitations in terms of availability and accuracy. For example, tax data obtained from tax authorities may have limitations in terms of coverage or representation of sector-specific income. Additionally, the use of certain methodologies in this study may also be a limitation, such as modeling approaches that may not account for all relevant variables or assumptions made in the analysis.

For future research, there are several areas that could be explored further. First, research can expand geographical coverage to compare tax practices between different countries or regions. This will help in understanding the differences in tax policies and their impact on the digital economy at the global level. Second, further research could involve analyzing broader sectors in the digital economy, such as e-commerce, financial technology, or other digital platforms. This will provide deeper insight into the ways in which different taxation affects different sectors in the digital economy. Additionally, research could explore the use of new technologies, such as artificial intelligence or blockchain, in addressing tax challenges in the digital economy. This can open up opportunities for innovation in tax administration and law enforcement. Finally, future research could pay more attention to taxpayers' perspectives in the digital economy, including their behavior in responding to changes in tax policy and the tax planning strategies they adopt. By paying attention to these areas, future research can make a greater contribution to our understanding of taxation in the digital economy and provide valuable insights for policymakers and practitioners in the field.

5. References

- Abeliansky, A. and Hilbert, M. (2017). Digital technology and international trade: is it the quantity of subscriptions or the quality of data speed that matters?. *Telecommunications Policy*, 41(1), 35-48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2016.11.001>
- Abendin, S. and Duan, P. (2021). International trade and economic growth in africa: the role of the digital economy. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2021.1911767>
- Akhmetshin, E., Barmuta, K., Vasilev, V., Okagbue, H., & Ijezie, O. (2020). Principal directions

- of digital transformation of higher education system in sustainable education. E3s Web of Conferences, 208, 09042. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202020809042>
- Ardin, G. (2021). Taxing digital advertising: a proposal to indonesia. *Scientax*, 3(1), 1-28. <https://doi.org/10.52869/st.v3i1.103>
- Astuti, R. and Udjiyanto, D. (2023). The impact of digital economy on economic growth in southeast asia. *International Journal of Advanced Economics*, 5(1), 10-17. <https://doi.org/10.51594/ijae.v5i1.430>
- Bilozubenko, V., Yatchuk, O., Wolanin, E., Serediuk, T., & Korneyev, M. (2020). Comparison of the digital economy development parameters in the eu countries in the context of bridging the digital divide. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 18(2), 206-218. [https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.18\(2\).2020.18](https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.18(2).2020.18)
- Blomquist, S. and Newey, W. (2017). The bunching estimator cannot identify the taxable income elasticity.. <https://doi.org/10.1920/wp.cem.2017.4017>
- Bornman, M. and Wassermann, M. (2020). Tax knowledge for the digital economy. *Journal of Economic and Financial Sciences*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.4102/jef.v13i1.461>
- Browne, J. and Phillips, D. (2017). Estimating the size and nature of responses to changes in income tax rates on top incomes in the uk: a panel analysis.. <https://doi.org/10.1920/wp.ifs.2017.w1713>
- Budak, T. (2017). Uluslararası vergi rejiminin dönüşümü: dijital ekonomi. *İnönü Üniversitesi Hukuk Fakültesi Dergisi*, 297-330. <https://doi.org/10.21492/inuhfd.354397>
- Castillo, R., Villa, H., Encinas, E., Hernandez, J., & Mandocdoc, M. (2022). End user computing satisfaction on digital trade facilitation in the philippines. *Journal of Innovation Information Technology and Application (Jinita)*, 4(1), 21-31. <https://doi.org/10.35970/jinita.v4i1.1102>
- Deng, X., Meng, G., & Liu, Y. (2023). Digital economy development and the urban-rural income gap: evidence from chinese cities. *Plos One*, 18(2), e0280225. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0280225>
- Devereux, M., Auerbach, A., Keen, M., Oosterhuis, P., Schön, W., & Vella, J. (2021). Taxing profit in a global economy.. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198808060.001.0001>
- Di, Y., Zhi, R., Song, H., & Zhang, L. (2022). Development and influencing factors of international trade in digitally deliverable services. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.908420>
- Ermolaev, K., Trubetskaya, O., Shnyakin, K., & Pavlova, J. (2019). Platforms and tendencies for the development of the digital economy in russia. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 62, 01001. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20196201001>
- Fang, W. (2023). Tax certainty in the digital economy in the perspective of a two-pillar program. *Modern Economics & Management Forum*, 4(4), 85. <https://doi.org/10.32629/memf.v4i4.1401>
- Geringer, S. (2020). National digital taxes – lessons from europe. *South African Journal of Accounting Research*, 35(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10291954.2020.1727083>
- Geringer, S. (2020). National digital taxes – lessons from europe. *South African Journal of Accounting Research*, 35(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10291954.2020.1727083>
- Guo, Y., Zou, T., & Shan, Z. (2022). Taxation strategies for the governance of digital business model—an example of china. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1013228>
- Hermawan, P. (2022). A study of trust base voluntary tax compliance through tax administration digital transformation in indonesia. *International Journal of Current Science Research and Review*, 05(08). <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/v5-i8-53>
- Hou, J., Bai, W., & Sha, D. (2023). Does the digital economy successfully facilitate carbon emission reduction in china? green technology innovation perspective. *Science Technology and Society*, 28(4), 535-560. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09717218231161235>
- Hrabčák, L., Popovič, A., & Sabo, J. (2021). Post covid-19 world and potential compensatory tax instruments in the context of the digital economy. *Institutiones Administrationis*, 1(2), 26-34. <https://doi.org/10.54201/iajas.v1i2.20>

- Hrabčák, L. and Popovič, A. (2020). On certain issues of digital services taxes. *Financial Law Review*, (1 (4)), 52-69. <https://doi.org/10.4467/22996834flr.20.004.12045>
- Hu, F., Qiu, L., Xi, X., Zhou, H., Hu, T., Su, N., ... & Wei, S. (2022). Has covid-19 changed china's digital trade?—implications for health economics. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.831549>
- Hu, Y., Zhou, H., Yan, B., Zhou, Z., & Li, Y. (2022). An assessment of china's digital trade development and influencing factors. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.837885>
- Ivanov, I. (2022). Can we talk about digital economy taxation without improved administrative cooperation between countries?. *Pravni Zapisi*, 13(2), 475-499. <https://doi.org/10.5937/pravzap0-41045>
- Jin, P. and Li, G. (2022). Deterrence or conformity?—an analysis of digital economy taxpayer compliance behavior based on prospect theory. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.967715>
- Laitinen, E. and Laitinen, T. (2017). Does actual taxable income contain valuable information for shareholders? evidence from finland. *Archives of Business Research*, 5(10). <https://doi.org/10.14738/abr.510.3692>
- Latif, L. (2021). The evolving 'thunder'. *International Journal of Digital Technology & Economy*, 4(1), 34-50. <https://doi.org/10.31785/ijdt.4.1.4>
- Li, B. and Su, Z. (2022). Research on the development path of china's digital trade under the background of the digital economy. *Journal of Internet and Digital Economics*, 2(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jide-10-2021-0010>
- Li, T. and Yang, L. (2021). The effects of tax reduction and fee reduction policies on the digital economy. *Sustainability*, 13(14), 7611. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13147611>
- Lyaskovskaya, E. and Khudyakova, T. (2021). Sharing economy: for or against sustainable development. *Sustainability*, 13(19), 11056. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su131911056>
- Ma, S., Guo, J., & Zhang, H. (2019). Policy analysis and development evaluation of digital trade: an international comparison. *China & World Economy*, 27(3), 49-75. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cwe.12280>
- Mastuki, N. and Bardai, B. (2009). Book-tax difference and value relevance of taxable income: malaysian evidence. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 7(2), 19-40. <https://doi.org/10.1108/19852510980000002>
- Mpofu, F. (2022). Taxation of the digital economy and direct digital service taxes: opportunities, challenges, and implications for african countries. *Economies*, 10(9), 219. <https://doi.org/10.3390/economies10090219>
- Mpofu, F. and Moloi, T. (2022). Direct digital services taxes in africa and the canons of taxation. *Laws*, 11(4), 57. <https://doi.org/10.3390/laws11040057>
- Ndhlovu, J. (2023). A principles – based assessment of the quality of zimbabwe's direct tax policy for the digital economy. *Tijab (The International Journal of Applied Business)*, 7(1), 31-49. <https://doi.org/10.20473/tijab.v7.i1.2023.40000>
- Ntiamoah, J. and Asare, J. (2020). Taxation of digital business transactions: challenges and prospects for developing economies. *Journal of Economics and Public Finance*, 6(3), p38. <https://doi.org/10.22158/jepf.v6n3p38>
- Nurova, O. and Freze, T. (2021). Competitive advantage of the sustainable digital economy. *E3s Web of Conferences*, 250, 06004. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202125006004>
- Olbert, M. and Spengel, C. (2019). Taxation in the digital economy – recent policy developments and the question of value creation. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3368092>
- Pandey, K., Yadav, S., & Sharma, S. (2023). Drivers of tax avoidance by mnes in the developing countries under the digital economy: a modified-tism approach. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, 20(5), 896-919. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jamr-02-2023-0025>
- Pavelko, O. (2023). Digital economy: place of ukraine in global trends of sustainable development. *Iop Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science*, 1254(1), 012085. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1254/1/012085>

- Peeters, B. and Waeytens, S. (2022). The united nation's response to the digitalisation of the economy: the introduction of article 12b into the un model tax convention., 249-274. <https://doi.org/10.26481/mup.22001.16>
- Pizhuk, O., Lazebnyk, L., & Mamonova, H. (2022). Digitalization's effect on the sectoral structure change in the economy: a comparative analysis of ukraine and selected countries. *Comparative Economic Research Central and Eastern Europe*, 25(2), 21-43. <https://doi.org/10.18778/1508-2008.25.11>
- Ponomareva, K. (2021). Digital services taxes: the international experience and relevance for the russian federation. *Kutafin Law Review*, 8(2), 314-330. <https://doi.org/10.17803/2313-5395.2021.2.16.314-330>
- Ponomareva, K. (2022). Analysis of the oecd and the united nations' approaches to developing an international consensus on reforming the rules of taxation of digital services. *Kutafin Law Review*, 9(3), 564-585. <https://doi.org/10.17803/2713-0525.2022.3.21.564-585>
- Romer, C. and Romer, D. (2012). The incentive effects of marginal tax rates: evidence from the interwar era.. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w17860>
- Rongping, M., Fentaw, N., & Zhang, L. (2023). Tax evasion, psychological egoism, and revenue collection performance: evidence from amhara region, ethiopia. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1045537>
- Solodovnik, A., Savkin, V., Sidorenko, O., Buraeva, E., & Bogachev, A. (2022). Improving the environmental management system to ensure sustainable development of the agricultural sector in a circular economy. *Iop Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science*, 981(2), 022038. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/981/2/022038>
- Sturgeon, T. (2019). Upgrading strategies for the digital economy. *Global Strategy Journal*, 11(1), 34-57. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gsj.1364>
- Su, Y., Li, Z., & Yang, C. (2021). Spatial interaction spillover effects between digital financial technology and urban ecological efficiency in china: an empirical study based on spatial simultaneous equations. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(16), 8535. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18168535>
- Sutherland, W. and Jarrahi, M. (2018). The sharing economy and digital platforms: a review and research agenda. *International Journal of Information Management*, 43, 328-341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2018.07.004>
- Ting, A. and Gray, S. (2019). The rise of the digital economy: rethinking the taxation of multinational enterprises. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 50(9), 1656-1667. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-019-00223-x>
- Usman, I. and Saha, T. (2022). An overview of tax challenges of digital economy. *Asia-pacific Journal of Management and Technology*, 03(02), 56-63. <https://doi.org/10.46977/apjmt.2022v03i02.005>
- Xianbin, T. and Wu, Q. (2021). Sustainable digital economy through good governance: mediating roles of social reforms and economic policies. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.773022>
- Ye, Z. and Tong, Y. (2022). The influence of digital transformation of foreign trade enterprises on their business performance. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, 2022, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/2177689>
- Zhang, L., Pan, A., Feng, S., & Qin, Y. (2022). Digital economy, technological progress, and city export trade. *Plos One*, 17(6), e0269314. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0269314>
- Zu, Y. (2021). Developing vat treaties: international tax cooperation in times of global recovery. *Legal Studies*, 42(1), 159-177. <https://doi.org/10.1017/lst.2021.37>

